UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

LEXOS MEDIA IP, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-01001

U.S. Patent No. 6,118,449

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,118,449

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

EXHIBIT LIST vii

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B) 1

A. Real Parties-in-Interest 1

B. Related Matters 1

C. Counsel and Service Information 5

III. PAYMENT OF FEES 6

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING 6

V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED 6

A. Challenged Claims 6

B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge 6

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL 7

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '449 PATENT 8

A. Priority Date of the '449 Patent 8

B. State of the Art Before the Application for the '449 Patent 8

1. Cursors in Graphical User Interfaces 8

2. Client/Server Systems 10

C. Summary of the '449 Patent 10

VIII. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS 12

A. Claim Term Previously Construed 13

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS....14

A. Overview of Prior Art References....14

1. Malamud (EX1004)....14

2. Nakagawa (EX1005)....17

3. Nielsen (EX1006)....18

B. Motivation to Combine References....20

1. Legal Standard....20

2. Motivation to Download Malamud's Application Program from a Server, and the Obviousness of Doing So....21

3. Motivation to Combine the Teachings of Malamud and Nakagawa, and the Obviousness of that Combination....23

4. Motivation to Combine the Teachings of Nielsen and Malamud, and the Obviousness of that Combination....24

C. Ground 1: The Challenged Claims Are Rendered Obvious by Malamud....26

1. Claim 1....26

2. Claim 27....33

3. Claim 53....34

D. Ground 2: The Challenged Claims Are Rendered Obvious by Malamud and Nakagawa....42

1. Claim 1....42

2. Claim 27....46

3. Claim 53....47

E. Ground 3: The Challenged Claims Are Rendered Obvious by Nielsen and Malamud....49

1. Claim 1....49

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. Claim 27 55

3. Claim 38 56

4. Claim 53 56

X. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE 62

A. The Board Should Not Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 62

B. The Board Should Not Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) 65

XI. CONCLUSION 69

LISTING OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '449 PATENT 71

Claim 1 71

Claim 27 72

Claim 38: 74

Claim 53 74

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH,
IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020).....65, 66

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,
IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020).....62, 63

Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,
IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017).....66

Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC,
805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....21

ClassCo, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,
838 F.3d 1214 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....21

Equipmentshare.com Inc. v. Ahern Rentals, Inc.,
IPR2021-00834, Paper 19 (PTAB Nov. 16, 2021).....64

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....20

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....20, 21

Lexos Media IP, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.,
No. 2:22-cv-00169-JRG (E.D. Tex.).....*passim*

Lexos Media IP, LLC v. APMEX, Inc.,
No. 2:16-cv-00747-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....*passim*

Microchip Tech. Inc. v. Bell Semiconductor, LLC,
IPR2021-00148, Paper 19 (PTAB May 14, 2021).....63

Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.,
587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....21

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.