```
1
         UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2
          BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
     SAMSUNG BIOEPIS COMPANY, LTD.,
 5
                   Petitioner, )IPR NOS.
 6
                                       )2023-00739
            vs.
 7
     REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. )2023-00884
8
                    Patent Owner. )
9
10
11
12
            DEPOSITION OF RICHARD MANNING, Ph.D.
13
                      WASHINGTON, D.C.
14
                       MARCH 27, 2024
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
     REPORTED BY: Tina Alfaro, RPR, CRR, RMR
25
                                                   Page 1
```



2 RICHARD MANNING, Ph.D., held at the offices of: 3	1 Videotaped deposition of	1 INDEX
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 5 601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW 6 Washington, D.C. 20001 7 7 8 Taken pursuant to notice before Tina M. 9 Alfaro, a Notary Public within and for the District 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		2 EXAMINATION 3 WITNESS PAGE
ARNOLD & FORTER NATE SCHOLEN, LEF 5		
5	4 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP	,
8 Exhibit 1001-A	5 601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	
Taken pursuant to notice before Tina M. 9 Alfaro, a Notary Public within and for the District 10 of Columbia. 11		
8 Taken pursuant to notice before Tina M. 9 Alfaro, a Notary Public within and for the District 10 10 601 Patent 11 11 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		
9 Alfaro, a Notary Public within and for the District 10 of Columbia. 11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13	8 Taken pursuant to notice before Tina M.	
Declaration of Michael Stewart, M.D.		
12 12 12 13 13 14 14 14 15 14 15 15 15	10 of Columbia.	
13 13 15 15 16 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	11	
14	12	13 Exhibit 2043 13
15	13	
regarding '572 Patent 16 17 18 18 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	14	
16	15	
Exhibit 2007	16	
18 Exhibit 272	17	Exhibit 2067 13
DME Market Assessment Quarter 3 2017 9	18	
19	19	
20	20	19
23 24 25 Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 AND RESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:23 21 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:07 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Repeneron. With me is my 09:06:04	21	
23 24 25 Page 2 Page 4 1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 ARNOLD & PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 DAR ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE (videographer) 23 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 24 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 25 DAR ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 26 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 27 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 28 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 29 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 20 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 20 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 20 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 23 ARNOLD & PORTER CA, 92130-2040 24 ARN WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09.06:37 25 On behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09.06:40	22	
24 25 Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40 26 Patent and Trademar Mathew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:37 26 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:37 27 On behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	23	
25 Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:38 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 25 Patent and Urabel Porter of Op:06:23 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	24	
1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 1 County of the William of the Videor of the Patent Trial 09:05:59 15 and MORRISON FOERSTER 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 1 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 29 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ARNOLL & MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	25	
2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: 3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:34 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 2 2 going on the record at 9:05 a.m. on March 27, 2024. 09:05:24 3 Please note that the microphones are sensitive and 09:05:30 4 may pick up whispering and private conversations. 09:05:36 6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:44 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:56 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me - 09:06:03 14 Now York, NY 10019 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	Page 2	Page 4
3 Please note that the microphones are sensitive and 09:05:30 4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 7 Please mute your phones at this time. Audio and 09:05:36 6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 West South Street 100 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Amold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	1 APPEARANCES:	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are 09:05:23
