

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

CARBYNE BIOMETRICS, LLC,

Patent Owner

---

IPR2024-00329

U.S. Patent No. 9,972,010

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES ..... 1

    A. Real Party-in-Interest ..... 1

    B. Related Matters..... 1

    C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information ..... 1

III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING ..... 2

IV. THE '010 PATENT ..... 2

    A. Summary of the '010 patent ..... 2

    B. Prosecution History ..... 3

V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART ..... 4

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 5

VII. REQUESTED RELIEF ..... 5

VIII. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGES ..... 6

    A. Challenged Claims ..... 6

    B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges ..... 6

IX. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.... 7

    A. Overview of Prior Art..... 7

        1. Stone..... 7

        2. Hoyos ..... 8

        3. Varghese..... 10

        4. FFIEC Guidance ..... 12

        5. Analogous Art..... 13

    B. Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-10, 12-14, 17, 20-21, and 23 are  
    Rendered Obvious under §103(a) over Stone in view of Hoyos. .... 14

        1. Combination of Disclosures/Embodiments of Stone..... 14

        2. Combination of Stone and Hoyos (“Stone-Hoyos”)..... 17

        3. Claim 1 ..... 22

---

4.	Claim 2.....	47
5.	Claim 4.....	49
6.	Claim 5.....	51
7.	Claim 6.....	52
8.	Claim 9.....	53
9.	Claims 10, 12, 13, 14 .....	55
10.	Claim 17.....	55
11.	Claim 20.....	56
12.	Claim 21 .....	57
13.	Claim 23 .....	57
C.	Ground 2: Claims 7, 15, and 22 are Rendered Obvious under §103(a) over Stone-Hoyos-Varghese.....	60
1.	Combination of Stone, Hoyos, and Varghese (“Stone-Hoyos-Varghese”).....	60
2.	Claims 7 and 15.....	64
3.	Claim 22 .....	67
D.	Ground 3: Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10, 12-15, 17, 20-23 are Rendered Obvious under §103(a) over Stone in view of FFIEC Guidance.....	68
1.	Combination of Stone and FFIEC Guidance (“Stone-Guidance”) .....	68
2.	Claim 1 .....	70
3.	Claims 2, 4, 5, 6 .....	78
4.	Claim 7.....	79
5.	Claim 9.....	81
6.	Claims 10, 12, 13, 14 .....	81
7.	Claim 15 .....	81
8.	Claim 17.....	81
9.	Claim 20.....	82
10.	Claim 21 .....	83

---

11. Claim 22 .....	84
12. Claim 23 .....	84
X. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED .....	85
A. The <i>Fintiv</i> factors favor institution. ....	86
B. <i>Advanced Bionics</i> Test Favors Institution.....	88
XI. CONCLUSION.....	89
XII. CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....	90
<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....</b>	<b>91</b>

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

January 30, 2024

APPL-1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,972,010 to Jakobsson (“’010 patent”)
APPL-1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. App. No. 13/875,245 (“’245 App”)
APPL-1003	Declaration of Dr. Creed Jones (“Jones Decl.”)
APPL-1004	CV of Dr. Creed Jones
APPL-1005	Prosecution File History of U.S. Prov. App. 61/332,140 (“’140 App”)
APPL-1006	Prosecution File History of U.S. App. No. 13/099,981 (“’981 App”)
APPL-1007	RESERVED
APPL-1008	U.S. Pub. No. 2010/0042535 to Stone (“Stone”)
APPL-1009	U.S. Patent No. 8,386,620 to Chatterjee (“Chatterjee”)
APPL-1010	U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0282660 to Varghese et al. (“Varghese”)
APPL-1011	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0135188 to Ding et al. (“Ding”)
APPL-1012	U.S. Patent No. 8,355,530 to Park et al. (“Park”)
APPL-1013	U.S. Pub. No. 2010/0014720 to Hoyos et al. (“Hoyos”)
APPL-1014	U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0158307 to Lee et al. (“Lee”)
APPL-1015	U.S. Patent No. 8,150,772 to Mardikar et al. (“Mardikar”)
APPL-1016	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0157560 to Carter et al. (“Carter”)
APPL-1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,164,992 to Turk et al. (“Turk”)
APPL-1018	U.S. Patent No. 7,814,332 to Beenau et al. (“Beenau”)
APPL-1019	U.S. Patent No. 10,373,173 to Winner et al. (“Winner”)
APPL-1020	U.S. Patent No. 7,856,472 to Arav (“Arav”)
APPL-1021	Heusch, et al., “Local Binary Patterns as an Image Preprocessing for Face Authentication,” IDIAP Research Institute Report, November 2005 (“Heusch”)
APPL-1022	Oracle-Bharosa Acquisition Announcement, 2007,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.