UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., Petitioner

IPR2024-00352 U.S. Patent No. 9,247,174

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETI	TION	ER'S E	EXHIBIT LIST	5		
I.	INTR	INTRODUCTION				
II.	GRO	GROUNDS FOR STANDING6				
III.	NOT	NOTE				
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '174 PATENT					
	A.	Overv	view of the '174 Patent	7		
	B.	Prose	cution History	9		
V.	LEVI	EL OF	ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10		
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	"pane	el"	11		
	B.	"at le	ast one"	11		
VII.			EQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE ED RELIEF	11		
VIII.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE12					
	A.	Chall	enged Claims and Statutory Grounds for Challenge	12		
	B.		nd 1: Claims 1-14 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) oveds			
		1.	Summary of Woods	13		
		2.	Claim 1	15		
		3.	Claim 2	60		
		4.	Claim 3	63		



	5.	Claim 4	64
	6.	Claim 5	67
	7.	Claim 6	69
	8.	Claim 7	72
	9.	Claim 8	75
	10.	Claim 9	77
	11.	Claim 10	78
	12.	Claims 11-14	80
C.		and 2: Claims 6, 8, and 14 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. 3(a) over Woods in view of Istvan.	80
	1.	Summary of Istvan	80
	2.	Reasons to Combine Woods and Istvan	. 81
	3.	Claim 6	85
	4.	Claim 8	87
	5.	Claim 14	89
D.		and 3: Claims 1-14 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over ods in view of Machida.	
	6.	Summary of Machida	90
	7.	Reasons to Combine Woods and Machida	92
	8.	Claims 1-14	95
E.		and 4: Claims 6, 8, and 14 are obvious under 35 U.S.C.	98



		9. Claims 6, 8, and 14	98
IX.	DISC	CRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE	.98
	A.	Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate	98
	B.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate	99
X.	CON	CLUSION	.99
XI.	MAN	NDATORY NOTICES1	.00
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest	00
	B.	Related Matters	00
	C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information 1	.00
XII.	CLA	IM APPENDIX1	.02
CER	ΓΙFIC	ATE OF WORD COUNT1	07
CED	FIEIC	ATE OF CEDITICE	Λ0



PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,247,174 to Sirpal et al.
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. 9,247,174
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Andrew Lippman under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex.1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Lippman
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0262938 to Woods et al. ("Woods")
Ex.1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0060750 to Istvan et al. ("Istvan")
Ex.1007	"CurioView: TV Recommendations Related to Content Being Viewed," Hideki Sumiyoshi, IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia System and Broadcasting 2010 ("CurioView")
Ex.1008	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0047920 to Machida et al. ("Machida")
Ex.1009	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2011/0219395 to Moshiri et al. ("Moshiri")
Ex.1010	WO2013133915 to Cherry et al. ("Cherry")
Ex.1011	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0054794 to Kim et al. ("Kim")



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