4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ. 5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 7 All parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:34 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:23 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:	2 going on the record at 9:05 a.m. on March 27, 2024. 09:05:24
5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ. 6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 7 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my 09:06:40 4 Video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:36 6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:15 18 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	3 Please note that the microphones are sensitive and 09:05:30
6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 20 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 25 West 4 Arnold & Porter 09:06:31 26 Video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:58 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:44 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:56 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 - excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	4 BY: RICHARD ERWINE, ESQ.	4 may pick up whispering and private conversations. 09:05:32
7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 25 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 18 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:544 18 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:37 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	5 ELLIOT CHOI, ESQ.	5 Please mute your phones at this time. Audio and 09:05:36
8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 25 West 52 The Patent Ayre Scholer (videographer) 24 26 Wish Street (videographer) 25 West 55 th Street (videographer) 26 Worker Ayre Scholer (videographer) 27 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 28 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 29 West Street (videographer) 20 West Street (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Wish Mark Scholer (videographer) 23 Bioepis With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:37 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:40		· ·
9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 20 20 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 28 West 55 THE PATENT OWNER: 19 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:34 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:55 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 99:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 99:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 99:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:37 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	6 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	
10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 25 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:37 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40		6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38
11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 New York, NY 10010 8	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41
12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 22 23 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 New York, NY 10010 8	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44
13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46
14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 16 Weritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 23 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ.	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51
15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ.	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56
16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 23 24 25 26 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59
17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 20 20 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 22 23 23 24 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:28 23 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 01 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07
18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12
19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 23 24 25 26 MR. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15
20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ.	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16
21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19
22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20
23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23
24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37 25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer)	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25
25 on behalf of Patent Owner Regeneron. With me is my 09:06:40	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28
	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:31
