

# Nader F. Mir

Curriculum Vitae (CV) - Last Update: Sep. 1, 2023

Nader F. Mir  
P. O. Box 721118  
San Jose, CA 95192.

Phone: (408) 505-1180  
E-mail: [nader.mir@sjsu.edu](mailto:nader.mir@sjsu.edu)  
[www.sjsu.edu/people/nader.mir](http://www.sjsu.edu/people/nader.mir)

## Education

- *Ph.D.* Degree: May 1995, Doctor of Science in Electrical Engineering, Washington University.  
*Research Concentration:* Analysis of High-Speed Switching Systems in Computer Networks
- *M.Sc.* Degree: Dec. 1990, Master of Science in Electrical Engineering, Washington University.  
*Specialization:* Design and Analysis of Computer and Communication Networks and Protocols.
- *B.Sc.* Degree: May 1985, Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering, Polytechnic University.  
*Concentration:* Communications Electronics.  
*Rank:* top 5%.

## Areas of Specialization

- Computer Networks/Protocols, TCP/IP, Virtualization, AI, Cloud Data Centers, Net. Security
- Internet Applications, Web, VoIP, Video Streaming, Multimedia Signaling/Networking, and CDNs
- Networking Devices, Switches, Routers, Gateways, Virtual Switches, SDN and NFV Devices
- Signaling in Wireless Mobile and Telecommunication Networks: SIP, IoT, and Wireless Sensor Networks.

## Professional Career Summary

- August 2005 to Present:
  - *Full Professor* (2005-present), Dept. of Electrical Eng., San Jose State University (SJSU), California.
  - *Department Associate Chair* (2006 – 2008), Department of Electrical Engineering, SJSU, California.
  - *Director* (2006 – 2017), University's graduate programs offered to three Silicon Valley companies.
  - *Patent Litigation Consultant* (since 2006), for various leading technology corporations (ie Google, Netflix)
- August 2001 to July 2005:  
*Associate Professor*, Department of Electrical Engineering, San Jose State University, California.
- August 1996 to July 2001:  
*Assistant Professor*, Department of Electrical & Computer Eng., University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.
- January 1995 to June 1996:  
*Research Scientist Engineer:* in charge of analyzing communications and high-speed computer networking projects, Advanced Telecommunications Institute, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- September 1989 to January 1995:  
*Research Assistant*, Computer & Communications Research Center, Washington University, St. Louis.
- May 1985 to December 1988:  
*Telecomm R&D Engineer* (in charge of engineering projects in telecommunication engineering), Telecommunication Research & Development Center (TRDC), Surrey.

## CONSULTING, INDUSTRY, AND MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE

### Patent Litigation Expert Witness Consultant

Dec. 2006 – present. Retained in patent litigation matters and experienced in:

- Analysis of patent claims
- Prior art search for patent invalidity
- Technology examination for infringement
- Patent infringement contention
- Claim construction assistance to counsel
- Claim charts on invalidity
- Invalidity Declarations (IPR, EPR, PGR)
- Expert report: infringement/non-infringement
- Rebuttal report (Various Courts and ITC)
- Markman process and testimony
- Deposition testimony
- Court testimony

Disclosable cases and periods engaged in:

#### 1. Case: Cisco v. Portsmouth

Date: January 2024 – present  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Cisco)  
Law Firm: Haynes and Boone, LLP – San Francisco, CA

#### 2. Case: Cisco v. Lionra

Date: October 2022 – December 2023  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Cisco)  
Law Firm: Haynes and Boone, LLP – San Francisco, CA

#### 3. Case: Dell and Cisco v. Corrigan

Date: August 2022 – October 2023  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Dell and Cisco)  
Law Firm: Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP – Palo Alto, CA

#### 4. Case: Google v. VoIP-Pal; and T-Mobile v. VoIP-Pal

Date: November 2021 – December 2022  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR) – four cases  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Google and T-Mobile)  
Law Firm: Paul Hastings LLP – Washington, DC (for Google)  
Law Firm: Perkins Coie LLP – Denver, CO (for T-Mobile)

