Optical Fiber Communication Systems



Leonid Kazovsky Sergio Benedetto Alan Willner

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

A L A R M DOCKET

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data Kazovsky, Leonid G. Optical fiber communication systems/Leonid Kazovsky, Sergio Benedetto, Alan Willner. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0-89006-756-2 (alk. paper) 1. Optical communications. 2. Fiber optics. 3. Digital communications. I. Benedetto, Sergio. II. Willner, Alan E. III. Title. TK5103.59.K39 1996 621.382'75--dc20 96-27860

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Kazovsky, Leonid Optical fiber communication systems

Optical fibers 2. Fiber optics 3. Optical communications I. Title II. Benedetto, Sergio

Willner, Alan

3'8275

CIP

ISBN 0-89006-756-2

Cover design by Jennifer Makower

© 1996 ARTECH HOUSE, INC. 685 Canton Street Norwood, MA 02062

All rights reserved. Printed and bound in the United States of America. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

All terms mentioned in this book that are known to be trademarks or service marks have been appropriately capitalized. Artech House cannot attest to the accuracy of this information. Use of a term in this book should not be regarded as affecting the validity of any trademark or service mark.

International Standard Book Number: 0-89006-756-2 Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 96-27860

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2



ronic signal. fication and les the signal le threshold. s of the key der in more and expands roted to the 1 noises and focusing on s of system ıl noise, and

Δ



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ary symbols consecutive

R

М

165

11

Coherent Systems

265

ŧ

ification, which causes retical quantum noise

electronic components ow loss and dispersion, f megahertz or, even, llation techniques and eater spacing through nt of coherent optical

eral advantages over ison is that, when the ns are limited only by ntly different from the al noise dominate the Chapter 3 that several ry to achieve an error antum noise limit of ilation schemes brings il communications. As . modulation requires ; increase in sensitivity n increase in repeater compensate for bridg-

creat success obtained (i), which have allowed to a point where the m is presently a matter

lies in the increased
 M environment, they
 nicrowave filters after
 h WDM, must employ
 order of a nanometer

1ch denser packing of

ms, the same term applied (FDM).

GHz) have been reported. It detuning and computerannel is selected. the information channels in the frequency domain, a key feature for the exploitation of the huge bandwidth of optical fibers, particularly in the context of communication networks, where the frequency selectivity of conventional radio systems combined with the immense bandwidth of optical fibers opens up a wide range of new applications for telecommunications. Moreover, coherent technology has the potential of reducing by a factor of up to 4–5 the bandwidth through the use of multilevel transmission.

Further benefits have to do with the possibility of using constant envelope modulation schemes, like PSK and FSK. The former requires an external modulator but yields a reduced impact of stimulated Brillouin scattering (from 3 dBm to 30 dBm), whereas the latter can be obtained by direct modulation of the laser source, like for direct detection ASK modulation. With respect to direct detection, however, it has the advantage of significantly reduced chirp effects.

Optical coherent communication uses the optical field as a very high frequency carrier whose amplitude, phase, frequency, or polarization may be modulated by the information-bearing signal. Although this is very much the same as is commonly done for electromagnetic fields at lower frequencies, the big difference between the carrier frequency and the information signal bandwidth poses in the optical case some peculiar technological problems. Even the term *coherent* has here a different meaning from the standard radio environment. In fact, it is customary in the optical communication community to associate the adjective *coherent* to those systems in which a local oscillator lightwave is added to the incoming signal, even if subsequent processing and demodulation completely ignore the phase and frequency, as is the case of envelope detectors. This contrasts with the meaning of *coherent systems* in the classical communication literature, which require the recovery and use of the phase and frequency of the carrier to perform the demodulation and detection.

The basic configuration of a coherent communication system is shown in Figure 4.1. A laser-emitted light possessing a sufficiently stable frequency (quasimonochromatic signal) is used as the carrier wave and modulated (in amplitude, frequency, phase, or polarization) by the information signal. At the receiver site, the



Figure 4.1 Block diagram of a coherent optical communication system.

5

531

÷



MMED

y.

avelengths. t emit light nto a single d fiber, the distributing ch receiver ach laser is nitted along is the sum m capacity itted on one sm circumbandwidths ed requirenodulators) andwidth is

ıg an optical



Figure 7.15 Diagram of a simple WDM system.



Figure 7.16 Optical WDM channels being demultiplexed by an optical filter.

filter. In the figure, four channels are input to an optical filter that has a nonideal transmission filtering function. The filter transmission peak is centered over the desired channel, in this case, λ_3 , thereby transmitting that channel and blocking all other channels. Because of the nonideal filter transmission function, some optical energy of the neighboring channels leaks through the filter, causing interchannel, interwavelength cross-talk. This cross-talk has the effect of reducing the selected signal's contrast ratio and can be minimized by increasing the spectral separation between channels. Although there is no set definition, a nonstandardized convention exists for defining optical WDM, dense WDM, and *frequency-division multiplexing* (FDM) as encompassing a system for which the channel spacing is approximately 10 nm, 1 nm, and 0.1 nm, respectively. However, we will not make any distinction among those system labels in this book.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.