

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DEXCOM, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01252
U.S. Patent No.: 11,298,056
Issued: April 12, 2022
Application No.: 17/411,154
Filed: August 25, 2021

Title: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR EARLY SIGNAL ATTENUATION
DETECTION AND PROCESSING

PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 11,298,056

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS..... viii

MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 ix

 1. Real Party-In-Interest..... ix

 2. Related Matters ix

 3. Lead And Back-Up Counsel, And Service Information..... ix

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING PER SECTION 42.104(A).....3

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE3

 A. Statement Of The Precise Relief Requested / Statutory Grounds.....3

 B. No Examiner Addressed These Unpatentability Grounds4

IV. THE '056 PATENT5

 A. The '056 Patent's Specification5

 B. The Prosecution History.....7

 C. The Claims And Their Full Scope.....8

 1. Claim 18

 2. Full Scope Of Claim 19

 3. The Dependent Claims.....16

 D. The Grandparent App. As Filed17

V. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART, AND STATE OF THE ART26

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION26

VII. THE CITATION OF INTERVENING PRIOR ART SHIFTS THE BURDEN OF PRODUCTION TO PATENT OWNER TO SHOW ENTITLEMENT TO AN EARLIER EFFECTIVE FILING DATE28

VIII. THE GRANDPARENT APP. AS FILED DID NOT SUPPORT THE CLAIMS	31
A. The Grandparent App. Lacked Written Description Support For The Claims’ Full Scope.....	31
B. The Grandparent App. Lacked Enablement Support For The Claims’ Full Scope	35
IX. THE CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS.....	40
A. This Prior Art Teaches An Embodiment Of Each Claim.....	40
1. The Prior Art References Disclose An Embodiment Of The Claims’ Components	41
2. The Prior Art References Disclose An Embodiment Of The Claims’ Components’ Functional Capabilities	43
3. Harper 2019 And Hayter/Taub 2013 Combined Disclose An Embodiment Of The Claims’ System Capabilities.....	50
4. Harper 2019 Discloses An Embodiment Of The Claims’ Operation.....	56
5. A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated To Combine These Teachings To Arrive At An Embodiment Of The Claimed Combination, And Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success In Doing So.....	57
6. There Are No Known Objective Indicia Of Non-Obviousness Having A Nexus To The Delta Between Harper 2019 And The Claims	62
X. THE BOARD, C.C.P.A. AND FEDERAL CIRCUIT HAVE FOUND PATENT CLAIMS ANTICIPATED OVER PUBLISHED ANCESTOR DISCLOSURES THAT FAILED TO SUPPORT AN EFFECTIVE FILING DATE FOR THE CLAIMS.....	62
XI. CONCLUSION.....	64
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	65

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE66

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Board Decisions	
<i>Aquestive Therapeutics, Inc. v. Neurelis, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00451, Paper 44 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 6, 2020)	30
<i>Inguran LLC v. Premium Genetics (UK) Ltd.</i> , PGR2015-00017, Paper 22 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 20, 2016)	31
<i>MaxLite, Inc. v. Jiaxing Super Lighting Elec. Appliance Co.</i> , IPR2020-00208, Paper 40 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 29, 2022)	30
<i>Penumbra, Inc. v. RapidPulse, Inc.</i> , IPR2021-01466, Paper 34 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 10, 2023).....	30
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Hardin</i> , IPR2022-01331, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 7, 2023)	30
<i>Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00275, Paper 36 (P.T.A.B. May 2, 2018), <i>aff'd</i> , 35 F.4 th 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	63
<i>The Gillette Co. LLC v. Sphere USA, LLC</i> , PGR2022-00030, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 23, 2022).....	1, 63
Cases	
<i>AK Steel Corp. v. Sollac & Ugine</i> , 344 F.3d 1234 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	9, 27
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Sanofi</i> , 143 S. Ct. 1243 (2023).....	35
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	31
<i>Atl. Rsch. Mktg. Sys., Inc. v. Troy</i> , 659 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	32
<i>Chester v. Miller</i> , 906 F.2d 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	62

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.