	7 New York, NY 10010 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: 10 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP 11 BY: MATTHEW WILK, ESQ. 12 DAVID CAINE, ESQ. 13 250 West 55th Street 14 New York, NY 10019 15 and 16 MORRISON FOERSTER 17 BY: DREW HILLIER, ESQ. 18 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100 19 San Diego, CA, 92130-2040 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: Orson Braithwaite (videographer) 22 23 24	6 video recording will continue to take place unless 09:05:38 7 all parties agree to go off the record. 09:05:41 8 This is media unit 1 of the video-recorded 09:05:44 9 deposition of Dr. Richard Manning in the matter of 09:05:46 10 Samsung Bioepis Company, Ltd. versus Regeneron 09:05:51 11 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed in the United States 09:05:56 12 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial 09:05:59 13 and Appeal Board, Case No. IPR-203 excuse me 09:06:03 14 2023-00884. 09:06:07 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite representing 09:06:12 16 Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:06:15 17 The court reporter is Tina Alfaro from the firm 09:06:16 18 Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:06:19 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 09:06:20 20 and affiliations for the record. 09:06:23 21 MR. ERWINE: Richard Erwine of Quinn 09:06:25 22 Emanuel on behalf of the Petitioner Samsung 09:06:28 23 Bioepis. With me is my colleague Mr. Elliot Choi. 09:06:31 24 MR. WILK: Matthew Wilk of Arnold & Porter 09:06:37



1 colleague David Caine. Also joining is Drew 09:06:44	1 Q. Okay. And I think you in your CV 09:08:35
2 Hillier from the law firm of Morrison & Foerster 09:06:47	2 you've indicated that you've testified a few times 09:08:38
3 and remotely is Eileen Woo from Regeneron. 09:06:52	3 at trial; is that correct? 09:08:39
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 09:06:54	4 A. Yes. 09:08:41
Will you the court reporter please swear 09:06:55	5 Q. And is that have you testified at the 09:08:41
6 in the witness. 09:06:57	6 PTAB for purposes of an IPR petition? 09:08:43
7 (Witness sworn.) 09:07:04	7 A. So my understanding is that there is no 09:08:45
8 WHEREUPON: 09:07:04	8 live testimony at the PTAB, but I've submitted 09:08:47
9 RICHARD MANNING, Ph.D., 09:07:04	9 several declarations before the PTAB. 09:08:50
10 called as a witness herein, having been first duly 09:07:04	10 Q. And how about District Court? 09:08:54
11 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 09:07:04	11 A. I've testified in District Court four or 09:08:55
12 EXAMINATION 09:07:04	12 five times. I'd have to, again, go back and look. 09:08:58
13 BY MR. ERWINE: 09:07:07	13 Q. And were those patent cases? 09:08:59
Q. Good morning. Could you please state your 09:07:07	14 A. No. 09:09:01
15 name for the record. 09:07:09	15 Q. Do you recall the general subject matter 09:09:02
16 A. My name is Richard Manning. 09:07:10	16 of those cases? 09:09:04
17 Q. And is it okay if I refer to you as 09:07:12	17 A. Commercial litigation of various kinds. 09:09:05
18 Dr. Manning today? 09:07:15	18 Q. And I think that you may have said this 09:09:12
19 A. Sure. 09:07:16	19 already, but you you've provided several 09:09:15
20 Q. Excellent. 09:07:17	20 declarations concerning the purported commercial 09:09:20
21 Dr. Manning, is there anything restricting 09:07:21	21 success of Eylea? 09:09:25
22 you from providing complete and truthful testimony 09:07:23	22 A. Yes, I have. 09:09:27
23 today? 09:07:23	23 Q. And do you recall how many declarations 09:09:28
24 A. No. 09:07:23	24 you've submitted with respect to commercial success 09:09:30
25 Q. Are you taking any medication that would 09:07:25	25 of Eylea? 09:09:35
Page 6	
1 impede your ability to provide complete and 09:07:27	1 A. I would have to go count them on my CV. 09:09:36
2 truthful testimony? 09:07:30	2 They're reflected on my CV. I believe it's six or 09:09:38
3 A. No. 09:07:32	3 seven, maybe five, in that neighborhood. 09:09:42
	4 O. Do all of those declarations relate to the 09:09:45
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33	
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51
 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 A. Yes. 09:07:38 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54
 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 A. Yes. 09:07:38 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20 20 check for any errors? 09:08:22	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 09:09:47 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27 20 2022; does that sound right? 09:10:29
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20 20 check for any errors? 09:08:22 21 A. Yes. 09:08:24	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27 20 2022; does that sound right? 09:10:31
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20 20 check for any errors? 09:08:22 21 A. Yes. 09:08:24 22 Q. And did you find any? 09:08:24	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27 20 2022; does that sound right? 09:10:29 21 A. If that's what my if that's what the 09:10:31 22 record reflects. I don't remember the exact date. 09:10:34
Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20 20 check for any errors? 09:08:22 21 A. Yes. 09:08:24 22 Q. And did you find any? 09:08:27	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27 20 2022; does that sound right? 09:10:29 21 A. If that's what my if that's what the 09:10:34 22 record reflects. I don't remember the exact date. 09:10:34 23 Q. And Dr. Manning, have your views changed 09:10:37
