

James T. McDermott, OSB 933594  
jmcdermott@balljanik.com  
Ball Janik LLP  
101 SW Main Street, Suite 1100  
Portland, OR 97204-3219  
Tel: (503) 228-2525  
Fax: (503) 226-3910

Douglas Carsten, admitted *pro hac vice*  
dcarsten@wsgr.com  
Lauren Wardle, admitted *pro hac vice*  
lwardle@wsgr.com  
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati  
12235 El Camino Real, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92130  
Tel: (858) 350-2305  
Fax: (503) 350-2399

Attorneys for Plaintiff Paragon BioTeck, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION

PARAGON BIOTECK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., and  
SAWAYA AQUEBOGUE, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-00189-PK

**PARAGON'S RESPONSE TO  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
DISMISS OR FOR TRANSFER OF  
VENUE**

Plaintiff Paragon BioTeck, Inc. ("Paragon") respectfully asks this Court to deny the motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction or, alternatively, the motion for transfer of venue (Doc. 20) filed by defendants Altaire Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Altaire") and Sawaya Aquebogue, LLC ("Sawaya Aquebogue").

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

I.	Summary of Argument .....	1
II.	This Court should deny the Defendants’ motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. ....	1
A.	Both Altaire and Sawaya Aquebogue formed a “continuing relationship” with Paragon, which subjects them to personal jurisdiction in Oregon.....	3
B.	Paragon’s breach-of-contract claim arises out of Defendants’ activities in Oregon.....	7
C.	Defendants cannot demonstrate that the exercise of personal jurisdiction in this case would be unreasonable or unfair. ....	8
III.	Alternately, this Court should permit jurisdictional discovery if this Court does not deny the motion to dismiss as to Sawaya Aquebogue outright. ....	9
IV.	Defendants’ motion to transfer venue should be denied because Defendants’ argument relies on a misreading of the venue clause. ....	10
V.	Granting Defendants’ motion to transfer venue would merely shift, not eliminate, any of the alleged inconveniences caused by litigating this case in Oregon. ....	13
A.	Defendants fail to establish that transferring this case to New York would be more convenient for witnesses. ....	14
B.	Access to sources of proof will not be more convenient in New York than it will be in Oregon. ....	14
C.	The relative congestion of court dockets does not support granting Defendants’ motion to transfer. ....	15
VI.	Defendants’ motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim should be denied because Sawaya Aquebogue is an indispensable party.....	15
VII.	Conclusion .....	16

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

---

### CASES

<i>AT&amp;T Co. v. Compagnie Bruxelles Lambert</i> , 94 F.3d 586 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	9
<i>Atl. Marine Const. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Court for W. Dist. Of Texas</i> , 134 S. Ct. 568 (2013).....	10
<i>Ballard v. Savage</i> , 65 F.3d 1495 (9th Cir. 1995) .....	3, 7
<i>Beverage Management Systems, Inc. v. Ott</i> , No. 3:12-CV-2126-SI, 2013 WL 1296083 (D. Or. Mar. 26, 2013) (J. Simon).....	3, 4, 6
<i>Boschetto v. Hansing</i> , 539 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	2, 9
<i>Buckman v. Quantum Energy Partners IV</i> , No. 07-CV-1471-BR, 2008 WL 2235234 (D. Or. May 29, 2008) (J. Brown) .....	7
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> , 471 U.S. 462 (1985).....	3, 8
<i>CE Distribution, LLC v. New Sensor Corp.</i> , 380 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	8, 9
<i>Core-Vent Corp. v. Nobel Indus. AB</i> , 11 F.3d 1482 (9th Cir. 1993) .....	8
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014).....	2
<i>Decker Coal Co. v. Commonwealth Edison Co.</i> , 805 F.2d 834 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	10, 14
<i>Doe 1 v. AOL LLC</i> , 552 F.3d 1077 (9th Cir. 2009) .....	10
<i>Doe v. Unocal Corp.</i> , 248 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 2001) .....	2
<i>Emmert Indus. Corp. v. Artisan Assocs.</i> , No. 03-cv-782-BR, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27110 (D. Or. Nov. 12, 2003) (J. Brown) <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 497 F.3d 982 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

