

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TESLA, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2025-00222
U.S. Patent No. 9,232,158

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 9,232,158**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	1
III.	NOTE	1
IV.	TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW	1
V.	THE '158 PATENT	2
	A. Overview	2
	B. Prosecution History	5
	C. Previous IPRs Involving the '158 Patent	6
	1. IPR2022-00710 (“Toyota IPR”)	6
	2. IPR2022-01338 (“Honda IPR”).....	6
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	7
	A. Terms Construed in Toyota IPR.....	7
	B. Terms Construed in GM Litigation.....	8
	C. “during a frame” (claim 2)	9
VIII.	CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	10
	A. Challenged Claims	10
	B. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	10
	C. Statutory Grounds for Challenges.....	10

D.	Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 5, 8-9, 11, 13-16, and 19 Would Have Been Obvious Over Matsushima.....	11
1.	Overview of Matsushima.....	11
2.	Analysis.....	12
a.	Claim 1.....	12
b.	Claim 2.....	22
c.	Claim 5.....	24
d.	Claim 8.....	28
e.	Claim 9.....	29
f.	Claim 11.....	33
g.	Claim 13.....	35
h.	Claim 14.....	36
i.	Claim 15.....	38
j.	Claim 16.....	40
k.	Claim 19.....	41
E.	Ground 2: Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-11, 13, and 14 Would Have Been Obvious Over Yu and Miyazaki.....	42
1.	Overview of Yu.....	42
2.	Overview of Miyazaki	45
3.	Analysis.....	46
a.	Claim 1.....	46
b.	Claim 2.....	63

c.	Claim 4.....	65
d.	Claim 5.....	66
e.	Claim 8.....	67
f.	Claim 9.....	69
g.	Claim 10.....	73
h.	Claim 11.....	73
i.	Claim 13.....	76
j.	Claim 14.....	77
IX.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS INAPPROPRIATE	78
A.	No Basis For § 325(d) Denial	78
B.	No Basis for <i>Fintiv</i> Denial	79
1.	No evidence regarding a stay	80
2.	Parallel proceeding trial date	80
3.	Investment in the parallel proceeding	81
4.	Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	81
5.	Petitioner is a defendant.....	82
6.	Other circumstances.....	82
C.	No Basis for <i>General Plastic</i> Denial Under § 314(a).	82
X.	CONCLUSION	84
XI.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	85
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	85

B.	Related Matters.....	85
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	86
XII.	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT	88

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.