

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

SONRAI MEMORY LIMITED,

Patent Owner

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,874,014**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	1
	A. Real Party-in-Interest .....	1
	B. Related Matters.....	1
	C. Counsel.....	2
	D. Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal.....	2
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	3
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
	A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	3
	B. Grounds for Challenge .....	4
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '014 PATENT AND THE PRIOR ART.....	4
	A. Summary of the Alleged Invention .....	4
	B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
	C. Prosecution History .....	7
	D. Overview of Gulick.....	8
	E. Overview of AAPA.....	13
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
	A. "simultaneously executing two or more operating systems" .....	16
	B. "multiple operating systems residing in a memory" .....	16
	C. "multiple processors are connected to said memory via a bus".....	16
	D. "processor means" in claim 12.....	17
	E. "operating system means" in claim 12.....	18
	F. "memory means" in claim 12.....	18
VII.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	19
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15 are obvious over the combination of Gulick and AAPA.....	19
	1. Claim 1 .....	19
	2. Claim 3 .....	40
	3. Claim 5.....	46

4.	Claim 7 .....	47
5.	Claim 11 .....	49
6.	Claim 12 .....	49
7.	Claim 13 .....	58
8.	Claim 15 .....	59
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15 are obvious over the combination of AAPA and Gulick .....	59
1.	Claim 1 .....	60
2.	Claim 3 .....	74
3.	Claim 5 .....	75
4.	Claim 7 .....	77
5.	Claim 11 .....	80
6.	Claim 12 .....	80
7.	Claim 13 .....	88
8.	Claim 15 .....	88
VIII.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE .....	88
A.	<i>General Plastic</i> Denial is Inappropriate.....	88
B.	<i>Fintiv</i> Discretionary Denial is Inappropriate.....	89
1.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 1: Institution Will Enable a Stay .....	89
2.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 2: District Court Schedule.....	89
3.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 3: Parallel Proceeding Considerations .....	90
4.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 4: The Petition Raises Unique Issues.....	90
5.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 5: The Petition Will Enable Cancellation of Claims that Might Be Reasserted.....	91
6.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 6: Other Considerations Support Institution .....	91
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	92

## I. INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) petitions for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,014 (“’014 patent”) (EX1001). The ’014 patent describes a chip architecture having multiple processors on a single die that utilizes multiple operating systems. As shown below, the system described in the ’014 patent was known in the prior art.

## II. MANDATORY NOTICES

### A. Real Party-in-Interest

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner certifies that Apple is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could exercise control over the filing of this petition or Apple’s participation in any proceeding instituted on this petition.

### B. Related Matters

According to assignment records at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the ’014 patent is currently owned by Sonrai Memory Limited (“Patent Owner” or “PO”).

The ’014 patent is asserted in the matter *Sonrai Memory Ltd. v. Apple Inc.*, 6:22-cv-00787 (WDTX).

The ’014 patent was the subject of a previously filed *inter partes review* – IPR 2021-01454 (hereinafter “’1454 IPR”). In the ’1454 IPR, prior Petitioner requested

institution of inter partes review of the '014 patent under the same grounds proposed in the present Petition. On March 4, 2022, the Board issued their decision instituting *inter partes* review of all of the challenged claims of the '014 patent on all grounds asserted in the former Petition. On October 12, 2022, the Board granted the joint Motion to Terminate the '1454 IPR proceeding following a settlement agreement between the parties. Although the Board had instituted *inter partes* review of the challenged claims, the Board did not decide the merits of the proceedings.

The present petition for *inter partes* review is also related to IPR2023-00819 that is substantially similar to the '1454 IPR. The '819 IPR was filed by Petitioner and presents substantially the same grounds as the '1454 IPR. The present petition presents different grounds from the '819 and '1454 IPRs.

**C. Counsel**

Lead Counsel: Joseph Wolfe (Reg. No. 73,173)

Backup Counsel: James Heintz (Reg. No. 41,828)

**D. Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal**

Apple consents to electronic service at [joseph.wolfe@us.dlapiper.com](mailto:joseph.wolfe@us.dlapiper.com) and DLA-Apple-Sonrai-IPR@us.dlapiper.com

Petitioner can be reached at DLA Piper LLP (US), 1650 Market Street, Suite 5000, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone: 215-656-3359, Fax: 215-606-2059.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.