

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DROPBOX, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ENTANGLED MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-00285
U.S. Patent No. 8,484,260

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,484,260

CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES 1

 A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1))..... 1

 B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2))..... 1

 C. Lead Counsel (37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(3) & 42.10):..... 2

 D. Service (37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(3)-(4)): 2

III. SUMMARY OF THE '260 PATENT 2

 A. Brief Description of the '260 Patent 3

 B. Summary of the Prosecution History 4

IV. THE PRIOR ART 5

 A. Havewala – Ex-1005 5

 B. Adams – Ex-1006 7

 C. Saridakis – Ex-1007 7

 D. Rothman– Ex-1008..... 8

V. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37
C.F.R. §42.104..... 8

 A. Grounds for Standing 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a) and Fees..... 8

 B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) and
Relief Requested..... 8

VI. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE..... 9

 A. §325(d) 9

 B. §314(a)..... 9

 C. *General Plastic* Does Not Apply 9

VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL 10

VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 11

IX. GROUND 1: HAVEWALA IN VIEW OF ADAMS RENDERS
CLAIMS 1, 4-8 OBVIOUS..... 11

 A. Claim 1 11

1.	1[P]: “A process for operating on files located on multiple devices using a singular file system”	11
2.	1[A]: “accepting a request to operate on a file at a first device, wherein the file is selected from the singular file system on the first device”	20
3.	1[B]: “modifying the singular file system on the first device to make local files and virtual files appear indistinguishable to the singular file system, the local files and virtual files sharing a same location on the first device”	22
4.	1[C]: “intercepting the request by a software client on the first device”	32
5.	1[D]: “determining by the software client if the file is physically located on the first device or if the file is a virtual file of a corresponding file physically stored on a second device by reviewing file metadata”	34
6.	1[E]: “wherein a visual representation of the singular file system on the first device is identical to a visual representation of the singular file system on the second device”	40
7.	1[F]: “if the file is the virtual file of the corresponding file physically located on the second device, requesting by the software client on the first device that a peer-to-peer connection be brokered by a server-based web service between the first device and the second device”	43
8.	1[G]: “if the peer-to-peer connection is brokered, transferring the corresponding physical file from the second device to the first device”	48
9.	1[H]: “performing the operation on the transferred corresponding physical file at the first device.”	53
B.	Claim 4	56
C.	Claim 5	58
D.	Claim 6	60
E.	Motivation to Combine Havewala and Adams	61

F.	Claim 7	64
1.	7[P]: “A non-transitory computer-readable storage medium storing a set of instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform operations, comprising:”	64
2.	7[A]-[H]	65
G.	Claim 8	66
1.	8[P]: “A client comprising:”	66
2.	8[A]: “a memory;”	66
3.	8[B]: “at least one processor configured to:”	67
4.	8[C]-[J].....	67
X.	GROUND 2: HAVEWALA IN VIEW OF ADAMS AND SARIDAKIS RENDERS CLAIMS 2 AND 3 OBVIOUS.....	68
A.	Claim 2	68
B.	Claim 3	70
C.	Motivation to Combine Havewala, Adams, and Saridakis	71
XI.	GROUND 3: HAVEWALA IN VIEW OF ADAMS, SARIDAKIS AND ROTHMAN RENDERS CLAIMS 1-8 OBVIOUS.....	73
A.	Claims 1-8	73
B.	Motivation to Combine Havewala, Adams, Saridakis, and Rothman	77
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	79

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
Ex-1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,484,260 (the "'260 patent")
Ex-1002	File History of the '260 patent
Ex-1003	Declaration of Dr. Patrick McDaniel
Ex-1004	CV of Dr. Patrick McDaniel
Ex-1005	U.S. Patent Publication 2007/0016621 ("Havewala")
Ex-1006	U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0046232 ("Adams")
Ex-1007	U.S. Patent No. 8,874,691 ("Saridakis")
Ex-1008	U.S. Patent Publication 2005/0289218 ("Rothman")
Ex-1009	Dropbox, Inc.'s <i>Sotera</i> Stipulation
Ex-1010	The Linux Information Project, "Metadata Definition." 2006.
Ex-1011	Wayback printout, "NTFS Master File Table (MFT)", NTFS.com
Ex-1012	Eberspächer, Jörg and Schollmeier, Rüdiger. "Peer-to-Peer Systems and Applications." (2005), Chapter 5 First and Second Generation of Peer-to-Peer Systems.
Ex-1013	Frystyk, Henrik. "The World-Wide Web." (1994).
Ex-1014	Wayback printout, "POSIX® 1003.1 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ Version 1.10)"
Ex-1015	Sandberg, Russel. "The Sun Network File system: Design, Implementation and Experience." (2001).
Ex-1016	Wayback printout – "File systems"
Ex-1017	Webpage printout – "Multics"

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.