

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NETFLIX, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GoTV Streaming, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2023-00757  
U.S. Patent No. 8,478,245

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

Petition Filing Date: April 7, 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	GROUND FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. §42.104(A)).....	1
III.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED AND STUTORY GROUNDS (37 C.F.R. §42.204(B)).....	2
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '245 PATENT .....	3
	A. Client Devices Receiving Remotely Executed Applications.....	3
	Graphics, Rendering, and Graphical User Interfaces.....	4
	Wireless mobile devices.....	7
	Client-Server Architectures.....	8
	B. The Specification.....	12
	C. The Prosecution History.....	16
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	17
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	17
VII.	THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES .....	18
	A. Overview of Hariki.....	18
	B. Overview of Harris.....	20
	C. Other Evidence Regarding the State of the Art.....	24
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS.....	24
	A. Ground 1: Hariki in view of Harris renders obvious Claims 1-33.....	24
	1. Claim 1 .....	24
	2. Claim 2 .....	52
	3. Claim 3 .....	55

4.	Claim 4 .....	56
5.	Claim 5 .....	57
6.	Claim 6 .....	58
7.	Claim 7 .....	62
8.	Claim 8 .....	62
9.	Claim 9 .....	64
10.	Claim 10 .....	65
11.	Claim 11 .....	65
12.	Claim 12 .....	66
13.	Claim 13 .....	68
14.	Claim 14 .....	68
15.	Claim 15 .....	68
16.	Claim 16 .....	69
17.	Claim 17 .....	69
18.	Claim 18 .....	69
19.	Claim 19 .....	69
20.	Claim 20 .....	70
21.	Claim 21 .....	70
22.	Claim 22 .....	70
23.	Claim 23 .....	70
24.	Claim 24 .....	78
25.	Claim 25 .....	78
26.	Claim 26 .....	80

27.	Claim 27 .....	81
28.	Claim 28 .....	81
29.	Claim 29 .....	81
30.	Claim 30 .....	81
31.	Claim 31 .....	82
32.	Claim 32 .....	82
33.	Claim 33 .....	82
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	83
X.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER EITHER § 314 OR § 325 .....	83
A.	Fintiv Factors.....	83
B.	Becton Dickinson/Advanced Bionics/General Plastics Factors .....	86
XI.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	87
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest.....	87
B.	Related Matters.....	87
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel, and Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	87
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	88
E.	Fees.....	88
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	88

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,478,245 (“’245Pat”)
1002	Expert Declaration of Ben Bederson (“ <i>Bederson</i> ”)
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Benjamin B. Bederson, Ph.D.
1004	File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,478,245 (“’245FH”)
1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0150617 (“ <i>Hariki</i> ”)
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0023755 (“ <i>Harris</i> ”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,447,486 (“ <i>Tamura</i> ”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,996,627 (“ <i>Carden</i> ”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,669,564 (“ <i>Young</i> ”)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,183 (“ <i>Graham</i> ”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,507,727 (“ <i>Henrick</i> ”)
1012	Webpage excerpt from Computer History Museum at <a href="https://www.computerhistory.org/tdih/april/6/">https://www.computerhistory.org/tdih/april/6/</a> (last accessed March 29, 2023)
1013	<a href="https://www.webdesignerdepot.com/2009/05/the-evolution-of-cell-phone-design-between-1983-2009/">https://www.webdesignerdepot.com/2009/05/the-evolution-of-cell-phone-design-between-1983-2009/</a>
1014	Excerpts from Dan R. Olsen, Jr., <i>Developing user interfaces</i> (1998)
1015	Alok Sinha, Client-server computing, in <i>Communications of the ACM</i> , 35, 7 (1992)
1016	Tim Berners-Lee, Robert Cailliau, Ari Luotonen, Henrik Frystyk Nielsen, and Arthur Secret, <i>The World-Wide Web</i> . <i>Communications of the ACM</i> 37, 8 76-82 (August 1994), available at <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/179606.179671">http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/179606.179671</a>
1017	Eric Kasten, <i>HTML: A Gentle Introduction</i> , <i>Linux Journal</i> (July 1995),

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.