

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

TOUCHSTREAM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00795
U.S. Patent No. 8,356,251

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. The '251 Patent.....4
 A. Claims4
 B. Specification6
 C. Prosecution History11
II. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art12
III. Claim Construction.....13
 A. “Media Player”13
 B. “Programming Code”16
IV. Petitioner’s Grounds and Cited References.....20
 A. Muthukumarasamy20
 1. Muthukumarasamy’s presentation and selection of content22
 2. Muthukumarasamy describes two distinct processes that may be initiated by the IED.....24
 B. Hayward.....28
V. Legal Standards29
VI. The Challenged Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious over Muthukumarasamy Alone (Ground I) or in View of Hayward (Ground II)30
 A. Muthukumarasamy Describes Two Distinct Processes, Neither Disclosing the Claimed Method, and Petitioner Fails to Show Any Obvious Modification Satisfying the Claims31
 1. Petitioner Relies on Muthukumarasamy’s Descriptions of Two Separate Processes32
 2. Neither the RCIBS Process nor the zHub/zNode Process Discloses All the Limitations of the Challenged Independent Claims37
 B. Muthukumarasamy Does Not Disclose or Suggest Converting the Universal Playback Control Command Includes Selecting from among a Plurality of Specific Commands That Correspond to a Respective Media Player54
 C. Petitioner Fails to Prove That an Ordinary Artisan Would Have Considered the zHub and zNode Part of a *Server System* with Muthukumarasamy’s Server
 55

D. Claims 2, 5, 6–8, 9 Would Not Have Been Obvious.....58
VII. Conclusion58

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	<i>Touchstream Technologies, Inc. v. Google LLC</i> , Case No. 6:21-cv-00569-ADA (E.D. Tex.) - Docket
2002	DocketNavigator Time to Milestones – Waco Division, from September 18, 2018 to present
2003	Email from Google's Counsel, dated July 7, 2022
2004	Declaration of Jordan T. Bergsten
2005	Touchstream's Preliminary Infringement Contentions, dated August 27, 2021
2006	Google's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, dated October 22, 2021
2007	Google's Supplemental Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, dated January 3, 2022
2008	Touchstream's Amended Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims, Infringement Contentions, and Priority Dates, dated November 8, 2021
2009	Google's Final Invalidity Contentions, dated April 14, 2022
2010	Google's Notice of Subpoenas to Boxee, dated April 14, 2022
2011	Google's Notice of Subpoenas to Lynx Tech, dated April 14, 2022
2012	Google's Notice of Subpoenas to Peel Tech, dated April 14, 2022
2013	Google's Notice of Subpoenas to Samsung, dated April 14, 2022
2014	Touchstream's First Set of Requests for Production, dated April 15, 2022
2015	Touchstream's First Set of Interrogatories, dated April 19, 2022
2016	Touchstream's Second Set of Interrogatories, dated April 25, 2022
2017	Google's First Set of Requests for Production to Touchstream, dated April 25, 2022
2018	Google's First Set of Interrogatories to Touchstream, dated April 25, 2022

2019	Exhibit J to Google's Final Invalidity Contentions, dated April 14, 2022
2020	Order Granting Joint Motion to Amend Scheduling Order (Dkt. 81), <i>Touchstream Technologies, Inc. v. Google LLC</i> , Case No. 6:21-cv-00569-ADA (E.D. Tex.)
2021	Transcript of the Deposition of Benjamin B. Bederson, Ph.D. on December 21, 2022
2022	Declaration of Kevin C. Almeroth, Ph.D.
2023	Curriculum Vitae of Kevin C. Almeroth, Ph.D.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.