---

*Emmert Industrial Corp. v. Copeland Equipment Parts, Inc.*,  
No. 09-229-PK, 2009 WL 2447550 (D. Or. Aug. 7, 2009) (J. Haggerty).....4, 5

*Farmers Ins. Exch. v. Portage La Prairie Mut. Ins. Co.*,  
907 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1990) .....1, 2

*Full-Sight Contact Lens Corp. v. Soft Lenses, Inc.*,  
466 F. Supp. 71 (S.D.N.Y. 1978) .....12

*Gray & Co. v. Firstenberg Machinery Co., Inc.*,  
913 F.2d 758 (9th Cir.1990) .....2

*Gullete v. Lancaster & Chester Co.*,  
No. 14-cv-00537, 2014 WL 3695515 (D. Or. July 23, 2014) (J.  
Hernandez).....7, 8

*Helicopteros Nacionales De Colombia, S.A. v. Hall*,  
466 U.S. 408 (1984).....2, 3

*Hirsch v. Blue Cross, Blue Shield of Kansas City*,  
800 F.2d 1474 (9th Cir. 1986) .....3

*Hunt Wesson Foods, Inc. v. Supreme Oil Co.*,  
817 F.2d 75 (9th Cir. 1987) .....12, 13

*Int’l Shoe Co. v. Washington*,  
326 U.S. 310 (1945).....2

*Jones v. GNC Franchising, Inc.*,  
211 F.3d 495 (9th Cir. 2000) .....10, 11

*Lung v. Yachts Int’l*,  
980 F. Supp. 1362 (D. Haw. 1997).....5

*Mead Investments, Inc. v. Garlic Jim's Franchise Corp.*,  
No. 08-922-HU, 2008 WL 4911911 (D. Or. Nov. 13, 2008) (J. Hubel) .....12, 13

*Millennium Enters., Inc. v. Millennium Music, LP*,  
33 F. Supp. 2d 907 (D. Or. 1999) .....2

*N. Cal. Dist. Council of Laborers v. Pittsburg-Des Moines Steel Co.*,  
69 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 1995) .....11

*National Wildlife Federation v. Esp*,  
45 F.3d 1337 (9th Cir. 1995) .....15, 16

*Nike, Inc. v. Lombardi*,  
732 F. Supp. 2d 1146 (D. Or. 2010) (J. Hubel) .....14

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Oregon ex rel. Hydraulic Servocontrols Corp. v. Dale</i> , 657 P.2d 211 (1982).....	2
<i>Pelleport Investors, Inc. v. Budco Quality Theatres, Inc.</i> , 741 F.2d 273 (9th Cir. 1984) .....	11, 12
<i>Ravelo Monegro v. Rosa</i> , 211 F.3d 509 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	11
<i>Roth v. Garcia Marquez</i> , 942 F.2d 617 (9th Cir. 1991) .....	4
<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i> , 433 U.S. 186 (1997).....	7
<i>Shamrock Building Materials, Inc. v. Overseas Building Supply, LLC</i> , 189 Or. App. 302 (2003).....	6
<i>Shute v. Carnival Cruise Lines</i> , 897 F.2d 377 (9th Cir. 1990) .....	6, 13
<i>Slayden v. Schulz Boat Co.</i> , No. 3:13-CV-02259-AC, 2015 WL 225731 (D. Or. Jan. 16, 2015) (J. Acosta) .....	6
<i>Stewart Org., Inc. v. Ricoh Corp.</i> , 487 U.S. 22 (1988).....	10
<i>Thompson v. N.Y. State Higher Educ. Servs. Corp.</i> , 751 F. Supp. 868 (D. Or. 1990) .....	9
<i>Wells Fargo &amp; Co. v. Wells Fargo Express Co.</i> , 556 F.2d 406 (9th Cir. 1977) .....	6

### STATUTES

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) .....	10
---------------------------	----

### RULES

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) .....	1
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19(a)(1)-(1)(A).....	15
ORCP 4 L.....	2

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.