UNITED STATES	PATENT	Γ AND	TRADI	EMARK	OFFICE
BEFORE THE PA	ATENT T	RIAL A	.ND AF	PEAL I	BOARD

US ENDODONTICS, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC Patent Owner

CASE IPR2015-00632 Patent 8,727,773 B2

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND	1
II.	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED	2
III.	ARGUMENT	2
IV	CONCLUSION	7



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

## Cases

Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.,
357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004)5
Pac. Mkt. Int'l, LLC v. Ignite USA, LLC,
IPR2014-00561, Paper 23 (PTAB Dec. 2, 2014)
Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.,
IPR2013-00369, Paper 37 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2014)
Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co., Ltd. v. DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc.,
IPR2014-01030, Paper 11 (PTAB Feb. 3, 2015)
Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.,
503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007)5
Regulations
37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a), Petitioner US Endodontics, LLC ("US Endo") submits the following motion to submit supplemental information in IPR2015-00632. Specifically, US Endo seeks to submit the prosecution history of Patent Owner's subsequently issued U.S. Patent No. 8,876,991 ("the '991 patent"), which includes statements that are relevant to the claims for which the trial has been instituted. US Endo requested authorization from the Board to file this motion on August 26, 2015, which the Board granted on August 28, 2015. Patent Owner stated that it would not oppose the filing of this motion.

## I. BACKGROUND

On January 30, 2015, US Endo filed a petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 8,727,773 ("the '773 patent"), owned by Gold Standard Instruments, LLC ("Patent Owner" or "GSI"). In the petition, US Endo explained that, if the "wherein" clause of claims 1 and 13 is considered to be a claim limitation, <sup>1</sup> it can be met "by a heat-treated file with an austenite finish temperature above mouth temperature." Paper 2 at 7-8. This understanding was



Specifically, claims 1 and 13 of the '773 patent claim a method of manufacturing an endodontic instrument "wherein the heat treated shank has an angle greater than 10 degrees of permanent deformation after torque at 45 [°/degrees] of flexion when tested in accordance with ISO Standard 3630-1."

supported by the applicant's statements in the prosecution histories of both the '773 patent and other related patents, which admitted that a shank with a transformation (austenite finish ("A<sub>f</sub>")) temperature above body temperature would satisfy the limitations of the "wherein" clause. *Id.* at 13-14. Based, in part, on this understanding, the Board granted US Endo's petition and instituted a trial on Grounds 5, 6, 7, and 11 on August 5, 2015. Paper 29 at 19, 30 and 32.

### II. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED

The present motion seeks to submit the prosecution history of the '991 patent, which includes statements that are relevant to the claims for which trial has been instituted. The application resulting in the '991 patent is a continuation of the application that led to the '773 patent. GSI is the owner of both of these related patents. The supplemental information requested to be entered is included as Exhibit 1030, submitted herewith.

## III. ARGUMENT

US Endo's motion to submit supplemental information should be granted because it satisfies the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a), and because the supplemental information does not (i) change the grounds of unpatentability upon which trial has been instituted, (ii) change the evidence initially presented, or (iii) unfairly prejudice GSI. *See, e.g., Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co., Ltd. v. DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc.*, IPR2014-01030, Paper 11 at 3 (PTAB Feb. 3, 2015); *Palo Alto* 



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

