

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APOTEX INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

NOVO NORDISK A/S,  
PATENT OWNER

---

CASE IPR2024-00631  
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,335,462  
ISSUED: JULY 2, 2019

TITLE:  
USE OF LONG-ACTING GLP-1 PEPTIDES

**DECLARATION OF JUDITH KORNER, M.D., Ph.D.**

March 1, 2024

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>I. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Education and Experience .....	1
B. Basis for Opinions and Materials Considered.....	4
C. Retention and Compensation .....	4
<b>II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>III. LEGAL STANDARDS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>V. THE '462 PATENT (Ex.1001) AND ITS CLAIMS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>VII. BACKGROUND ON DIABETES AND THE USE OF GLP-1 DERIVATIVES FOR THE TREATMENT OF DIABETES.....</b>	<b>18</b>
A. Diabetes Generally .....	18
B. Diabetes Treatment.....	20
C. The Use of GLP-1 Derivatives to Treat Diabetes .....	22
D. Use of Liraglutide to Treat Diabetes .....	24
E. Extended-Use GLP-1 Receptor Agonists.....	27
<b>VIII. SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART .....</b>	<b>36</b>
A. Lovshin (Ex.1012).....	37
B. U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. US2007/0010424 (Ex.1016) .....	39
C. WO 2006/097537 (Ex.1015).....	43
D. WO 2011/138421 (Ex.1011).....	48
E. Semaglutide Clinical Trial Records .....	51
1. Clinical Trial No. NCT00696657 (Ex.1013).....	51
2. Clinical Trial No. NCT00851773 (Ex.1014).....	53
3. ClinicalTrials.gov is a Part of the POSA's Knowledge.....	55

F.	Other Art that Informs the POSA’s Knowledge .....	62
1.	Drucker 2003 (Ex.1023) .....	62
2.	Holst 2004 (Ex.1028).....	63
3.	Knudsen 2001 (Ex.1031) .....	66
4.	Knudsen 2004 (Ex.1032) .....	70
5.	Knudsen patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,268,343) (Ex.1034).....	74
6.	Lund (Ex.1035) .....	79
7.	Victoza label (Ex.1039) .....	79
8.	WO 03/002136 (Ex.1041).....	82
9.	Additional prior art and references .....	86
<b>IX.</b>	<b>UNPATENTABILITY OF THE CLAIMS OF THE ’462</b>	
	<b>PATENT .....</b>	<b>87</b>
A.	Ground 1: WO421 Anticipated Claims 1-3 .....	87
1.	WO421 anticipated independent claim 1 .....	87
2.	WO421 anticipated claim 2 .....	99
3.	WO421 anticipated claim 3 .....	101
B.	Ground 2: Lovshin Anticipated Claims 1-3 .....	103
1.	Lovshin anticipated independent claim 1 .....	103
a.	Lovshin disclosed the preamble of claim 1 .....	103
b.	Lovshin disclosed the element “comprising administering semaglutide” .....	104
c.	Lovshin disclosed the element “once weekly in an amount of 1.0 mg” .....	105
d.	Lovshin disclosed the element “to a subject in need thereof” .....	108
2.	Lovshin anticipated claim 2 .....	109
3.	Lovshin anticipated claim 3 .....	110
4.	Claim 1 of the ’462 patent would have been obvious over WO421 alone .....	110

a.	A skilled artisan would have been motivated to pursue the method recited in claim 1 .....	111
b.	A skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success treating type 2 diabetes with a once weekly, 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide .....	115
5.	Claims 2 and 3 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO421 alone .....	118
6.	Claims 4-10 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO421 in view of the '424 publication .....	121
C.	Ground 4: Claims 1-10 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO537 in view of Lovshin .....	122
1.	Claim 1 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO537 in view of Lovshin.....	122
a.	A skilled artisan would have been motivated to pursue the method recited in claim 1 .....	122
b.	A skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success treating type 2 diabetes with a once weekly, 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide .....	127
2.	Claims 2 and 3 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO537 in view of Lovshin.....	130
3.	Claims 4-10 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over WO537 in view of Lovshin .....	132
D.	Ground 5: Claims 1-10 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over NCT657 in view of NCT773 and further in view of the '424 publication .....	133
1.	Claim 1 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over NCT657 in view of NCT773 .....	133
a.	A skilled artisan would have been motivated to pursue the method recited in claim 1 .....	137
b.	A skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success treating type 2 diabetes with a once weekly, 1.0 mg dose of semaglutide .....	143

2.	Claims 2 and 3 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over NCT657 in view of NCT773 .....	149
3.	Claims 4-10 of the '462 patent would have been obvious over NCT657 in view of NCT773 and further in view of the '424 publication .....	151
E.	No Secondary Considerations Overcome <i>Prima Facie</i> Obviousness.....	152
1.	No unexpected results .....	152
2.	A POSA would have known there was no long-felt, unmet need for a once weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist or 1.0 mg dosing, nor was there any skepticism in the art.....	153
<b>X.</b>	<b>RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.....</b>	<b>153</b>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.