

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE LLC,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.  
Petitioners,

v.

MULLEN INDUSTRIES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2025-00018  
Patent No. 11,122,418

---

**DECLARATION OF DAVID H. WILLIAMS**

Google Exhibit 1003 Google v Mullen IPR2025-00018
---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONDENSED)**

I. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND ..... 1

II. MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED ..... 11

III. MY UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW ..... 12

    A. Anticipation ..... 14

    B. Obviousness ..... 15

IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSA”) ..... 18

V. THE ’418 PATENT ..... 20

    A. Specification Embodiments ..... 20

    B. Prosecution History of the ’418 Patent ..... 23

    C. The Challenged Claims ..... 24

VI. CLAIM INTERPRETATION ..... 25

VII. PRIOR-ART REFERENCES ..... 25

    A. Background on Motivation to Combine and Reasonable  
    Expectation of Success in Combining Prior Art ..... 25

    B. Sheha (EX1005) ..... 31

    C. Randall (EX1007) ..... 42

    D. Ryden (EX1009) ..... 46

    E. Tanaka (EX1011) ..... 55

    F. Ganesh (EX1012) ..... 55

    G. Wollrab (EX1014) ..... 56

    H. De-Vries (EX1013) ..... 56

VIII. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE IN LIGHT  
OF THE PRIOR ART IDENTIFIED IN THE PETITION ..... 57

    A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10, 13, 18-21, 24, 26-27, and 30 Are  
    Anticipated or Alternatively Obvious over Sheha ..... 58

        1. Discussion of Sheha (EX1005) ..... 58

        2. Mapping of Sheha to Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10, 13, 18-21, 24, 26-27,  
        and 30 ..... 60

B. Ground 2: Claims 4-5, 11, 17, 22-23, and 28-29 Would Have Been Obvious over Sheha in View of Ryden .....	109
1. Discussion of Ryden (EX1009).....	109
2. Discussion of Sheha-Ryden Combination .....	110
3. Mapping of Sheha-Ryden Combination to Claims 4-5, 11, 22-23, and 28-29.....	113
C. Ground 3: Claims 8-9, 12, 14, and 25 Would Have Been Obvious over Sheha in view of Tanaka .....	118
1. Discussion of Tanaka (EX1011) .....	118
2. Discussion of Sheha-Tanaka Combination .....	120
3. Mapping of Sheha-Tanaka Combination to Claims 8-9, 12, 14, and 25 .....	124
D. Ground 4: Claims 15-17 Would Have Been Obvious over Sheha in view of Ganesh .....	132
1. Discussion of Ganesh (EX1012).....	133
2. Discussion of Sheha-Ganesh Combination.....	137
3. Mapping of Sheha-Ganesh Combination to Claims 15-17 .....	139
E. Ground 5: Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-14, 18-21, 24-27, 30 Are Anticipated or Alternatively Obvious over Randall.....	141
1. Discussion of Randall (EX1007).....	141
2. Mapping of Randall to Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-14, 18-21, 24-27, 30 .....	145
F. Ground 6: Claim 12 Would Have Been Obvious over Randall in View of De-Vries.....	181
1. Discussion of De-Vries (EX1013) .....	182
2. Randall-De-Vries Combination.....	182
3. Mapping of Randall-De-Vries Combination to Claim 12.....	183
G. Ground 7: Claims 3, 10, 15-17, 21, 27 Would Have Been Obvious over Randall in View of Wollrab .....	183
1. Wollrab (EX1014).....	184
2. Randall-Wollrab Combination .....	184

3.	Mapping Randall-Wollrab Combination to Claims 3, 10, 15-17, 21, 27 .....	185
H.	Ground 8: Claims 4-5, 11, 17, 22-23, 28-29 Would Have Been Obvious over Randall in View of Ryden .....	192
1.	Randall-Ryden Combination.....	192
2.	Mapping of Randall-Ryden Combination to Claims 4-5, 11, 17, 22-23, 28-29 .....	193
I.	Ground 9: Claims 15-17 Would Have Been Obvious over Randall in View of Ganesh .....	199
1.	Randall-Ganesh Combination .....	199
2.	Mapping of Randall-Ganesh to Claims 15-17 .....	202
IX.	COMMENTS ON MULLEN’S CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MY DEPOSITION TESTIMONY CITED IN IPR2023-00090, PAPER 15 .....	204
X.	CLAIM LISTING .....	221

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (FULL)

I.	PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND .....	1
II.	MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED .....	11
III.	MY UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW .....	12
	A. Anticipation .....	14
	B. Obviousness.....	15
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSA”).....	18
V.	THE ’418 PATENT .....	20
	A. Specification Embodiments.....	20
	B. Prosecution History of the ’418 Patent.....	23
	C. The Challenged Claims .....	24
VI.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION .....	25
VII.	PRIOR-ART REFERENCES .....	25
	A. Background on Motivation to Combine and Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining Prior Art .....	25
	B. Sheha (EX1005) .....	31
	C. Randall (EX1007).....	42
	D. Ryden (EX1009).....	46
	E. Tanaka (EX1011) .....	55
	F. Ganesh (EX1012) .....	55
	G. Wollrab (EX1014) .....	56
	H. De-Vries (EX1013).....	56
VIII.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE IN LIGHT OF THE PRIOR ART IDENTIFIED IN THE PETITION .....	57
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10, 13, 18-21, 24, 26-27, and 30 Are Anticipated or Alternatively Obvious over Sheha .....	58
	1. Discussion of Sheha (EX1005) .....	58
	2. Mapping of Sheha to Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10, 13, 18-21, 24, 26-27, and 30 .....	60
	a. Claim 1 .....	61

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.