

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PARAGON BIOTECK, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR 2015-00011
Patent 8,859,623 B1

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. ASSAD SAWAYA QUALIFIES AS AN EXPERT WITNESS.....2

III. A PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE ESTABLISHES THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE4

A. Patent Owner Waived its Objections to Petitioner’s Evidence4

B. HPLC Test.....7

C. Optical Rotation Test10

D. Patent Owner Conjecture is Insufficient to Show that Petitioner’s Products Were Not Stored Between 2° and 8° Celsius14

IV. THE PETITION CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES THE REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST17

A. The Real Party-In-Interest Argument Is An Unauthorized Motion to Terminate17

B. The Sawayas are Not Real Parties-In-Interest20

C. Sawaya Aquebogue is Not a Real Party-In-Interest21

V. CONCLUSION26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
 CASES	
<i>Aruze Gaming Macau, Ltd. v. MGT Gaming, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01288.....	23, 24
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00215	20
<i>Daifuku Co., Ltd. v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.</i> , Case IPR2015-01539.....	22, 24
<i>U.S. v. Bestfoods</i> , 524 U.S. 51 (1998)	22
<i>Zoll Lifecor Corp. v. Philips Elecs. N. Am. Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00609	24
 STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 103	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	20
 REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. 42.64(b)(1).....	5
37 C.F.R. 42.220(a).....	17
37 C.F.R. § 42.65	5

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Name
Exhibit 1025:	Declaration No. 3 of Assad Sawaya (May 4, 2016)
Exhibit 1026:	Deposition Transcript of Gojko Lalic, Ph.D. (April 12, 2016)
Exhibit 1027:	TMQC# 247-01 (March 17, 2015)
Exhibit 1028:	TMQC# 247-00 (May 31, 2013)
Exhibit 1029:	Declaration of Rashid Zaman (May 5, 2016)
Exhibit 1030:	STU0346 (May 29, 2013)
Exhibit 1031:	Transmittal letter from M. Sawaya to L. McBluett (March 26, 2014)
Exhibit 1032:	Declaration No. 2 of Michael Sawaya (May 4, 2016)
Exhibit 1033:	Email from L. McBluett to M. Sawaya (March 25, 2014)
Exhibit 1034:	Deposition Transcript of Sailaja Machiraju (April 29, 2016)
Exhibit 1035:	Orange Book listing for U.S. Patent No. 8, 859,623 (Accessed May 4, 2016)
Exhibit 1036:	Email from A. Brown to D. Doshi et al. (April 8, 2016)

I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner's Response (Paper 20) fails to provide any evidence that Petitioner's products sold prior to the earliest effective filing date were not chirally pure. Nor does Patent Owner provide any evidence that Lots 11578 and 11581 were not tested after cold storage at 2-8°C. Patent Owner also fails to provide any rebuttal to Petitioner's position that the claimed invention would have been obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Patent Owner only argues that Petitioner has failed to meet its burden (a preponderance of the evidence). In support, Patent Owner provides tests to show that the USP standard HPLC test cannot distinguish R-phenylephrine from S-phenylephrine. But Petitioner did not rely on the USP standard HPLC test; instead, Petitioner relied on its proprietary HPLC test that can distinguish between R-phenylephrine from S-phenylephrine—this is the same proprietary test that Patent Owner relies on in its dealings with Petitioner, the Food & Drug Administration (FDA), and its customers.

Patent Owner also attempts to discredit Petitioner's optical rotation tests notwithstanding Patent Owner's reliance on the optical rotation tests in support of its New Drug Application (NDA) to the FDA. And while it calls into question the chiral purity of the R-phenylephrine standard used in the optical rotation tests, Patent Owner fails to provide any evidence to show that the representation of the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.