

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,
Petitioner

v.

ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC
Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2023-00922

U.S. Patent No. 8,760,454

Issue Date: June 24, 2014

Title: Graphics Processing Architecture Employing a Unified Shader

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,760,454**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Parties-In-Interest.....	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Lead and Backup Counsel.....	3
D. Service Information.....	3
III. PAYMENT OF FEES	4
IV. STANDING.....	4
V. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY INSTITUTION	4
VI. REQUEST FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-11 OF THE '454 PATENT.....	5
A. 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR is Requested	5
B. 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(2): Identification of Prior Art and Asserted Grounds for Which IPR is Requested	6
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	8
D. 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge.....	8
VII. THERE EXISTS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	8
A. Technology Background	8
B. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '454 Patent	13
C. Prosecution History of the '454 Patent and Alleged Priority of Invention.....	15
D. Ground #1: Claims 1-11 based on the Lindholm Patents	16
1. The Lindholm '685 Patent	16
2. The Lindholm '913 Patent	20
3. Combining the Lindholm Patents	21
4. Independent Claim 2	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
a. Claim 2 [preamble] – Unified Shader	23
b. Claim 2[a] – General Purpose Register Block	26
c. Claim 2[b] and [d] – Processor Unit.....	27
d. Claim 2[c] - Sequencer	29
5. Independent Claim 3	30
a. Claim 3 [preamble] – Unified Shader	30
b. Claim 3[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	30
c. Claim 3[b] – Shared Resources	35
6. Independent Claim 4	35
a. Claim 4 [preamble] – Unified Shader	36
b. Claim 4[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	36
c. Claim 4[b] – Shared Resources	36
7. Independent Claim 5	36
a. Claim 5 [preamble] – Unified Shader	36
b. Claim 5[a] – Processor Unit	37
c. Claim 5[b] – Sequencer	37
8. Dependent Claim 6.....	37
9. Dependent Claims 7 and 10	37
10. Dependent Claim 8.....	39
11. Dependent Claim 9.....	39
a. Claim 11 [preamble] – Unified Shader	39
b. Claim 11[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	40
c. Claim 11[b] – Instruction Store.....	40
E. Ground #2: Claims 1-11 based on the Combination of Amanatides and Kohn	41
1. Independent Claim 2	45
a. Claim 2[a] – General Purpose Register Block	46

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
b. Claim 3[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	53
c. Claim 3[b] – Shared Resources	56
2. Independent Claim 4	57
b. Claim 4[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	57
c. Claim 4[b] – Shared Resources	57
3. Independent Claim 5	57
a. Claim 5 [preamble] – Unified Shader	57
b. Claim 5[a] – Processor Unit	57
c. Claim 5[b] – Sequencer	57
4. Dependent Claim 6.....	57
5. Dependent Claims 7 and 10	58
6. Dependent Claim 8.....	60
7. Dependent Claim 9.....	60
8. Independent Claim 11	60
a. Claim 11 [preamble] – Unified Shader	60
b. Claim 11[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	60
c. Claim 11[b] – Instruction Store	61
9. Independent Claim 1	61
F. Ground #3: Claims 1-11 based on the Combination of Selzer and Fiske.....	61
1. Selzer.....	61
2. Fiske	63
3. Combining Selzer and Fiske	64
4. Independent Claim 2	66
a. Claim 2 [preamble] – Unified Shader	66
b. Claim 2[a] – General Purpose Register Block	68
c. Claim 2[b] and [d] – Processor Unit.....	70

...

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
d. Claim 2[c] - Sequencer	71
5. Independent Claim 3	72
a. Claim 3 [preamble] – Unified Shader	72
b. Claim 3[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	73
c. Claim 3[b] – Shared Resources	74
6. Independent Claim 4	74
a. Claim 4 [preamble] – Unified Shader	74
b. Claim 4[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	75
c. Claim 4[b] – Shared Resources	75
7. Independent Claim 5	75
a. Claim 5 [preamble] – Unified Shader	75
b. Claim 5[a] – Processor Unit	75
c. Claim 5[b] – Sequencer	75
8. Dependent Claim 6.....	75
9. Dependent Claims 7 and 10	75
10. Dependent Claim 8.....	77
11. Dependent Claim 9.....	78
12. Independent Claim 11	78
a. Claim 11 [preamble] – Unified Shader	78
b. Claim 11[a] and [c] – Processor Unit	78
c. Claim 11[b] – Instruction Store.....	78
13. Independent Claim 1	78
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	79

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.