

# Deodorants are the leading cause of allergic contact dermatitis to fragrance ingredients\*

Maria V. Heisterberg<sup>1</sup>, Torkil Menné<sup>1</sup>, Klaus E. Andersen<sup>2</sup>, Christian Avnstorp<sup>3</sup>, Berit Kristensen<sup>4</sup>, Ove Kristensen<sup>4</sup>, Knud Kaaber<sup>5</sup>, Grete Laurberg<sup>6</sup>, Niels Henrik Nielsen<sup>7</sup>, Mette Sommerlund<sup>8</sup>, Jens Thormann<sup>9</sup>, Niels K. Veien<sup>6</sup>, Susanne Vissing<sup>10</sup> and Jeanne D. Johansen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dermato-allergology, National Allergy Research Centre, Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, 2900 Hellerup, Denmark,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense, Denmark, <sup>3</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 2610 Rødovre, Denmark, <sup>4</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 4400 Kalundborg, Denmark, <sup>5</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 7400 Herning, Denmark, <sup>6</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 9000 Ålborg, Denmark, <sup>7</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 2880 Bagsværd, Denmark, <sup>8</sup>Department of Dermatology, 8000 Århus University Hospital, Århus, Denmark, <sup>9</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 7100 Vejle, Denmark, and

<sup>10</sup>Dermatology Clinic, 2970 Hørsholm, Denmark

doi:10.1111/j.1600-0536.2011.01889.x

## Summary

**Background.** Fragrances frequently cause contact allergy, and cosmetic products are the main causes of fragrance contact allergy. As the various products have distinctive forms of application and composition of ingredients, some product groups are potentially more likely to play a part in allergic reactions than others.

**Aim.** To determine which cosmetic product groups cause fragrance allergy among Danish eczema patients.

**Method.** This was a retrospective study based on data collected by members of the Danish Contact Dermatitis Group. Participants ( $N = 17\,716$ ) were consecutively patch tested with fragrance markers from the European baseline series (2005–2009).

**Results.** Of the participants, 10.1% had fragrance allergy, of which 42.1% was caused by a cosmetic product: deodorants accounted for 25%, and scented lotions 24.4%. A sex difference was apparent, as deodorants were significantly more likely to be listed as the cause of fragrance allergy in men (odds ratio 2.2) than in women. Correlation was observed between deodorants listed as the cause of allergy and allergy detected with fragrance mix II (FM II) and hydroxyisohexyl 3-cyclohexene carboxaldehyde.

**Conclusion.** Deodorants were the leading causes of fragrance allergy, especially among men. Seemingly, deodorants have an 'unhealthy' composition of the fragrance chemicals present in FM II.

**Key words:** allergic contact dermatitis; clinically relevant patch tests; cosmetics; deodorants; fragrance.

Cosmetic products cover wide range of different consumer products, and almost everyone has daily contact with a

cosmetic product. The EU Directive gives the following definition: 'A cosmetic product is any substance or preparation intended to be placed in contact with the various external parts of the human body or with the teeth and the mucous membranes of the oral cavity, with a view exclusively or mainly to cleaning them, perfuming them, changing their appearance, and/or correcting body odours, and/or protecting them or keeping them in good condition' (1).

Several aspects contribute to a cosmetic product's ability to cause fragrance allergy (2). Foremost, a product must contain sensitizing fragrance ingredients.

*Correspondence:* Maria Vølund Heisterberg, Department of Dermato-allergology, National Allergy Research Centre, Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, 2900 Hellerup, Denmark. Tel: +45 3977 7310; Fax: +45 3997 7118. E-mail: mavohe01@geh.regionh.dk

*Conflicts of interest:* The authors have declared no conflicts. Funding: The Danish Environmental Agency financed the study.

\*Each author participated sufficiently to take responsibility for the work.

Accepted for publication 15 January 2011

Sensitization can occur after a single significant exposure or after multiple exposures (2, 3), and once sensitization has occurred, a lower dose can cause an elicitation response (4). In our study, we use the term fragrance allergy synonymously with allergic contact dermatitis.

A wide range of fragrance ingredients exists, approximately 2500 different substances (5); many are known to be sensitizers in humans and are used in cosmetic products (6–8).

The individual fragrance ingredients are used in various combinations, and some cosmetic products contain hundreds of individual fragrance ingredients (9). Other principal factors contributing to a product's ability to cause allergy are related to its composition and intended use conditions. For example, the following may all play a role in a cosmetic product's ability to elicit fragrance allergic contact dermatitis: the nature of fragrance ingredients, as some may have synergistic effects (10); the concentration and potency of the allergenic fragrance ingredients; the application site; the frequency of application; the duration of exposure; and the user's skin barrier function (2, 11–13).

The purpose of this study was to determine the distribution of cosmetic product groups listed as the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis among Danish eczema patients. Furthermore, our aim was to investigate sex differences and to evaluate whether there was an association between the cosmetic product listed as having caused a fragrance allergy and the different fragrance markers detecting an allergy.

