

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., CELLCO  
PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS.

*Defendants.*

Case No. 2:22-cv-478

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC (“Cobblestone”) files this complaint against Defendants Verizon Communications, Inc., Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (collectively, “Defendants” or “Verizon”) alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,891,347 (the “’347 patent”), 9,094,888 (the “’888 patent”), 10,368,361 (the “’361 patent”), and 8,554,196 (the “’196 patent”) (collectively, the “Patents-in-Suit” or “Asserted Patents”).

**Plaintiff Cobblestone and the Patents-in-Suit**

1. Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with an address at 101 E. Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074.
2. Cobblestone is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 8,891,347 entitled “User-Focusing Technique for Wireless Communication Systems,” which issued November 18, 2014. A copy of the ’347 patent is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 1.
3. Cobblestone is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 9,094,888 entitled “Wireless Device Handoff Between Wireless Networks,” which issued July 28, 2015. A copy of the ’888 patent is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 2.

4. Cobblestone is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 10,368,361 entitled “Adaptive Communication Resource Allocation in a Wireless Network,” which issued July 30, 2019. A copy of the ’361 patent is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 3.

5. Cobblestone is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 8,554,196 entitled “Network Coverage By Cycling Through Beam Shape Coverage Configurations,” which issued October 8, 2013. A copy of the ’196 patent is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 4.

6. On information and belief, Defendant Verizon Communications, Inc. (“VCI”) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1095 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036. VCI has as its registered agent for service: The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

7. On information and belief, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (“Verizon Wireless”) is a partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at One Verizon Way, Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920. Verizon Wireless has as its registered agent for service: The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant VCI is the ultimate parent corporation, either directly or indirectly, of each of Defendant Verizon Wireless. Defendants collectively provide communications, technology, and information products and services to consumers, businesses, and government entities throughout the State of Texas, including the Eastern District of Texas.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

9. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Verizon in this action because Verizon has committed acts of infringement within this District giving rise to this action, has a regular and established place of business in this District, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Verizon would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Verizon, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, conducts its business extensively throughout Texas, by shipping, distributing, offering for sale, selling, and advertising its products and/or services in the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas, regularly does business or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to individuals in the State of Texas, and commits acts of infringement of Plaintiff's patents in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering to sell, and selling products and/or services that infringe the asserted patents, including without limitation the tablets and phones accused of infringement in this case and cellular services offered by Verizon on its network.

11. Verizon, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more products and/or services in the stream of commerce that practice the Asserted Patents with the intention and expectation that they will be purchased and used by consumers in the Eastern District of Texas. These products and/or services have been and continue to be purchased and used in the Eastern District of Texas.

12. Venue as to Verizon is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). On information and belief, Verizon resides in this District and/or has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District.

13. For example, Defendants have regular and established places of business in the Eastern District of Texas, including at 1111 E Grand Ave., Marshall, TX 75670; 500 E Loop 281, Longview, TX 75605; 2400 S Jefferson Ave., Mount Pleasant, TX 75455; 1006 East End Boulevard N., Suite A, Marshall, Texas 75670; 741 N Central Expressway, Plano, Texas 75075; 2330 Preston Road, Suite 500, Frisco, Texas 75034; 3220 East Hebron Parkway, Suite 114, Carrollton, Texas 75010; 5020 State Highway 121, The Colony, Texas 75056; and 204 Central Expressway S, Suite 40, Allen, Texas 75013.

14. For example, Verizon maintains or has authorized retail locations in this District, including at the addresses listed above in Paragraph 13, where cellular handsets, and other infringing devices have been sold.

15. For example, Verizon also owns, leases, maintains and/or operates cellular base stations in this District that provide 5G data services to Verizon customers in this District, including numerous cell tower locations in Tyler, Texas and Longview, Texas.

16. For example, Verizon offers 5G data coverage to customers in this District. Furthermore, according to its website, Verizon also offers and /or provides 4G and 5G services and equipment to customers in this District.

**Count 1 – Claim for infringement of the '347 patent.**

17. Cobblestone incorporates by reference each of the allegations in paragraphs 1–16 above and further alleges as follows:

18. Plaintiff owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,891,347 (the “’347 Patent”), entitled “User-Focusing Technique for Wireless Communication Systems.” The ’347 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 18, 2014. A true and correct copy of the ’347 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

19. On information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import certain products (“Accused Instrumentalities”), including (1) cellular base stations that support 3GPP 5G NR beamforming, and (2) cellular handsets that support 3GPP 5G NR beamforming, including without limitation the Apple iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini, iPhone 13, iPhone 13 mini, iPhone 13 Pro, iPhone 13 Pro Max, iPhone 14, iPhone 14 Plus, iPhone 14 Pro, and iPhone 14 Pro Max and Samsung Galaxy S20 FE, Galaxy S21 FE, Galaxy S22, Galaxy S22+, Galaxy S22 Ultra, Galaxy Z Flip3, Galaxy Z Flip4, Galaxy Z Fold3, Galaxy Z Fold4, and Galaxy A53, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the ’347 Patent.

20. Defendants also knowingly and intentionally induce infringement of at least Claim 1 of the ’347 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through at least the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the ’347 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Instrumentalities. Despite this knowledge of the ’347 Patent, Defendants continue to actively encourage and instruct their customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on their website) to use the Accused Instrumentalities in ways that directly infringe the ’347 Patent. Defendants do so knowing and intending that their customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendants also continue to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Instrumentalities, despite their knowledge of the ’347 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing their customers to infringe the ’347 Patent through the customers’ normal and customary use of the Accused Instrumentalities.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.