

Filed on behalf of Petitioner by:

Paper No. ___

Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149

Adam R. Wichman, Reg. No. 43,988

Nathan R. Speed (*pro hac vice* application forthcoming)

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

600 Atlantic Ave.

Boston, MA 02210-2206

Tel: 617-646-8000

Fax: 617-646-8646

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VALVE CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

IMMERSION CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD
Patent No. 8,749,507

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STANDING CERTIFICATION.....	2
III.	UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS.....	2
IV.	'507 PATENT.....	3
	A. Challenged Claims	4
	B. Prosecution History	5
	C. Apple IPRs	6
	D. POSA.....	7
V.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION	7
	A. “determining a press if... a first interval has elapsed”.....	7
	1. Claim language	8
	2. Specification.....	9
	a. Fig. 2 Embodiment	9
	(1) First Tick Count.....	10
	(2) Release Tick Count	11
	b. Fig. 3 Embodiment	12
	B. Other Constructions.....	14
VI.	UNPATENTABILITY ANALYSIS	15
	A. Ground 1: Astala+Shahoian Renders Obvious Claims 1-18.....	15
	1. Astala.....	15
	2. Shahoian.....	17
	3. Reasons to Combine	17
	4. Claim 1	20
	a. 1[PRE]: “A method comprising:”	20
	b. [1A]: “receiving contact data from an input device”	20
	(1) Claim Terms	20
	(i) “input device”	20

(ii)	“contact data”	21
(2)	Astala+Shahoian meets [1A].....	21
c.	[1B]: “determining an interaction with a displayed object on a screen based on the contact data”	22
d.	[1C]: “responsive to determining the interaction, determining a gesture based on the contact data comprising”	23
e.	[1D]: “determining a pressure and a change in pressure based on the contact data”	24
(1)	“determining a pressure”	24
(2)	“determining... a change in pressure”	24
f.	[1E]: “determining a press if”	25
g.	[1E1]: “the pressure is greater than a pressure threshold”	25
h.	[1E2]: “the change in pressure is greater than a change in pressure threshold”	26
(1)	Astala+Shahoian Determining Whether a Pressure Reduction Exceeds a Threshold Meets [1E2]	26
(2)	Obvious To Add A Pressure-Change Determination to Astala’s Step 710.	28
i.	[1E3]: “a first interval has elapsed”	29
(1)	Astala+Shahoian Meets [1E3] Under the Proper Construction.....	29
(2)	An Obvious Modification of Astala+Shahoian Meets The IPR2016-01777 Construction	30
j.	[1F]: “responsive to determining the gesture, outputting a haptic effect.”	31
5.	Claim 2	31
a.	Pseudo pressure	31
b.	Actual pressure	32
6.	Claim 3	33

7.	Claim 4.....	33
8.	Claim 5.....	33
9.	Claim 6.....	34
10.	Claim 7.....	35
	a. Preamble	35
	b. Limitation [7A].....	35
	c. Limitation [7B].....	36
	d. Limitation [7C].....	37
11.	Claim 8.....	37
12.	Claim 9.....	38
	a. Astala+Shahoian Uses Non-Transitory CRM With Program Code Executed by a Processor.....	38
	b. Astala+Shahoia’s Program Code Causes a Processor to Perform [9A]-[9F]’s Method Steps	39
13.	Claims 10-13.....	40
14.	Claim 14.....	40
	a. Astala+Shahoian’s System Has a Processor and CRM	40
	b. Astala+Shahoia’s Processor is Configured to Perform [14C]-[14H].....	41
15.	Claims 15-18.....	42
B.	Ground 2: Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov Renders Obvious Claims 1, 6-9, 13-14, and 18.....	42
	1. Keely	42
	2. Kolmykov-Zotov.....	43
	3. Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov.....	44
	a. Reasons to combine.....	44
	b. Reasonable expectation of success.....	46
	c. Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov	47
	4. Claim 1	47

a.	Preamble	47
b.	Limitation [1A].....	47
c.	Limitation [1B].....	49
d.	Limitation [1C].....	50
e.	Limitation [1D].....	51
f.	Limitation [1E]	53
g.	Limitations [1E1]-[1E2]	53
h.	Limitation [1E3]	55
i.	Determining a Press ([1E]-[1E3])	56
(1)	Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov Meets the Proper Construction	56
(2)	Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov Meets a Narrower Construction	57
j.	Limitation [1F].....	58
5.	Claim 6.....	59
6.	Claim 7	60
a.	Preamble	60
b.	Limitation [7A].....	61
c.	Limitations [7B]-[7C].....	62
7.	Claim 8.....	63
a.	“filter”	63
b.	Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov meets claim 8.....	63
8.	Claims 9 and 13.....	64
9.	Claims 14 and 18.....	65
C.	Ground 3: Keely+Kolmykov-Zotov+Shahoian Renders Obvious Claims 2-5, 10-12, and 15-17.....	66
1.	Claim 2.....	67
2.	Claim 3.....	67
3.	Claims 4-5	68
4.	Claims 10-12, 15-17.....	69

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.