IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

LEXOS MEDIA IP, LLC,	§		
	Ş		
V.	§	Civil Action No. 2:22-CV-00169-JRG	
	§	(Lead Case)	
AMAZON.COM, INC.	§		
	ş		
LEXOS MEDIA IP, LLC,	§		
	§	Civil Action No. 2:22-CV-00175-JRG	
V.	§	(Member Case)	
	§		
TARGET CORPORATION			
	8		
LEXOS MEDIA IP, LLC,	§		
	§	Civil Action No. 2:22-CV-00273-JRG	
V.	§	(Member Case)	
	§		
OFFICE DEPOT, LLC.	§		

PARTIES' JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3 and the Court's First Amended Docket Control Order (Dkt. 87), Plaintiff Lexos Media, IP LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Lexos Media") and Defendants Amazon.com, Inc., Target Corporation, and Office Depot, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") hereby submit their Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

The patents-in-suit are U.S. Patent Nos. 5,995,102 (the "102 Patent"), 6,118,449 (the "449 Patent"), and 7,975,241 (the "241 Patent") (collectively, "the Asserted Patents"). The 102 Patent was issued on November 30, 1999, based upon an application filed on June 25, 1997. The 499 Patent was issued on September 12, 2000, based upon a continuation application of the 102

DADTIES'D D. 4 2 DIGOLOGUDE OF LOWE OF A DA

RM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:22-cv-00169-JRG Document 89 Filed 05/16/23 Page 2 of 7 PageID #: 1194

Patent. The '241 Patent was issued on July 5, 2011, based upon the same specification as in the application for the '102 Patent. Plaintiff has asserted that Defendants have infringed Claim 72 of the '102 Patent, Claims 1, 38, and 53 of the '449 Patent, and Claim 35 of the '241 Patent.

Section I below identifies the terms, phrases, or clauses within the asserted claim elements of the Asserted Patents for which the Parties have agreed as to the proper construction. Section II below and Exhibits 1-3 set forth the Parties' proposed constructions for the disputed the terms, phrases, or clauses within the claim elements of the Asserted Patents, along with the identification of supporting intrinsic and extrinsic evidence. Section III below states the Parties' positions regarding the length of the claim construction hearing. Section IV below addresses the expert testimony that the Parties may rely on in support of their proposed claim constructions. Section V below addresses the Parties' current position on the need for a prehearing conference.

I. P.R. 4-3(a)(1): Agreed Claim Construction

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3(a)(1), the Parties have agreed to the construction of the claim terms, phrases, or clauses set forth hereto:¹

PROPOSED CLAIM TERM	PARTIES' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	
"modified cursor image" <i>See</i> '449 Patent, Claims 1 and 38; '241 Patent, Claim 35.	"cursor image" should be construed as set forth separately. Plain and ordinary meaning.	
"promotional material" <i>See</i> '241 Patent, Claim 35.	Plain and ordinary meaning.	

¹ If the Parties reach an agreement at a later date regarding any additional claim terms, the Parties plan to supplement this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement to reflect this agreement.

PROPOSED CLAIM TERM	PARTIES' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
"said specific image includes/including content corresponding to at least a portion of said information that is to be displayed on said display of said user's terminal" See '102 Patent, Claim 72; '449 Patent, Claims 1, 38, 53.	"an image representative of at least a portion of the subject or topic being displayed on the screen" <i>Lexos Media IP, LLC v. APMEX, Inc.</i> , No. 216CV00747JRGRSP, 2017 WL 1021366, at *5–6 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 16, 2017).
 <i>"specified content information"</i> <i>See</i> '102 Patent, Claim 72; '449 Patent, Claims 1, 38 and 53. <i>"content information"</i> <i>See</i> '241 Patent, Claim 35. 	The term "specified content information" / "content information" means "information provided to a user's terminal for use in the display, such as a web page."
"visual image" <i>See</i> '241 Patent, Claim 35.	Plain and ordinary meaning.

II. P.R. 4-3(b): Disputed Claim Construction

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3(a)(2), the charts identifying each party's proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, together with an identification of all intrinsic and extrinsic evidence are set forth in the exhibits attached hereto:

Chart	EXHIBIT(S)
Parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart	Exhibit 1
Plaintiff's Claim Construction Chart Identifying Supporting Evidence	Exhibit 2
Defendants' Claim Construction Chart Identifying Supporting Evidence	Exhibit 3

DADTIES D. A 2 DIGOLOGUED OF LOINT OF A INA

DOCKET

Δ

Δ

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

III. P.R. 4-3(a)(3): Length of Claim Construction Hearing

According to the Court's First Amended Docket Control Order (Dkt. 87) and the Court's Notice of Hearing entered on April 26, 2023, the Claim Construction Hearing is scheduled to be held on August 9, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap.

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3(a)(3), the Parties anticipate requiring 90 minutes per side for the Claim Construction Hearing.

IV. P.R. 4-3(a)(4): Witness Testimony at Claim Construction Hearing

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3(a)(4), the Parties have agreed to not rely on expert witness testimony to support their claim construction arguments and hence, do not propose to call any witnesses, including experts, to provide testimony at the Claim Construction hearing.

V. Patent Rule 4-3(a)(5): Other Issues

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3(a)(5), the Parties do not believe that there are any other issues which might appropriately be taken up at a prehearing conference prior to the Claim Construction Hearing.

Dated: May 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

BUETHER JOE & COUNSELORS, LLC PERKINS COIE LLP

By: <u>/s/ Eric. W. Buether</u> Eric W. Buether Texas State Bar No. 03316880 Eric.Buether@BJCIPLaw.com Christopher M. Joe Texas State Bar No. 00787770 Chris.Joe@BJCIPLaw.com Kenneth P. Kula Texas State Bar No. 24004749 Ken.Kula@BJCIPLaw.com 1700 Pacific Avenue Suite 4750 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 466-1271 Facsimile: (214) 635-1827

SETHLAW PLLC

<u>/s/ Sandeep Seth</u> Sandeep Seth Texas State Bar No. 18043000 ss@sethlaw.com 700 Milam Street, Suite 1300 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 244-5017 Facsimile: (713) 244-5017

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF LEXOS MEDIA IP, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Janice L. Ta</u>

Janice L. Ta, Texas 24075138 JTa@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP 405 Colorado St., Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Tel: (737) 256-6100 Fax: (737) 256-6300

Daniel T. Shvodian, California 184576 (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*) DShvodian@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP 3150 Porter Drive Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 838-4300 Fax: (650) 838-4350

Adam G. Hester, Wisconsin 1128794 (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*) AHester@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP 33 East Main Street Suite 201 Madison, WI 53703-3095 Tel: (650) 838-4311 Fax: (650) 838-4350

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS AMAZON.COM, INC.

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: <u>/s/ Janice L. Ta</u> Janice L. Ta, Texas 24075138 JTa@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP 405 Colorado St., Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Tel: (737) 256-6100 Fax: (737) 256-6300

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.