

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

DYNAPASS IP HOLDINGS LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-00283

U.S. Patent No. 6,993,658

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
CLAIMS 2 and 7 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,993,658**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	4
III. CERTIFICATION AND FEES	5
IV. IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS AND GROUNDS.....	5
V. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	6
A. §325(d) – The Board Should Not Deny Institution.....	6
B. § 314(a) – <i>Fintiv</i> Does Not Support Denial	7
C. <i>General Plastic</i> Does Not Support Denial	7
VI. BACKGROUND	11
A. The '658 Patent	11
B. Cited References.....	12
1. Kaufman (Ex.1008).....	13
2. Sormunen (Ex.1007)	14
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	14
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	15
IX. GROUND #1: CLAIM 7 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>VENEKLASE</i> IN VIEW OF <i>JONSSON</i> AND <i>SORMUNEN</i>	16
A. Claim 5	16
1. 5[pre]—“A user authentication system comprising:”	16
2. 5[a]—“a computer processor;”	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
3. 5[b]—“a user database configured to associate a user with a personal communication device possessed by the user, said personal communication device configured to communicate over a cell phone network with the user authentication system;”	18
4. 5[c]—“a control module executed on the computer processor configured to create a new password based at least upon a token and a passcode, wherein the token is not known to the user and wherein the passcode is known to the user, the control module further configured to set a password associated with the user to be the new password;”	22
5. 5[d]—“a communication module configured to transmit the token to the personal communication device through the cell phone network; and”	26
6. 5[e]—“an authentication module configured to receive the password from the user through a secure computer network, said secure computer network being different from the cell phone network,”	28
7. 5[f]—“wherein the user has an account on the secure computer network, wherein the authentication module activates access to the account in response to the password and deactivates the account within a predetermined amount of time after activating the account, such that said account is not accessible through any password via a secure computer network.”	31
B. Ample Motivation Existed to Combine <i>Veneklase</i> and <i>Jonsson</i>	35
C. Claim 6	39
D. Claim 7	41
E. Ample Motivation Existed to Combine <i>Veneklase</i> , <i>Jonsson</i> , and <i>Sormunen</i>	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
X. GROUND #2: CLAIM 2 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>VENEKLASE</i> IN VIEW OF <i>JONSSON, SORMUNEN, AND KAUFMAN</i>	47
A. Claim 1	48
1. 1[b]—“receiving a request from the user for a token via the personal communication device, over the second network;”	48
B. Claim 2	50
C. Ample Motivation Existed to Combine <i>Veneklase, Jonsson, Sormunen, and Kaufman</i>	52
XI. GROUND #3: CLAIM 7 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>KEW</i> IN VIEW OF <i>SORMUNEN</i>	55
A. Claim 5	55
1. 5[pre]	55
2. 5[a]	56
3. 5[b]	57
4. 5[c]	62
5. 5[d]	64
6. 5[e]	65
7. 5[f]	67
B. Claim 6	70
C. Claim 7	71
D. Ample Motivation Existed to Combine <i>Kew</i> and <i>Sormunen</i>	73
XII. GROUND #4: CLAIM 2 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>KEW</i> IN VIEW OF <i>SORMUNEN AND KAUFMAN</i>	77
A. Claim 1	77

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
1. 1[b]—“receiving a request from the user for a token via the personal communication device, over the second network;”	78
B. Claim 2	78
C. Ample Motivation Existed to Combine <i>Kew</i> , <i>Sormunen</i> , and <i>Kaufman</i>	80
XIII. NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS SUPPORT NON-OBVIOUSNESS.....	83
XIV. CONCLUSION.....	83

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.