

March 14, 2024

Matthew C. Bernstein
MBernstein@perkinscoie.com
D. +1.858.720.5721
F. +1.858.720.5821

VIA E-MAIL

Jason Charkow
Daignault Iyer LLP
8618 Westwood Center Drive
Suite 150
Vienna, VA 22182

VIA U.S.P.S. MAIL

Workman Nydegger
1000 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

**Re: *ParkerVision, Inc. v. MediaTek Inc.*, Case No. 6:22-cv-01163 (W.D. Tex.)
MediaTek Inc. v. ParkerVision, Inc., IPR2024-00150**

Counsel:

In connection with IPR2024-00150, Petitioners MediaTek Inc. and MediaTek USA Inc. (collectively, "MediaTek") hereby stipulate and agree that, if the PTAB institutes an inter partes review proceeding ("IPR") for U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835 on the grounds presented in MediaTek's petition, MediaTek will not pursue an invalidity defense in *ParkerVision, Inc. v. MediaTek Inc.*, Case No. 6:22-cv-01163 (W.D. Tex.) that the patent claims subject to the instituted IPR are invalid based on the same grounds as in the petition or any grounds that could have reasonably been raised in the petition. This stipulation and agreement is not intended and should not be construed to limit MediaTek's ability to assert invalidity of any claims of the '835 patent on any other ground.

Very truly yours,



Matthew C. Bernstein

cc: Ronald Daignault, rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com
Ramachandran Iyer, cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com
Scott Samay, ssamay@daignaultiyer.com
Stephanie Rose Mandir, smandir@daignaultiyer.com
Zachary Ellis, zellis@daignaultiyer.com
Ray Mort, raymort@austinlaw.com