

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,778	11/26/2003	Henry DaCosta	IMM174	4196
	7590 03/06/200 ARTMENT (51851)	EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1001 WEST FOURTH STREET			LIANG, REGINA	
	LEM, NC 27101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/723,778	DACOSTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Regina Liang	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2008.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,16-23 and 26-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 16-23, 26-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
1		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20080226				

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Valvo Corn v Immorcion Corn

Application/Control Number: 10/723,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/16/08 has been entered. Claims 1-13, 16-23, 26-32 are pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.



Application/Control Number: 10/723,778 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Fig. 3 and section [0050] of the specification discloses "If the speed is less than the speed threshold, the change in pseudo pressure is compared to a threshold value 322. If the change in pseudo pressure is less than or equal to the threshold, the processor (106) returns to step 302 in the process. If the change in pseudo pressure is greater than the threshold, the processor (106) determines whether the first interval has elapsed 324, if so, the processor (106) concludes that the user is pressing 326 and the processor (106) returns to step 302 in the process". In step 322, the specification discloses the change in pseudo pressure is compared to a threshold value. Although the specification discloses in steps 302 and 306 of Fig. 3, comparing the pressure signal to an upper threshold and to a lower threshold, respectively, the specification does not disclose in step 322 that the change in pseudo pressure is compared to a first pressure threshold value and a second pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal if the pressure signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value and the second pressure threshold value. Therefore, the specification does not provide support for "comparing to a second pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value and the second pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure threshold value, and outputting the signal is greater than both first pressure

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 19-23, 26-28, 30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 19-23, 26-28, 30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being non-statutory because claims although claim a computer-readable



Application/Control Number: 10/723,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

medium on which is encoded programming code, however, page 8, [0024] of the specification discloses "Embodiments of computer-readable media include, but are not limited to, an electronic, optical, magnetic, or other storage or transmission device capable of providing a processor, Also, various other forms of commuter-readable media may transmit or carry instructions to a computer, including a router, private or public network, or other transmission device or channel, both wired and wireless", in light of the definition in the specification, the medium as claimed is that of a signal. As set forth in the Interim Guidelines, page 55, "A claimed signal has no physical structure, does not itself perform any useful, concrete and tangible result and, thus, does not fit within the definition of a machine". Therefore, claims 19-23, 26-28, 30, 32 are nothing but a signal and signal is non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1-3, 5-13, 16, 17, 19-23, 26, 27, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillespie et al (US 5,880,411 hereinafter Gillespie) in view of Astala et al (US 6,590,568 hereinafter Astala).

As to claims 1, 19, Gillespie discloses a method comprising: receiving a pressure signal (e.g. Z-value, Fig. 1) indicating a pressure from an input device (e.g. finger); determining a change in pressure based at least in part on the pressure signal (col. 23, lines 25-32, col. 24, lines 44-60 for example); determining a velocity associated with the pressure signal; and outputting a press signal if the velocity is less than the velocity threshold (col. 36, lines 26-47, which states" There are several ways to distinguish between a true drag and a press. **A true drag can be** identified if the finger's speed of motion prior to lift-off is above a small threshold. A press

identified if the iniger's speed of motion prior to inte-our is above a small timeshold. A press



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