## Materials

Data were retrieved from a clinical database containing patch test results, patient characteristics, and exposure sources. All patients were examined by members of the Danish Contact Dermatitis Group (DCDG). During the study period (January 2005 to June 2009) the DCDG comprised three dermatology departments (university hospitals in Gentofte, Odense, and Århus) and seven dermatology clinics (Rødovre, Aalborg, Herning, Vejle, Bagsværd, Hørsholm, and Kalundborg). All patients had been patch tested with fragrance markers included in the baseline series: fragrance mix I (FM I), fragrance mix II (FM II), hydroxyisohexyl 3-cyclohexene carboxaldehyde (HICC) 5%, and *Myroxylon pereirae*/balsam of Peru 25% in petrolatum. FM I contains eight individual fragrance compounds: 1% cinnamal, 1% cinnamyl alcohol, 1% geraniol, 1% isoeugenol, 1% eugenol, 1% hydroxycitronellal, 1% *Evernia prunastri* (oak moss absolute), 1%  $\alpha$ -amyl cinnamal and an emulsifier 5% sorbitan sesquioleate. FM II is composed of six different

fragrances: 2.5% HICC, 1% citral, 2.5% farnesol, 2.5% coumarin, 0.5% citronellol and 5%  $\alpha$ -hexyl cinnamal in pet.

A total of 17 716 subjects were consecutively patch tested: 11 610 women and 6106 men. The mean age was 44 years (standard deviation 18.3). Table 1 shows the study participants' demographic characteristics.

Relevant exposure sources causing a positive patch test reaction are registered in the database. The exposure sources are categorized as either 'leave-on' or 'rinse-off' products (Table 2) and further into specific cosmetic product groups (Table 3). If a cosmetic product could not be specified because it was unknown or did not fit any of the predetermined categories, it was registered as 'unspecified leave-on' or 'unspecified rinse-off'. Patients could have more than one specific cosmetic product recorded.

## Methods

The patients included had been patch tested with at least one of the fragrance markers from the European baseline series (FM I, FM II, *M. pereirae* and HICC). The

**Table 1.** MOAHLFA index of consecutively patch tested eczema patients and patients with a fragrance allergy caused by a cosmetic product

Index	Tested subjects		Cosmetic fragrance allergy	
	No.	%	No.	%
M	6106	34.5	190*	25.2
O	2067	11.7	97	12.9
A	3115	17.6	137	18.2
H	6625	37.4	272	36.1
L	815	4.6	23*	3.1
F	3370	19.0	248*	32.9
AA	10465	59.1	488*	64.8
Total	17716	100	753	100

MOAHLFA index: M, male; O, occupational cause of dermatitis; A, atopy; H, hand dermatitis; L, leg dermatitis; F, facial dermatitis; and AA  $\geq$  40 years.

\* $\chi^2$ -test,  $p < 0.05$ .

**Table 2.** Leave-on or rinse-off cosmetic products listed as the exposure causing fragrance allergy

	Leave-on		Rinse-off		All No.
	No.	%	No.	%	
Women	556	75.9	176	24.1	732
Men	162	69.2	72	30.8	234
Total	718	74.3	248	25.7	966

**Table 3.** The cosmetic product groups listed as having caused fragrance allergic contact dermatitis

Cosmetic product categories	Men and women	Women		Men	
	n	n	%	n	%
Unspecified stay-on products	286	224	29.8	62	26.1
Deodorant	146	91	12.4	55	23.5
Scented lotion	142	123	16.8	19	8.1
Unspecified rinse-off products	104	77	10.5	27	11.5
Fine fragrances	93	85	11.6	8	3.4
Shampoo	76	57	7.8	19	8.1
Liquid soap	63	41	5.6	22	9.4
Aftershave	16	2	0.3	14	6.0
Lipstick	11	9	1.2	2	0.9
Sun lotion	6	5	0.7	1	0.4
Hairstyling product	6	5	0.7	1	0.4
Shaving foam	5	1	0.1	4	1.7
Mascara	4	4	0.5	0	0.0
Hair dye	4	4	0.5	0	0.0
Eyeshadow	2	2	0.3	0	0.0
Makeup cream	2	2	0.3	0	0.0
Sum of cosmetic product within each category listed as the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis	966	732		234	

patch tests were performed according to international guidelines (14) with Finn Chambers<sup>®</sup> (8 mm; Epitest Ltd Oy, Tuusula, Finland) applied on the back with Scanpor tape<sup>®</sup> (Norgesplaster A/S, Alpharma, As, Norway) and kept in place for 2 days. Readings were performed on day 2, 3 or 4, and on day 7, according to the recommendations of the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group (15).

Data administration and statistical analysis were performed using SPSS version 15 and OPENEpi (www.openepi.com). Percentages of the cosmetic product groups listed as causing a positive patch test reaction to a fragrance marker were calculated.  $\chi^2$ -tests for characteristic differences were performed, and  $p < 0.05$  was considered to be significant.


## Results

Fragrance contact allergy to one or more of the fragrance markers was found in 1790 (10.1%) of the participants. Cosmetic products were the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis in 753, comprising 42.1% of those with fragrance allergy, or 4.3% of the subjects consecutively examined for contact allergy. Some patients had more than one cosmetic product listed as causing their allergy; 966 product groups were listed. The majority of cosmetic products listed were 'leave-on' products (74.3%) rather than 'rinse-off' products (25.7%).