4 Q. And Dr. Manning, I understand you've been 09:07:33 5 deposed a number of times before? 09:07:37 6 A. Yes. 09:07:38 7 Q. Do you recall how many? 09:07:38 8 A. Not exactly. Probably between 15 and 20, 09:07:40 9 but I don't know the exact number. 09:07:43 10 Q. And do you recall how many times you've 09:07:45 11 been deposed with respect to the Regeneron/Samsung 09:07:47 12 disputes at the PTAB? 09:07:53 13 A. Regeneron/Samsung, I'd have to go back and 09:07:55 14 look. I've been deposed by on Regeneron matters 09:07:58 15 at the PTAB for different Petitioners. I don't 09:08:03 16 remember the exact number. 09:08:08 17 Q. And with respect to those depositions, the 09:08:11 18 ones concerning Regeneron, did you review your 09:08:14 19 deposition transcripts after the depositions to 09:08:20 20 check for any errors? 09:08:22 21 A. Yes. 09:08:24 22 Q. And did you find any? 09:08:24	5 dosing regimen of Eylea? 6 A. Generally the dosing regimen is an 09:09:51 7 important element. It's not the only element that 09:09:54 8 I discuss, but it is an important element. 09:10:00 9 Q. Do you recall any of the other elements 09:10:02 10 you discussed? 09:10:03 11 A. Well, the elements that were at issue in 09:10:05 12 each of the proceedings. I'd have to go back and 09:10:07 13 look at those reports and verify, but dosing has 09:10:12 14 been a very important one. 09:10:16 15 Q. And do you recall the time period for 09:10:17 16 which you submitted those declarations? 09:10:18 17 A. I believe the first one was a couple years 09:10:21 18 ago. Again, my CV reflects the exact date. 09:10:22 19 Q. Would it be fair to say it was February of 09:10:27 20 2022; does that sound right? 09:10:29 21 A. If that's what my if that's what the 09:10:31 22 record reflects. I don't remember the exact date. 09:10:34



1 A. No. 09:10:49	1 Q. Okay. Great. 09:12:55
2 Q. So if I were to go back and look at one of 09:10:49	2 And Dr. Manning, did you speak to anyone 09:12:57
3 your deposition transcripts or one of your 09:10:51	3 in preparation for today's deposition? 09:12:59
4 declarations from a couple years ago, there isn't 09:10:53	4 A. Counsel, my staff. No one else that I 09:13:03
5 anything in there that you'd want to modify or 09:10:55	5 recall. 09:13:08
6 change? 09:10:57	6 Q. So, for instance, did you speak to 09:13:09
7 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:10:58	7 Dr. Stewart at all in preparation for today's 09:13:12
8 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's 09:11:04	8 deposition? 09:13:14
9 deposition? 09:11:06	9 A. Not in preparation for the deposition, 09:13:15
10 A. I reviewed my declarations. I understand 09:11:07	10 no. 09:13:19
11 there are two declarations at issue here and I 09:11:09	11 Q. And you mentioned that you spoke to 09:13:19
12 reviewed each of those. I reviewed Dr. Stewart's 09:11:13	12 counsel. Did you meet with them in person? 09:13:20
13 declarations. I reviewed some of the materials 09:11:17	13 A. Yes. 09:13:22
14 that I had used before or for in preparing the 09:11:20	14 Q. And when was that? 09:13:23
15 declaration. I reviewed I believe I reviewed my 09:11:26	15 A. Yesterday. 09:13:24
16 last deposition transcript. I met with counsel. 09:11:31	16 Q. And who did you meet with? 09:13:25
Q. And when you say your last deposition 09:11:36	17 A. The gentlemen here at the table. 09:13:27
18 transcript, do you recall which patent that was 09:11:38	18 Q. And how long did you meet for? 09:13:29
19 for? 09:11:40	19 A. Three hours perhaps, the morning. 09:13:33
20 A. I'm sorry. I'm not remembering the number 09:11:42	
	921 spoken to in preparation. Who on your staff did 09:13:40
22 in the record, but it was the one that was in 09:11:52	22 you speak to? 09:13:43
23 December I believe. 09:11:55	A. Ryan Marsh. He's a Ph.D. economist that 09:13:44
Q. The '681 Patent, does that sound close? 09:11:58	24 works for Intensity. 09:13:49
25 A. I would want to make sure I looked at the 09:12:01 Page 10	25 Q. When you did speak to him? 09:13:50 Page 12
1 record to be certain. 09:12:03	1 A. Yesterday and I may have talked to him a 09:13:51
2 Q. Okay. And do you recall, would it have 09:12:04	2 couple days before, but intermittently throughout 09:13:53
3 been my colleague 09:12:05	3 the last few days. 09:13:56
4 A. Yes. 09:12:06	4 Q. Was he part of the meeting with counsel 09:13:59
5 Q Mr. Choi that took the deposition? 09:12:06	5 yesterday? 09:14:01
6 A. Yes. 09:12:09	6 A. No. Oh yes, he was. Yeah. He was 09:14:04
7 Q. Okay. Great. 09:12:09	7 remote, but he was not physically there. 09:14:05
8 Now, you mentioned that you reviewed was 09:12:1	
9 it two declarations, that's the '572 and '601 09:12:14	9 too fast. Did you speak to Mr. Marsh independently 09:14:11
10 declarations that are at issue today? 09:12:19	10 of your meeting with counsel? 09:14:13
11 A. Yes. 09:12:22	11 A. Yes. 09:14:16
Q. Did you review any other declarations in 09:12:22	12 Q. When was that? 09:14:16
13 preparation for today's deposition? 09:12:23	13 A. Intermittently. 09:14:19
14 A. I don't believe so, no. 09:12:25	14 Q. All right. 09:14:29
15 Q. I think you mentioned that you reviewed 09:12:26	So let me give you some documents to start 09:14:29
16 Dr. Stewart's declarations? 09:12:29	16 the day. I think many of these have already been 09:14:31
17 A. Stewart? 09:12:32	17 designated as exhibits. The first exhibit I'm 09:14:36
18 Q. Yes. Was it just his declarations for the 09:12:33	18 going to hand you is Exhibit 2067. This is your 09:14:38
19 '572 and '601 Patents? 09:12:36	19 declaration concerning the '572 Patent. So that's 09:14:45
20 A. Yes. 09:12:41	20 from the case IPR-2023-884. 09:14:50
