#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

APOTEX INC., Petitioner

v.

NOVO NORDISK A/S, PATENT OWNER

\_\_\_\_\_

CASE IPR2024-00631 U.S. PATENT NO. 10,335,462 ISSUED: JULY 2, 2019

TITLE: USE OF LONG-ACTING GLP-1 PEPTIDES

DECLARATION OF MARK J. RATAIN, M.D.

March 1, 2024



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

					<u>Page</u>	
TAB	LE OI	F CON	TEN'	ΓS	i	
TAB	LE OI	F ABB	REVI	ATIONS	v	
I.	QUA	LIFIC	CATIO	ONS AND BACKGROUND	1	
II.	LEG	AL ST	CAND	ARDS	7	
III.	PERS	SON C	F OF	RDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9	
IV.	BRIE	EF SUI	MMA	RY OF OPINIONS	10	
V.	THE	'462 I	PATE	NT [Ex.1001]	12	
	A.	THE S	SPECIF	ICATION AND CLAIMS OF THE '462 PATENT	12	
	B.	THE F	ROSE	CUTION HISTORY OF THE '462 PATENT	14	
VI.	CLA	IM CO	ONST	RUCTION	16	
VII.	BAC	BACKGROUND				
	A.	PHAR	MACO	KINETICS AND PHARMACODYNAMICS	18	
	B.	DRUG DEVELOPMENT - CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN				
	C.	PHARMACOKINETICS AND PHARMACODYNAMICS RELATED TO GLP-1 AND SEMAGLUTIDE				
		1.	GLP	-1	28	
		2.	GLP	-1 derivatives	29	
			a.	Liraglutide	32	
			b.	Semaglutide	36	
	D.	SEMA	GLUT	IDE CLINICAL TRIALS	37	
VIII.	SCO	PE AN	D CO	ONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART	40	
	A.	WO4	21 [E	x.1011]	42	
	B.	Lovs	HIN [E	Ex.1012]	43	
	C.	WO537 [Ex.1015]45				
	D.	SEMAGLUTIDE CLINICAL TRIALS				
		1.	NCT	657 [Ex.1013]	47	



		2.	NCT773 [Ex.1014]	49	
		3.	Public Availability of ClinicalTrials.gov	51	
	E.	Knu	DSEN 2004 [Ex.1032]	57	
	F.	LUND [Ex.1035]			
	G.	SEINO [Ex.1038]			
	Н.	VICTOZA LABEL [Ex.1039]			
	I.	SHARGEL [Ex.1045]			
	J.	Тамімі [Ех.1047]			
	K.	FDA Exposure Response 2003 [Ex.1048]			
	L.	ICH 1994 [Ex.1049]			
	M.	KNUDSEN 2010B [Ex.1066]			
	N.	ADDITIONAL PRIOR ART AND REFERENCES			
IX.	UNP	UNPATENTABILITY OF THE '462 PATENT			
	A.		GROUND 1: WO421 ANTICIPATED CLAIMS 1-3 OF THE '462 PATENT		
		1.	Teachings of WO421	73	
		2.	WO421 anticipated claim 1	73	
		3.	WO421 anticipated claim 2	79	
		4.	WO421 anticipated claim 3	79	
	B.	GROUND 2: LOVSHIN ANTICIPATED CLAIMS 1-3 OF THE '462 PATENT			
		1.	Teachings of Lovshin	80	
		2.	Lovshin anticipated claim 1	80	
		3.	Lovshin anticipated claim 2	83	
		4.	Lovshin anticipated claim 3	84	
	C.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-10 OF THE '462 PATENT WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER WO421			
		1.	Claim 1 would have been obvious over WO '421	84	
			a. Teachings of WO421	85	



		b.	Skilled artisans would have been motivated to pursue, with a reasonable expectation of success, the treatment of type 2 diabetes with a once weekly 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide	85	
	2.	Clair	m 2 would have been obvious over WO '421	93	
	3.	Claim 3 would have been obvious over WO '421			
	4.		ns 4-10 would have been obvious over WO '421 idering the '424 publication	94	
D.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-10 OF THE '462 PATENT WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER WO537 CONSIDERING LOVSHIN				
	1.	Claim 1 would have been obvious over WO537 considering Lovshin			
		a.	Teachings of WO537 and Lovshin	95	
		b.	Skilled artisans would have been motivated to pursue, with a reasonable expectation of success, the treatment of type 2 diabetes with a once weekly 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide	95	
	2.	Claim 2 would have been obvious over WO537 considering Lovshin			
	3.	Claim 3 would have been obvious over WO537 considering Lovshin			
	4.	Claims 4-10 would have been obvious over WO537 considering Lovshin			
E.	GROUND 5: CLAIMS 1-10 OF THE '462 PATENT WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER NCT657 AND NCT773				
	1.	Claim 1 would have been obvious over NCT657 and NCT773			
		a.	Teachings of NCT657 and NCT773	106	
		b.	Skilled artisans would have been motivated to pursue, with a reasonable expectation of success, the treatment of type 2 diabetes with a once weekly 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide	106	



X.	CON	CLU	SION	116
		2.	No long-felt, unmet need or skepticism	116
		1.	No unexpected results	115
	F.		SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OVERCOME <i>Prima Facie</i> iousness of the Claimed Alleged Inventions	115
		4.	Claims 4-10 would have been obvious over NCT657, NCT773, and the '424 publication	115
		3.	Claim 3 would have been obvious over NCT657 and NCT773	114
		2.	Claim 2 would have been obvious over NCT657 and NCT773	113



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