In general, many different cosmetic product categories were listed as causing fragrance allergic contact dermatitis (Table 3); 576 products had been listed as belonging to specific product categories. The commonest sources of allergic contact dermatitis were deodorants (25.3%), scented lotions (24.4%), fine fragrances (16.0%), shampoos (13.0%), liquid soaps (10.8%), aftershaves (2.7%), lipsticks (1.9%) and the remaining categories had frequencies of 1% or less (Fig. 1).

A sex difference was apparent in the distribution of cosmetic products listed as causing fragrance allergic contact dermatitis (Fig. 2). Deodorants, in particular, played a large role in men, accounting for 37.9% of the 145 products listed as causing fragrance allergic contact dermatitis among men, which was highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ). Scented lotions and fine fragrances played the largest role in women, accounting for 28.5% and 19.7%, respectively, of the products listed ( $n = 436$ ) and the sex difference was highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ). No sex difference was observed in the reporting of shampoo as the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis.

Figure 3 shows the role of the four most common products listed as having caused a positive patch test reaction to the different screening markers of the baseline series. There was a significant correlation between products listed as having caused allergy and the different markers ( $\chi^2$ -test,  $p < 0.001$ ). FM II and HICC were overrepresented in deodorants. Scented lotion




**Fig. 1.** Prevalence of specific cosmetic product groups listed as having caused fragrance allergy. The total number of specific products listed was 576.

and shampoo were more likely to be associated with fragrance allergic contact dermatitis detected by FMI and *M. pereirae*.


Among all the deodorants listed (n = 213) as having caused fragrance allergic contact dermatitis, an FM II allergy (34.3%) was more likely than an FM I (28.2%), HICC (24.9%) or *M. pereirae* (12.7%) allergy (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Adverse skin reactions caused by cosmetics are an increasing problem in the population of Denmark (16). The most frequent causes of cosmetic allergy have been shown to be fragrances (7, 11, 17, 18). Many different cosmetic product groups can cause allergic contact dermatitis; according to our study, it appears



**Fig. 2.** Sex distribution of the four most frequent cosmetic products listed as having caused fragrance allergic contact dermatitis (ACD). A statistical sex difference in deodorants listed as the cause of fragrance allergy was observed ( $p < 0.001$ ). The odds ratio for a deodorant listed as the cause of fragrance allergy in men versus women was 2.3 [confidence interval (CI) 1.5–3.5]. Likewise, a statistical sex difference was seen for scented lotion and fine fragrances as the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis ( $p < 0.001$ ). They were more frequent among women: the odds ratio for a cream with a scent was 2.6 (CI 1.6–4.5), and the odds ratio for a fine fragrance was 4.2 (CI 2.0–9.4). No sex difference was observed for shampoo listed as the cause of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis.



**Fig. 3.** The prevalence of each of the four most frequent cosmetic products responsible for fragrance allergy detected by different fragrance markers of the baseline series.

that the use of deodorants is especially associated with an increased risk of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis. We found deodorants listed as the leading causes of fragrance allergic contact dermatitis among eczema patients. Likewise, a study of the general population in Denmark reported deodorants as the leading causes of allergic and irritant contact dermatitis (16).

Deodorants are also related to first-time symptoms of fragrance allergy. A study of 925 eczema patients and a control group of 806 persons, randomly selected

from the population, reported a statistically significant correlation between development of a rash resulting from a scented deodorant as a first-time symptom (odds ratio: 2.3–2.9) and a later diagnosis of fragrance allergy (19). In a German study (20), eczema patients were patch tested with their own deodorants; 501 deodorants were tested, and 6.2% caused allergic reactions.

The sex difference in the use of cosmetic products is obvious, and a difference was expected with regard to

**Table 4.** The distribution of cosmetic product groups according to the fragrance screening markers that had a positive and clinically relevant patch test reaction (positive +, ++, +++)

Product	Fragrance screening markers of the baseline series									
	n	Fragrance mix I		Fragrance mix II		Hydroxyisohexyl 3-cyclohexene carboxaldehyde		Myroxylon pereirae		
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Deodorant	213	60	28.2	73	34.3	53	24.9	27	12.7	
Scented lotion	188	77	41.0	42	22.3	33	17.6	36	19.1	
Fine fragrances	144	58	40.3	42	29.2	32	22.2	12	8.3	
Shampoo	96	44	45.8	21	21.9	12	12.5	19	19.8	
Liquid soap	84	37	44.0	17	20.2	16	19.0	14	16.7	
Aftershave	23	9	39.1	6	26.1	3	13.0	5	21.7	
Lipstick	12	4	33.3	5	41.7	0	0.0	3	25.0	
Sun lotion	10	4	40.0	2	20.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	
Hairstyling product	6	3	50.0	2	33.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	
Shaving foam	6	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7	
Mascara	4	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	
Hair dye	4	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	
Eyeshadow	5	2	40.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	
Makeup cream	3	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	

A cosmetic product could be listed as the cause of allergic contact dermatitis resulting from more than one fragrance marker.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.