

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES PTE.
LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS INC. AND LG
ELECTRONICS USA, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-CV-00494-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**NOTICE OF SOTERA STIPULATION OF
DEFENDANTS LG ELECTRONICS INC. AND LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.**

On December 20, 21, and 22, 2023, Defendant LG Electronics Inc. and LG Electronics USA, Inc. (collectively, “LG” or “Defendants”) filed petitions for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) of some of the asserted patents in this lawsuit – IPR2024-00351, IPR2024-00352, IPR2024-00353, and IPR2024-00354 – with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the “PTAB”). Both Defendants were named as Petitioners and as real parties-in-interest in each IPR proceeding. The table below indicates the IPR number, challenged patent number, and challenged claims of the respective petitions filed before the PTAB:

IPR No.	Asserted U.S. Patent No.	Challenged Claims
IPR2024-00351	9,510,040	1-6, 11-16, and 21-22
IPR2024-00352	9,247,174	1-14
IPR2024-00353	9,055,254	1-4, 6, 8-10, 12-17, and 19
IPR2024-00354	10,419,805	1-18

The claims challenged in these IPR petitions include all the claims of the above respective asserted patents that Plaintiff Multimedia Technologies Pte. Ltd. (“Multimedia” or “Plaintiff”)

has asserted against Defendants in this litigation.¹

Defendants hereby notify the Court and Plaintiff that Defendants are submitting the following “*Sotera* stipulations” in support of the petitions in IPR2024-00351, IPR2024-00352, IPR2024-00353, and IPR2024-00354. *See Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.*, IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 at 18–19 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020) (“*Sotera*”).

Defendants hereby stipulate, consistent with the stipulation made by the Petitioner in *Sotera* that, if the PTAB institutes an IPR in response to Defendants’ petition against Plaintiff’s U.S. Patent No. 9,510,040 (IPR2024-00351), Defendants will not pursue in this litigation the grounds raised or any other grounds that could have reasonably been raised before the PTAB in that instituted proceeding (*i.e.*, any ground that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

Defendants hereby stipulate, consistent with the stipulation made by the Petitioner in *Sotera* that, if the PTAB institutes an IPR in response to Defendants’ petition against Plaintiff’s U.S. Patent No. 9,247,174 (IPR2024-00352), Defendants will not pursue in this litigation the grounds raised or any other grounds that could have reasonably been raised before the PTAB in that instituted proceeding (*i.e.*, any ground that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

Defendants hereby stipulate, consistent with the stipulation made by the Petitioner in *Sotera* that, if the PTAB institutes an IPR in response to Defendants’ petition against Plaintiff’s U.S. Patent No. 9,055,254 (IPR2024-00353), Defendants will not pursue in this litigation the grounds raised or any other grounds that could have reasonably been raised before the PTAB in

¹ Plaintiff asserts seven other patents in this lawsuit that are not subject to the above IPR petitions filed by Defendants.

that instituted proceeding (*i.e.*, any ground that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

Defendants hereby stipulate, consistent with the stipulation made by the Petitioner in *Sotera* that, if the PTAB institutes an IPR in response to Defendants' petition against Plaintiff's U.S. Patent No. 10,419,805 (IPR2024-00354), Defendants will not pursue in this litigation the grounds raised or any other grounds that could have reasonably been raised before the PTAB in that instituted proceeding (*i.e.*, any ground that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

Defendants' *Sotera* stipulations above are not intended and should not be construed to limit Defendants' ability to assert invalidity of any claims of the patents-at-issue in this lawsuit based on any other ground.

Dated: April 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Collin W. Park

Melissa Richards Smith
Texas Bar No. 24001351
Gillam & Smith LLP
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, TX 75670
Telephone: (903) 934-8450
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

James Travis Underwood
Texas Bar No. 24102587
Gillam & Smith LLP
102 N College, Suite 800
Tyler, TX 75702
Telephone: (903) 934-8450
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257
travis@gillamsmithlaw.com

Susan Stradley
Texas Bar No. 24117102
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1000 Louisiana St., Suite 4000
Houston, TX 77002-5006
Telephone: 713.890.5151
Facsimile: 713.890.5001
susan.stradley@morganlewis.com

Jason C. White*
Illinois Bar No. 6238352
Nicholas A. Restauri*
Illinois Bar No. 6309995
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
110 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2800
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 312.324.1000
Facsimile: 312.324.1001
jason.white@morganlewis.com
nicholas.restauri@morganlewis.com

Collin W. Park (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Natalie A. Bennett*
Illinois Bar No. 6304611
Kevin J. Spinella (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 739-3000
Facsimile: (202) 739-3001
collin.park@morganlewis.com
natalie.bennett@morganlewis.com
kevin.spinella@morganlewis.com

Jason E. Gettleman (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
Austin L. Zuck (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Brooke Quesinberry (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1400 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 843-4000
Facsimile: (650) 843-4001
jason.gettleman@morganlewis.com
austin.zuck@morganlewis.com

brooke.quesinberry@morganlewis.com

*Admitted to practice

*Attorneys for Defendants LG Electronics Inc. and
LG Electronics USA, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of the foregoing document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) this April 19, 2024.

/s/ Collin W. Park

Collin W. Park