#### 5. Case: Cisco v. WSOU Investments

Date: April 2021 – April 2022  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR) 3 cases, and *Ex Parte Reexamination* (EPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Cisco)  
Law Firm: Haynes and Boone, LLP – Plano, TX

#### 6. Case: Dell Computers, EMC, and VMWare v. WSOU Investments (Brazos Licensing)

Date: November 2020 – June 2022  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR), and *Ex Parte Reexamination* (EPR) -5 cases  
Worked on: Petitioner side (VMWare and Dell)

Law Firm: Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP – Palo Alto, CA

**7. Case: Estech Systems Inc v. Wells Fargo, BBVA USA, BOKF NA, Hancock Whitney, Plains Capital Bank, Regions Financial, Target Corp, and Cisco**

Date: November 2020 – April 2021  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Defendant side (Wells Fargo et al.)  
Law Firm: K&L Gates – Pittsburgh, PA.

**8. Case: Exafer v. Microsoft**

Date: August 2020 – June 2022  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (Exafer)  
Law Firm: Daignaultlyer LLP– New York, NY.

**9. Case: Arnouse Digital Devices Corp v. Klas Telecom, Klas Telecom Gov., USDJ**

Date: February 2020 – December 2020  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Defendant side (Klas Telecom, Inc.)  
Law Firm: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP – Washington, DC.

**10. Case: Evertz Microsystems v. Lawo AG et. al. (D21, D22)**

Date: December 2019 – June 2022  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (Evertz Microsystems)  
Law Firm: Banner Witcoff – Chicago, Illinois.

**11. Case: VMWare Inc. v. CiRBA Inc. and Densify Inc.**

Date: September 2019 – July 2021  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR), and *Ex Parte Reexamination* (EPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (VMWare Inc.)  
Law Firm: Dentons US LLP, - and - Morrison and & Foerster, LLP – San Francisco, CA.

**12. Case: Centre One v. AT&T et. al.**

Date: September 2019 – December 2019  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Defendant (AT&T)  
Law Firm: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP – Dallas, Texas.

**13. Case: Sipco v. Emerson Electric, Fisher-Rosemont Systems, Inc., and Rosemount Inc. (D20, C6)**

Date: May 2018 – September 2019  
Type: Patent Litigation on Domestic Products – *International Trade Commission* (ITC)  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (SIPCO)  
Law Firm: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP – Washington, DC.

**14. Case: Dispersive Networks v. VMWare Inc. (Nicira Inc., and Velocloud Networks Inc.) (D19)**

Date: June 2018 – April 2019  
Type: Patent Invalidity – *Post-Grant Review* (PGR)  
Worked on: Patent owner side (VMWare)  
Law Firm: Dentons US LLP – Washington, DC.

**15. Case: Wi-LAN Inc. v. LG, Lenovo, Motorola, and Qualcomm**

Date: September 2017 – May 2018  
Type: Patent Infringement

Worked on: Plaintiff side (Wi-LAN)  
Law Firm: Heim, Payne, and Chorush, LLP – Houston, TX

**16. Case: Arris v. Sony Corporations**

Date: June 2017 – November 2017  
Type: Patent Invalidation – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Sony)  
Law Firm: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP – Washington, DC.

**17. Case: Iridescent Networks v. AT&T**

Date: March 2017 – July 2017  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (Iridescent)  
Law Firm: Heim, Payne & Chorush LLP – Houston, TX

**18. Case: Affinity Labs v. Netflix Inc. (C4, C5)**

Date: June 2016 – May 2018  
Type: Patent Invalidation – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
The IPR was followed by two claim construction hearings at District Court of Texas  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Netflix)  
Law Firm: Marton Ribera Schumann & Chang LLP – San Francisco, CA, and  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP – San Francisco, CA

**19. Case: Limelight Networks v. Akamai Technologies, and XO Communications (D18)**

Date: June 2016 – April 2018  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Defendant side (Akamai)  
Law Firms: Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP – Boston, MA, and also, Wilmer Hale – Boston, MA

**20. Case: Philips v. HTC**

Date: February 2016 – December 2016  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Defendant side (HTC)  
Law Firm: Perkins Coie LLP – San Diego, CA.

