UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ILLUMINA INC., Petitioner,

v.

MOLECULAR LOOP BIOSCIENCES, INC., Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2024-00964 Patent No. 11,041,852

**DECLARATION OF PAUL T. SPELLMAN, PH.D.** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

I.	INTRODUCTION				
	A.	Qual	lifications and Experience1		
	B.	Mate	erials Considered5		
II.	LEV	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART			
III.	SUN	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS			
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS				
V.	BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART15				
	A.	First	Generation Sequencing		
	B.	Next	t Generation Sequencing Techniques Available as of		
		Dece	ember 201018		
		1.	Overview of Sequencing Workflow19		
		2.	Basic Principles Behind Illumina and Roche 454		
			Sequencing21		
		3.	Immobilization of Nucleic Acids of Interest25		
		4.	Amplification of Nucleic Acids of Interest		
		5.	Generation of Sequence Reads and Sequences of Nucleic		
			Acids of Interest		
		6.	Use of Sequence Identifiers in Multiplex Sequencing30		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without Watermarks at <u>docketalaring.com</u> of 157

#### IPR2024-00964 U.S. Patent No. 11,041,852

		7.	Resources available to a POSA before December 2010	39
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART			
	A.	Para	meswaran (EX1004)	40
	B.	Gloo	r (EX1005)	46
	C.	Bentl	<i>ley</i> (EX1006)	51
VII. THE '852 PATENT		53		
	A.	Over	view	53
	B.	The (	Claims of the '852 Patent	54
	C.	Discl	losures of the '852 Patent	56
	D.	Prose	ecution History of the '852 Patent	62
	E.	Clain	n Construction	64
VIII. CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER THE				
	PRIOR ART65			
	A.	Grou	nd 1: Claims 1-8 Would Have Been Obvious Over	
		Para	meswaran and Gloor	65
		1.	Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over Parameswaran	
			and <i>Gloor</i>	65
		2.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine	
			Parameswaran and Gloor	81

	3.	A POSA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of	
		Success in Combining Parameswaran and Gloor	
	4.	Dependent Claims 2-8 Would Have Been Obvious Over	
		the Combination of <i>Parameswaran</i> and <i>Gloor</i> 92	
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-8 Would Have Been Obvious Over Parameswaran and Bentley		
	1.	Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over Parameswaran	
		and <i>Bentley</i> 102	
	2.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine	
		Parameswaran and Bentley106	
	3.	A POSA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of	
		Success in Combining Parameswaran and Bentley106	
	4.	Dependent Claims 2-8 Would Have Been Obvious Over	
		Parameswaran and Bentley109	
C.	No U	Jnexpected Results or Other Evidence of Nonobviousness112	
CON	JCLUS	SION 112	

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without Watermarks at docketalarm.com

IX.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. I, Dr. Paul T. Spellman, have been retained as an independent expert in the field of genetics and DNA sequencing. I submit this declaration on behalf of Petitioner Illumina, Inc., in the above-captioned *inter partes* review ("IPR").

2. I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard hourly consulting rate of \$500/hour. I do not have any personal or financial stake or interest in the outcome of this proceeding and my compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my analysis or the outcome of this IPR or any other proceeding.

3. I am over 21 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein.

#### A. Qualifications and Experience

4. I believe that I am qualified to serve as a technical expert in this matter based upon my qualifications, discussed in detail below. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as **Appendix A** to this declaration.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.