Paper No. ____ Filed: June 29, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

IPR2023-01134 U.S. Patent No. 11,122,357

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC, Patent Owner.

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-20 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 11,122,357



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page No.
INT	RODU	CTIO	N	1
I.	BAC	CKGRO	OUND	3
	A.	Grif	fiths and Jim Publish Their Seminal GSC Article in 1982	23
	В.	Over the Next Two Decades, the GSC was Used in Microphon Arrays to Reduce Noise		
	C.	Published in 2001, Brandstein Illustrates How to Use a GSC with a Microphone Array to Reduce Noise		7
	D.	Contemporaneously, Gannot Taught Adapting the GSC to Handle Arbitrary Transfer Functions.		
	E.	Othe	er Concepts in the '357 Patent Were Well Known	13
		1.	Filtering and Summing in the Time Domain Were We Known.	
		2.	Delaying Signals Based on Geometry to Adjust for Differences in Arrival Times Was Well Known	14
II.	THE '357 PATENT			16
	A.	A. The '357 Patent Discloses Nothing Innovative		16
		1.	The '357 Patent Purports to Distinguish Itself from the Prior Art by Using a Virtual Microphone Designed to Capture Only Noise, Which Had Been Known for Decades.	
		2.	The '357 Patent Concedes It Relies on Known Techniques to Form Virtual Microphones from Physic Microphones	
		3.	The '357 Patent Discloses Formulas for the Purported Innovative Set of Virtual Microphones, But These Formulas Rely on Near-Field Design	
	B.	The Claims Recite Generic Virtual Microphones and Generic Signal Processing.		
	C.		Claims Were Not Carefully Scrutinized During ecution.	21



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

					Page No.	
III.	STA	TEME	ENT OF	RELIEF REQUESTED	22	
	A.	Grou	ınds		22	
	B.	The Earliest Priority Date the '357 Patent Claims Is June 13, 2007.				
	C.	The References Are Prior Art				
	D.	The Asserted References Are Analogous Art.				
IV.	LEV	EL OI	ORDI	NARY SKILL	23	
V.	CLA	IM CO	ONSTR	UCTION	24	
VI.	GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY					
	A.	Grou	ınd 1: B	randstein and Gannot	24	
		1.	Claim	1	25	
			a.	Preamble	25	
			b.	First Virtual Microphone Comprising a Combination of Signals from First and Second Physical Microphones	26	
			c.	Second Virtual Microphone	27	
			d.	Substantially Similar Responses to Noise and Substantially Dissimilar Responses to Speech	28	
			e.	A Signal Processor Operative to Combine Microphone Signals by Filtering and Summing in the Time Domain		
			f.	Applying a Varying Linear Transfer Function	33	
			g.	Generating an Output Signal with Reduced Nois	se36	
		2.	Claim	2	37	
		3.	Claim	3	38	
		4.	Claim	4	39	
		5.	Claim	5	41	
			a.	Claim 5 Encompasses Standard Near-Field Time	;- 42	



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Dogo	N	^
rage	IN	O

	b.	Standard Near-Field Time-Alignments for the	
		GSC	
6.	Claim	ı 6	49
7.	Claim	ı 7	52
8.	Claim	ı 8	52
9.	Claim	ı 9	52
10.	Claim	10	53
11.	Claim	ı 11	54
12.	Claim	ı 12	56
13.	Claim	ı 13	57
14.	Claim	ı 14	58
15.	Claim	ı 15	60
	a.	Preamble	60
	b.	First Virtual Microphone	60
	c.	Second Virtual Microphone	60
	d.	Substantially Similar Responses to Noise and Substantially Dissimilar Responses to Speech	61
	e.	Virtual Microphone Array with a Single Null	61
	f.	Signal Processor	62
	g.	Applying a Varying Linear Transfer Function	63
	h.	Generating an Output Signal with Reduced Noise	63
16.	Claim	ı 16	64
17.	Claim	ı 17	64
18.	Claim	ı 18	65
19.	Claim	ı 19	65
20.	Claim	n 20	66
Grou	nd 2: B	Brandstein, Gannot, and Griffiths-Jim	66
		Grandstein Gannot and McCowan	68



В.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

			Page No.		
VII.	SEC	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NONOBVIOUSNESS71			
VIII.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER §314(A) IS NOT				
	APPROPRIATE.				
	A.	Co-Pending Litigation (Fintiv)	72		
		1. Factor 1: Potential Stay	72		
		2. Factor 2: Proximity of Trial to FWD	72		
		3. Factor 3: Investment in Parallel Proceeding	73		
		4. Factor 4: Overlapping Issues	73		
		5. Factor 5: The Parties	75		
		6. Factor 6: Other Circumstances	75		
	B.	Prior IPR Petitions (General Plastic)	76		
IX.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER §325(D) IS NOT				
	APPROPRIATE.				
X.	MANDATORY NOTICES				
	A.	Real Parties-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1))	78		
	B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2))			
	C.	Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3))			
	D.	D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4))			
	E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §42.103)				
	F. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a))				
CON	CLU	SION			



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

