

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ROKU, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

VIDEOLABS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2025-00071

Patent No. 7,440,559

---

**DECLARATION OF BRUCE MCNAIR IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,440,559**

Roku Exhibit 1003

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY .....	2
A. Qualifications.....	3
B. Materials Reviewed .....	9
C. Legal Principles .....	9
D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
E. Summary of opinions.....	11
II. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....	11
A. Overview of the ‘559 patent .....	11
A. The Challenged Claims.....	13
B. Prosecution of the ‘559 patent .....	14
C. Prior Inter Partes Review of the ‘559 patent .....	16
D. Claim Construction.....	17
III. UNPATENTABILITY OF THE ‘559 PATENT CLAIMS.....	18
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 7, 13, 19 are obvious over Kloba .....	18
1. The Prior Art.....	18
2. Claim 1 .....	23
3. Claim 7 .....	36
4. Claim 13 .....	39
5. Claim 19 .....	41
B. Ground 2: Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18, 20-24 are obvious over Kloba in view of Robbin.....	42
1. The Prior Art.....	42
2. Motivation: Kloba in view of Robbin .....	43
3. Claim 2 .....	47
4. Claim 3 .....	50
5. Claim 4 .....	51
6. Claim 5 .....	51
7. Claim 6 .....	52

8.	Claim 8 .....	52
9.	Claim 9 .....	53
10.	Claim 10 .....	53
11.	Claim 11 .....	54
12.	Claim 12 .....	54
13.	Claim 14 .....	55
14.	Claim 15 .....	55
15.	Claim 16 .....	56
16.	Claim 17 .....	56
17.	Claim 18 .....	57
18.	Claim 20 .....	57
19.	Claim 21 .....	58
20.	Claim 22 .....	58
21.	Claim 23 .....	59
22.	Claim 24 .....	59
IV.	CONCLUSION .....	60

## EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,440,559 (“559”)
1002	File History of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/690,692 (“559FH”)
1003	Declaration of Bruce McNair
1004	CV of Bruce McNair
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,341,316 (“Kloba”)
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0079038 (“Robbin”)
1007	<i>Starz Entm’t, LLC v. VL Collective IP, LLC</i> , 1:21-cv-01448, Dkt. 88, pages 1-4 (Claim Construction Order) (D. Del. Jan. 10, 2023)
1008	<i>Netflix, Inc. v. VideoLabs, Inc.</i> , IPR2023-00630, Paper 2 (Petition) (P.T.A.B. Feb. 23, 2023)
1009	<i>Netflix, Inc. v. VideoLabs, Inc.</i> , IPR2023-00630, Paper 10 (Institution Decision) (P.T.A.B. Oct. 3, 2023)
1010	Federal Judicial Caseload Statistics (Dec. 31, 2023)
1011	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0163431 (“Ginter”)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,943,422 (“Van Wie”)
1013	<i>Netflix, Inc. v. VideoLabs, Inc.</i> , IPR2023-00630, Paper 31 (Final Written Decision) (P.T.A.B. Oct. 2, 2024)

## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

1. My name is Bruce McNair. I am currently a professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at Stevens Institute of Technology.

2. I have been engaged by Roku, Inc. (“Roku”) as a consultant in connection with Roku’s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,440,559 (the “‘559 patent”).

3. I understand that the ‘559 patent is assigned to VideoLabs, Inc. (“Patent Owner” or “VideoLabs”).

4. This Declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and analysis, which may include a review of documents and information that have not yet been produced, as well as testimony from depositions that have not yet been taken.

5. All of the opinions set forth in this Declaration are based on my own personal knowledge, professional experience, education and judgment, in consideration of the documents, materials and information that I reference.

6. In connection with my work as an expert in this matter, I am being compensated at \$650 per hour for consulting services, including time spent testifying at any hearing that may be held. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work in this case. I receive no other forms

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.