**21. Case: Zix Corporation v. Echoworx Corporation**

Date: January 2016 – November 2017  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (Zix Corporation)  
Law Firm: Baker Botts LLP – Dallas, TX.

**22. Case: Cox Communications v. AT&T (D10 - D17)**

Date: January 2015 – March 2016  
Type: Patent Invalidation – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Cox Communications)  
Law Firm: Proskauer Rose LLP – Boston, MA

**23. Case: Cisco Systems v. Arista Networks (D8, C2, D9, C3)**

Date: January 2015 – December 2015  
Type: Patent Invalidation and Non-Infringement -- *International Trade Commission* (ITC)  
Worked on: Defendant side (Arista Networks)  
Law Firm: Fish & Richardson P.C – Washington, DC.

**24. Case: Nomadix v. Hospitality Core**

Date: December 2014 – March 2016  
Type: Patent Invalidation  
Worked on: Defendant side (Hospitality Core)  
Law Firm: Mehrman Law Office, PC – Atlanta, GA

**25. Case: Marvell Semiconductor v. Intellectual Ventures**

Date: November 2014 – April 2015  
Type: Patent Invalidation – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Patent owner side (Intellectual Ventures)  
Law Firm: McAndrews, Held & Malloy Ltd. – Chicago, IL

**26. Case: iControl Networks, Inc. v. Vringo Infrastructure Inc.**

Date: August 2014 – September 2014  
Type: Patent Invalidation -- *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (iControl Networks, Inc.)  
Law Firm: K&L Gates LLP – Palo Alto, CA

**27. Case: IBM v. Intellectual Ventures II, LLC (D6, D7)**

Date: June 2014 – May 2015  
Type: Patent Invalidation – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Patent owner side (Intellectual Ventures)  
Law Firm: Knobbe Marten Intellectual Property Law – Irvine, CA

**28. Case: Compass Bank Inc., and First National Bank of Omaha v. Intellectual Ventures II, LLC (D5)**

Date: June 2014 – February 2015  
Type: Patent Invalidation -- *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Patent owner side (Intellectual Ventures)  
Law Firms: Knobbe Marten Intellectual Property Law, – Irvine, CA

**29. Two Case: Palo Alto Networks v. Juniper Networks - and - Juniper Networks v. Palo Alto Networks**

Date: May 2014 – June 2014  
Type: Patent Infringement  
Worked on: Plaintiff side (Palo Alto Networks) - and - Defendant side (Palo Alto Networks)  
Law Firm: Morrison and & Foerster, LLP – San Francisco, CA

**30. Case: GlobeTechtrust (GTT), LLC v. AT&T, Inc, FairPoint Communications, CenturyLink, Qwest Communications, CenturyTel, Embarq Comm., and Savvis Comm., and West IP Communications Inc.**

Date: April 2014 – October 2014  
Type: Patent Analysis – *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (GlobeTechtrust)  
Law Firm: Russ August & Kabat, LLP – Los Angeles, CA.

**31. Two Cases: Genband v. Metaswitch Networks - and - Metaswitch Networks v. Genband (D4)**

Date: March 2014 – March 2016  
Type: Patent Non-infringement, and Patent Invalidation  
Worked on: Defendant side (Metaswitch Networks) - and - Plaintiff side (Metaswitch Networks)  
Law Firm: Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP – San Francisco, CA

**32. Case: Google Inc. v. Rockstar Consortium US LLP and Mobilestar Technologies LLC.**

Date: February 2014 – November 2014  
Type: Patent Invalidation -- *Inter Partes Review* (IPR)  
Worked on: Petitioner side (Google Inc.)  
Law Firm: Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier Neustadt, LLP – Houston, TX

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.