

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioners,

v.

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2024-00318

U.S. Patent No. 8,554,196

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,554,196**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Standing .....	3
III.	Identification of Challenge And Relief Requested.....	3
IV.	Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	3
V.	The '196 patent.....	4
	A. Overview .....	4
	B. Prosecution History of the '196 patent.....	5
VI.	Overview Of The Prior Art.....	5
	A. Chitrapu (Ex. 1005).....	5
	B. Wong (Ex. 1006) .....	7
	C. Dinan (Ex. 1007) .....	9
VII.	Claim Construction.....	10
VIII.	Detailed Explanation of Invalidity Grounds.....	10
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, and 21 are Rendered Obvious in View of Chitrapu.....	10
	1. Claim 1 .....	10
	2. Claim 2: “The method of claim 1, wherein the providing the second coverage configuration comprises determining a direction of transmission for the second beam based at least in part on a location of the at least one continuous use device.” .....	20
	3. Claim 3: “The method of claim 1, wherein the second beam of the first network is further configured to provide coverage to a second continuous use device of the group of devices.” .....	21

4.	Claim 4: “The method of claim 1, wherein a subsequent iteration of providing the second coverage configuration includes transmitting the second beam of the first network configured to provide coverage to a second continuous use device, and wherein the at least one continuous use device has transitioned to being an interval use device.” .....	21
5.	Claim 5: “The method of claim 1, wherein the first network and the second network are different network types.” .....	23
6.	Claim 7: “The method of claim 1, wherein the first network and the second network are the same network types, and wherein the at least one continuous use device is allowed access to the second beam based on an admission control parameter.” .....	23
7.	Claim 10: “The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one continuous use device is engaged in at least one of a voice call, a download, or a content streaming.” .....	25
8.	Claim 12: “The method of claim 1, wherein the second beam is narrower than the first beam in at least one of a horizontal plane or a vertical plane.” .....	25
9.	Claim 14 .....	27
10.	Claims 17, 18, 20, 21 .....	34
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, and 21 Are Rendered Obvious by Chitrapu in View of Wong .....	35
1.	Rationale to Combine Chitrapu with Wong .....	36
2.	Limitations 1[a], 14[a], 17[a], and 20[a]: “cycling over time through a coverage sequence” .....	40
3.	Claim 5 .....	40
4.	Claim 8: “The method of claim 1, wherein the first coverage configuration is provided for a first time duration and the second coverage configuration is	

provided for a second time duration that is longer than  
the first time duration.” .....41

C. Ground 3: Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, and 20 Are Rendered  
Obvious by Dinan in View of Wong.....42

1. Rationale to Combine Dinan with Wong.....42

2. Claim 1 .....45

3. Claim 2: “The method of claim 1, wherein the providing  
the second coverage configuration comprises determining  
a direction of transmission for the second beam based at  
least in part on a location of the at least one continuous  
use device.” .....55

4. Claim 3: “The method of claim 1, wherein the second  
beam of the first network is further configured to provide  
coverage to a second continuous use device of the group  
of devices.” .....56

5. Claim 4: “The method of claim 1, wherein a subsequent  
iteration of providing the second coverage configuration  
includes transmitting the second beam of the first  
network configured to provide coverage to a second  
continuous use device, and wherein the at least one  
continuous use device has transitioned to being an  
interval use device.” .....56

6. Claim 5: “The method of claim 1, wherein the first  
network and the second network are different network  
types.” .....57

7. Claim 8: “The method of claim 1, wherein the first  
coverage configuration is provided for a first time  
duration and the second coverage configuration is  
provided for a second time duration that is longer than  
the first time duration.” .....57

8. Claims 10: “The method of claim 1, wherein the at least  
one continuous use device is engaged in at least one of a  
voice call, a download, or a content streaming.” .....57

9.	Claims 12: “The method of claim 1, wherein the second beam is narrower than the first beam in at least one of a horizontal plane or a vertical plane.” .....	58
10.	Claim 14.....	58
11.	Claims 17 and 20.....	64
IX.	Discretionary Denial.....	66
A.	<i>Fintiv</i> .....	66
B.	<i>General Plastic</i> .....	67
C.	35 U.S.C. §325(d).....	68
X.	Ranking of Parallel Petitions .....	69
XI.	Mandatory Notices And Fees .....	71
A.	Real Party-In-Interest .....	71
B.	Related Matters.....	71
C.	Counsel and Service Information .....	72
D.	Payment of Fees .....	73
XII.	Conclusion .....	73

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.