IPR2023-00804 Patent Owner's Response

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENSIGN US SOUTHERN DRILLING LLC, Petitioner,

v.

C&M OILFIELD RENTALS, LLC D/B/A C-MOR ENERGY SERVICES Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2023-00804 U.S. Patent No. 10,976,016

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,976,016



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION			
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '016 PATENT			
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION			
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART			
V.	MR. HAMDAN'S EXPERT OPINION SHOULD BE GIVEN LITTLE-TO-NO WEIGHT			
	A.	Mr. Hamdan's interest in the outcome of the case	5	
	B.	Mr. Hamdan provides no factual support for his opinions		
	C.	The strength of any opposing evidence warrants affording Mr. Hamdan's opinions little to no weight.		
VI.	GROUND 1			
	A.	Gowanlock Overview	9	
	B.	Gowanlock does not disclose a "crown deck."	10	
	C.	Gowanlock does not disclose a "mounting pole."	11	
	D.	Gowanlock does not disclose "a bracket configured to attach the mounting pole to the crown deck of the rig."	13	
	E.	Gowanlock does not disclose "the bracket is connected to the crown deck of the rig using bolts."	16	
VII.	GROUND 2			
	A.	Swivelpole Overview	17	
	B.	The combination does not disclose a "crown deck."	19	
	C.	The combination does not disclose a "mounting pole."	23	
	D.	The combination does not disclose "a bracket configured to attach the mounting pole to the crown deck of the rig."	24	
	E.	The combination does not disclose a "bracket [] connected to the crown deck of the rig using bolts."	26	
	F.	No motivation to combine the references with a reasonable expectation of success.	27	



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

				Page
		1.	Neither reference teaches a "crown deck," as claimed	27
		2.	Any alleged motivation to combine is based on hindsight.	27
		3.	A POSITA would never use Swivelpole at Gowanlock's crown.	28
		4.	Modifying Gowanlock to add Swivelpole would frustrate the purpose of each reference.	30
		5.	Combining the references would require a complete redesign, using little if anything from the references	33
		6.	Petitioner's provided "motivations" are non-sensical	34
VIII.	GROUND 3			
	A.	Chine	ese '413 Overview	38
	B.	"Adn	nitted Prior Art" Overview	39
	C.	The c	combination does not disclose a "crown deck."	40
	D.		combination does not disclose "a bracket configured to the mounting pole to the crown deck of the rig."	44
	E.		combination does not disclose a "bracket [] connected to rown deck of the rig using bolts."	46
	F.		notivation to combine the references with a reasonable ctation of success.	47
		1.	A POSITA would not be motivated to combine the references with a reasonable expectation of success	47
		2.	Petitioner's provided "motivations" are non-sensical	49
IX.	GROUND 4			49
	A.	Magnalight Overview		
	B.	The c	combination does not disclose a "crown deck."	50
	C.	The c	combination does not disclose a "mounting pole."	51
	D.		combination does not disclose a "a bracket configured to a the mounting pole to the crown deck of the rig."	52



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

			Page	
	Е.	The combination does not disclose a "bracket [] connected to the crown deck of the rig using bolts."	53	
	F.	No motivation to combine the references with a reasonable expectation of success.	54	
		1. A POSITA would not be motivated to combine the references with a reasonable expectation of success	54	
		2. Petitioner's provided "motivations" are non-sensical	55	
Χ.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS		56	
	A.	There is a strong nexus.	56	
	B.	Copying		
	C.	Industry Praise		
	D.	Commercial Success		
	E.	Long-felt but unsolved need	66	
ΧI	CONCLUSION		68	



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Pa	ge(s)
Cases	
Apple Inc. v. AliveCor, Inc., IPR2022-00873, Paper 7	45
Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc., 776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	6, 8
Charter Commc'ns v. Spring Commc'ns Co., IPR2019-01137, Paper 9 (PTAB Dec. 9, 2019)	53
Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs., Inc., No. 2:14-CV-0911, 2015 WL 6956722 (E.D. TEx.Nov. 9, 2015)	42
EC Data Sys. Inc., v. J2 Global, Inc. No. 2014-008203, 2014 WL 7171966 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2014)	6
Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC, 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	0, 61
Institut Pasteur v. Focarino, 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	65
Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc., 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	62
Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Sols., Inc., IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 23, 2014)	10
KSR Int'l. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	29
Ex Parte Magna Elecs., Inc., No. 2013-004164, 2014 WL 2360424 (PTAB May 28, 2014)	6, 8
In re Mouttet, 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	24
NOF Corp. v. Nektar Therapeutics, IPR2019-01396, Paper 28 (PTAB Jun. 10, 2020)	



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

