Filed on behalf of:	Gold Standard Instruments, LLC	Paper
---------------------	--------------------------------	-------

By: Joseph A. Hynds, Lead Counsel Date filed: Feb. 12, 2016

Steven Lieberman, Back-up Counsel

C. Nichole Gifford, Back-up Counsel

R. Elizabeth Brenner-Leifer, Back-up Counsel

Derek F. Dahlgren, Back-up Counsel Jason M. Nolan, Back-up Counsel

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.

607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-783-6040 Facsimile: 202-783-6031

Emails: jhynds@rothwellfigg.com

slieberman@rothwellfigg.com ngifford@rothwellfigg.com ebrenner@rothwellfigg.com ddahlgren@rothwellfigg.com jnolan@rothwellfigg.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

US ENDODONTICS, LLC, Petitioner,

V.

GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case PGR2015-00019 Patent 8,876,991 B2

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S EVIDENCE



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Gold Standard

Instruments, LLC, objects to the following evidence submitted by Petitioner with

its Petition for Post Grant Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,876,991 B2 (Paper No. 1).

Evidence Submitted by Petitioner	Patent Owner's Objections
Ex. 1002 (Declaration of A. Jon	Paragraph 104 is objected to as improper
Goldberg)	hearsay for which Petitioner has not
-	established any exception (FRE 801) and
	is further objected to as lacking
	foundation, assuming facts not in
	evidence, containing testimony on
	matters in which the witness lacks
	personal knowledge, and/or for being
	conclusory (FRE 602, 702, 703, & 705).
	Paragraphs 103 and 105-107 are objected
	to for lack of authentication (FRE 901).
Ex. 1004 (Fujio Miura <i>et al.</i> , <i>The</i>	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
super-elastic property of the Japanese	the grounds upon which trial has been
NiTi alloy wire for use in orthodontics,	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
90 AM. J. ORTHODONTICS &	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
DENTOFACIAL ORTHOPEDICS 1	has not established any exception
(1986))	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1005 (Satish B. Alapati, "An	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
investigation of phase transformation	the grounds upon which trial has been
mechanisms for nickel-titanium rotary	instituted (FRE 401-403), as improper
endodontic instruments," PhD thesis,	hearsay for which Petitioner has not
2006)	established any exception (FRE 801),
	and for lack of authentication (FRE 901).

¹ References to the Federal Rules of Evidence are denoted as "FRE."



Evidence Submitted by Petitioner	Patent Owner's Objections
Ex. 1006 (Alan R. Pelton <i>et al.</i> ,	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Optimisation of Processing and	the grounds upon which trial has been
Properties of Medical-Grade Nitinol	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
Wire, 9 Minimally Invasive Therapies	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
& Allied Techs. 107 (2000))	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1007 (U.S. Patent No. 5,697,906 to	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Ariola et al.)	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1008 (Prosecution history of U.S.	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Patent No. 8,727,773)	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1009 (Prosecution history of U.S.	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Patent No. 8,083,873)	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1010 (Prosecution history of U.S.	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Patent No. 8,062,033)	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1011 (U.S. Patent No. 8,727,773)	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).



Evidence Submitted by Petitioner	Patent Owner's Objections
Ex. 1012 (Prosecution history of	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
European Patent Application No.	the grounds upon which trial has been
05756629.1)	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1013 (Transcript of Motion	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Hearing, Nov. 25, 2014, Dentsply	the grounds upon which trial has been
International, Inc. v. US Endodontics,	instituted (FRE 401-403), as improper
<i>LLC</i> , Docket No. CV-2-14-196 (E.D.	hearsay for which Petitioner has not
Tenn.) (excerpts))	established any exception (FRE 801),
	and as incomplete (FRE 106).
Ex. 1014 (International Standard ISO	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
3630-1, 2nd ed. (2008))	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1015 (Declaration of Walter Zanes)	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1016 (Edgar Schäfer et al.,	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Bending Properties of Rotary Nickel-	the grounds upon which trial has been
Titanium Instruments, 96 ORAL	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
SURGERY ORAL MEDICINE ORAL	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
PATHOLOGY 757 (2003))	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1017 (Luca Testarelli et al.,	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Bending Properties of a New Nickel-	the grounds upon which trial has been
Titanium Alloy with a Lower Percent	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
by Weight of Nickel, 37 J.	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
ENDODONTICS 1293 (2011))	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).



Evidence Submitted by Petitioner	Patent Owner's Objections
Ex. 1018 (Declaration of Adam Kozak)	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
	the grounds upon which trial has been
	instituted (FRE 401-403), as improper
	hearsay for which Petitioner has not
	established any exception (FRE 801),
	and for lack of authentication (FRE 901).
Ex. 1019 (Excerpts of Expert Report of	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Robert Sinclair, Ph.D., Dentsply	the grounds upon which trial has been
International, Inc. v. US Endodontics,	instituted (FRE 401-403), as improper
<i>LLC</i> , Docket No. CV-2-14-196	hearsay for which Petitioner has not
(E.D. Tenn.))	established any exception (FRE 801),
	and as incomplete (FRE 106).
Ex. 1020 (Alan R. Pelton et al., <i>The</i>	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Physical Metallurgy of Nitinol for	the grounds upon which trial has been
Medical Applications, 55 J.METALS 33-	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
37 (May 2003))	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1021 (S. Miyazaki <i>et al.</i> ,	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Characteristics of Deformation and	the grounds upon which trial has been
Transformation Pseudoelasticity in Ti-	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
Ni Alloys, 43 J. PHYSIQUE	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
COLLOQUES C4-255 (1982))	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1025 (U.S. Patent Application	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Publication No. US 2006/0115786 A1	the grounds upon which trial has been
to Matsutani <i>et al</i> .)	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).
Ex. 1026 (Japanese Unexamined Patent	This exhibit is objected to as irrelevant to
Application Publication No. 2006-	the grounds upon which trial has been
149675, Matsutani <i>et al</i> .)	instituted (FRE 401-403) and as
	improper hearsay for which Petitioner
	has not established any exception
	(FRE 801).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