Q. And I think you indicated some materials 09:12:41	21 I'm also going to hand you your 09:15:05
22 that you have used that were part of your two 09:12:43	22 declaration from or for the '601 Patent and that 09:15:08
23 declarations; is that correct? 09:12:45	23 is Exhibit 2043, IPR-2023-739. We'll get these 09:15:17
A. In the appendices or the attachments to my 09:12:50	24 all knocked out to begin with. 09:15:42
25 declarations, those are what I reviewed. 09:12:53 Page 11	25 I'm going to hand you this is United 09:15:44 Page 13
rage 11	Page 13

1 States Patent No. 11,253,572, and it's 09:15:49	1 that particular matter? 09:20:14
2 Exhibit 1001 and I believe it's just from the 09:15:53	2 A. I don't. 09:20:15
3 '572 IPR. And also United States Patent 09:16:00	3 Q. Ballpark? 09:20:16
4 No. 10,888,601, which is Exhibit 1001 from the 09:16:16	4 A. You know, I haven't looked at the record 09:20:17
5 '601 IPR. And let's just do them all. 09:16:26	5 and I really don't know. 09:20:19
6 This is Exhibit 2027. This is the 09:16:49	6 Q. Same for '601? 09:20:20
7 declaration of Michael W. Stewart, M.D., and this 09:16:54	7 A. Yeah. Same answer, yes. 09:20:24
8 is for the '601 Patent and I believe this is just 09:17:01	8 Q. Okay. In terms of your overall work for 09:20:27
9 from the '601 IPR, which is 739. We're killing a 09:17:05	9 Regeneron, do you have a sense for overall hours, 09:20:29
10 lot of trees here. 09:17:12	10 amount of time you spent? 09:20:34
And last but not least, this is the 09:17:18	11 A. I don't know that either. 09:20:35
12 declaration of Michael W. Stewart for the 09:17:21	12 Q. All right. 09:20:36
13 '572 Patent, this is Exhibit 2065 and it's just 09:17:25	In paragraph 8 you reference some work by 09:20:39
14 in the '572 IPR, which is the 884 IPR. 09:17:31	14 other members of your team; do you see that? 09:20:42
Now, Dr. Manning, I was going to start 09:17:54	15 A. Yes. 09:20:46
16 with your '572 IPR declaration and before I get 09:17:57	16 Q. Is Mr is it Mr. or Dr. Marsh? 09:20:46
17 started, so there appears to be a lot of similarity 09:18:03	17 A. Dr. Marsh. 09:20:50
18 between the '572 and the '601 declarations. Is 09:18:07	18 Q. Dr. Marsh, is he one of the members of 09:20:50
19 that your understanding? 09:18:13	19 your team? 09:20:53
20 A. Yes. 09:18:14	20 A. He is. 09:20:54
21 Q. I think a lot of my questions are probably 09:18:14	21 Q. Do you know what his billing rate is? 09:20:54
22 going to overlap on the two. So is that all right 09:18:15	22 A. Off the top of my head I do not. 09:20:56
23 with you if I combine some of those questions, or 09:18:21	23 Q. Okay. Are there other members of your 09:20:57
24 do you prefer that I go, you know, one by one in 09:18:24	24 team? 09:20:58
25 terms of the declarations? 09:18:28	25 A. Yes. 09:20:59
Page 14	Page 16
1 A. I'm happy to have you ask the questions as 09:18:28	1 Q. Who are those? 09:20:59
2 you like. 09:18:31	2 A. Elizabeth Parker has worked on it, Max 09:21:01
3 Q. Okay. Great. 09:18:31	3 Allman Urman has worked on it, on these cases. 09:21:04
4 I guess first turning to paragraph 8 of 09:18:35	4 I don't know who has spent the more time on each 09:21:06
5 your declaration and this is, again, the '572 09:18:37	5 declaration. I haven't managed the workflow to the 09:21:10
6 declaration, but I think it's hopefully similar. I 09:18:44	6 extent at the level of detail that would help me 09:21:20
7 wanted to ask you about your hourly rate. So in 09:18:49	7 remember that the answer to that question. 09:21:23
8 the '572 declaration it's listed at 1,150 an hour; 09:18:56	8 Q. And was there one of the members of your 09:21:27
9 is that right? 09:19:01	9 team that was particularly focused on either '572 09:21:29
10 A. That's correct. 09:19:01	10 or '601? 09:21:34
11 Q. In the '601 declaration it's listed as 09:19:03	11 A. I believe they each focused on both 09:21:37
12 1,050 an hour. I'm just curious. Was that a typo 09:19:06	12 reports. 09:21:39
13 or what was the reason for the difference? 09:19:11	13 Q. Got it. 09:21:40
14 A. I believe that is because the '601 09:19:13	14 A. Or both declarations. 09:21:41
15 declaration was completed in 2023. Let me look at 09:19:16	15 Q. So let me ask you turning to paragraph 10 09:21:42
16 the date to be sure I'm not getting this wrong. 09:19:27	16 of your report. That is on page looks like it's 09:21:45
Well, it's good a thing that I checked the 09:19:39	17 on page 9 of the document. You indicate that you 09:21:53
18 date because that specific statement is not quite 09:19:41	18 relied on the declaration of of Michael W. 09:21:59
19 accurate, but the work was done based on an 09:19:43	19 Stewart, M.D., Exhibit 2065; do you see that? 09:22:05
20 earlier on the billing rates that were in place 09:19:48	20 A. Yes. 09:22:09
21 during 2023. My billing rate was changed as of the 09:19:52	21 Q. And you have that exhibit in front of you. 09:22:09
22 end of the year. So that would explain the 09:19:57	22 A. Uh-huh. 09:22:13
23 difference. 09:20:00	23 Q. You also mentioned you had a conversation 09:22:13
Q. And with respect to the '572 IPR, do you 09:20:04	1.04 1.4 15 0
05.1 1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24 with Dr. Stewart; is that right? 09:22:13
25 know about how many hours you've spent on that in 09:20:10 Page 15	24 with Dr. Stewart; is that right? 09:22:13 25 A. Yes. 09:22:14 Page 17

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

