

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

SLYDE ANALYTICS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 10,198,085
Filing Date: October 31, 2017
Issue Date: February 5, 2019

Inventors: Alex Bezing, Adrian Mohni, Daniel Pfeifer, and Musa Dogan
Title: METHOD AND CIRCUIT FOR SWITCHING A WRISTWATCH
FROM A FIRST POWER MODE TO A SECOND POWER MODE

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Case No. IPR2024-00041

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '085 PATENT	2
III. THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART	5
A. Yeung	5
B. Ruiz.....	5
C. Alameh	6
D. Joselli.....	6
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
VI. PETITIONER HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS FOR THE GROUNDS ADVANCED IN THE PETITION, AND THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED	7
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-10 Are Not Obvious Over Yeung in View of Ruiz	10
1. The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Discloses “ <i>switching said wristwatch from said first power mode to said second power mode when a wristturn has been detected,</i> ” as Required by Claim Element 1[b].....	10
2. The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Discloses “detecting that an orientation of the wristwatch is in a starting position, wherein said step of detecting that the orientation is in the starting position comprises detecting that the orientation of the wristwatch is held within a first range for a defined time,” as Required by Claim Element 1[c.1]	12

3.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Discloses “detecting that an orientation of the wristwatch is then in a final position, wherein said step of detecting that the orientation is in the final position comprises detecting that the orientation is in a second range different from said first range,” as Required by Claim Element 1[c.2]	13
4.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Discloses “in response to a detection that the orientation of the wristwatch is in the second range, detecting that the wristwatch remains substantially immobile during a predetermined duration and that a duration between the starting position and the final position is in a predefined range,” as Required by Claim Element 1[c.3]	14
5.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Renders Claims 2-10 Obvious	16
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-4, 6, and 8-10 are Not Obvious Over the Combination of Yeung, Alameh, and Joselli	16
1.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung and Ruiz Discloses “ <i>switching said wristwatch from said first power mode to said second power mode when a wristturn has been detected,</i> ” as Required by Claim Element 1[b]	16
2.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung, Alameh, and Joselli Discloses “in response to a detection that the orientation of the wristwatch is in the second range, detecting that the wristwatch remains substantially immobile during a predetermined duration and that a duration between the starting position and the final position is in a predefined range,” as Required by Claim Element 1[c.3]	17

3.	The Petition Does Not Show that the Combination of Yeung, Alameh, and Joselli Renders Claims 2-4, 6, and 8-10 Obvious.....	19
C.	Ground 3: Claims 5 and 7 are Not Obvious By the Combination of Yeung, Alameh, Joselli, and Yano	19
VII.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE DIRECTOR UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	19
A.	The Parallel District Court Litigation and the Petition Involve the Same Parties	21
B.	The District Court Litigation Involves Substantially the Same Claims.....	21
C.	Proximity of the District Court’s Trial Date	22
D.	Significant Investment and Petitioner’s Delay in Filing the Petition.....	23
E.	No Stay of the Parallel District Court Litigation	24
F.	Other Factors Favor Discretionary Denial	25
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)	19
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00355, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. June 26, 2015)	8
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.</i> , 732 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	9
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2020)	21, 23
<i>Edward LifeSciences Corp. v. Evalve, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-01479, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 26, 2020).....	22
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	21
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	8
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Lab'ys.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	9
<i>Intell. Ventures II v. FedEx Corp.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-00980-JRG, Dkt. 141 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 24, 2017)	24, 25
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.