

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NETFLIX, INC.,  
PETITIONER,

v.

GOTV STREAMING, LLC,  
PATENT OWNER.

---

CASE IPR2023-00758  
PATENT 8,478,245

---

DECLARATION OF JOSHUA S. WYDE

**EXHIBIT**

I, Joshua S. Wyde, declare:

¶1. I am over the age of eighteen, I am of sound mind, and I am fully competent to testify. This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, or, if so stated, upon information and belief.

¶2. I am an attorney at the law firm of Alavi Anaipakos, PLLC, and I am one of the attorneys representing the Patent Owner, GoTV Streaming, LLC, in the above captioned IPR.

¶3. Exhibit 2001 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from CAMPBELL-KELLY ET AL., *Mainframes to Smartphones: A History of the International Computer Industry* (Harvard Univ. Press 2015) purchased on Amazon.com.

¶4. Exhibit 2002 is a true and correct copy of GALAZZO, *Timeline from 1G to 5G: A Brief History on Cell Phones* (Sept. 21, 2020), available at <https://www.cengn.ca/information-centre/innovation/timeline-from-1g-to-5g-a-brief-history-on-cell-phones/>.

¶5. Exhibit 2003 is a true and correct copy of Cingular MEdia Mall Games and Motorola Page (March 23, 2006) captured by the Wayback Machine of the Internet Archive, available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20060323054853/http://www-xl.cingularextras.com/fuel/enduser/portal/endUserHTMLDir?c1=3&dc=0>

and <https://web.archive.org/web/20060323054841/http://www-xl.cingularextras.com/fuel/enduser/portal/endUserHTMLSelectPhone?makeName=motorola&dc=0>, with an affidavit from the Records Request Processor of the Internet Archive regarding the same.

¶6. Exhibit 2004 is a true and correct copy of the primary page of Suite, Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster, *available at* <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/suite>.

¶7. Exhibit 2005 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,380,205 to Bezrukov et al. (filed Oct. 28, 2003) as retrieved from Google Patents.

¶8. Exhibit 2006 is a true and correct copy of Scheduling Order, *GoTV Streaming, LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*, 2:22-cv-07556 (C.D. Cal. issued Feb 14, 2023) (Doc. 62) as taken from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (“PACER”) system.

¶9. Exhibit 2007 is a true and correct copy of Klausner Decisions re Motions to Stay Pending IPR as generated June 8, 2023 using Docket Navigator.

¶10. Exhibit 2008 is a true and correct copy of Order Granting Stipulated Stay Pending IPR, *Flexstent, LLC v. Abbott Labs.*, No. 5-18-cv-02479 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2019) (Doc.77) retrieved from PACER.

¶11. Exhibit 2009 is a true and correct copy of Order Denying Renewed Stipulated Stay Pending IPR, *Shenzhen Gooloo E-Commerce Co., Ltd. v. Pilot, Inc.*, No. 2-22-cv-02219 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2023) (Doc. 95) retrieved from PACER.

¶12. Exhibit 2010 is a true and correct copy of Renewed Joint Stipulation to Stay Case Pending Resolution of *Inter Partes Reviews* of '653 Patent, *Shenzhen Gooloo E-Commerce Co., Ltd. v. Pilot, Inc.*, No. 2-22-cv-02219 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 11, 2023) (Doc. 94) retrieved from PACER.

¶13. Exhibit 2011 is a true and correct copy of Klausner Time to Trial in Patent Cases, generated June 8, 2023 by Docket Navigator.

¶14. Exhibit 2012 is a true and correct copy of U.S. District Court—Judicial Caseload Profile for Central California from Federal Court Management Statistics—Profiles (Mar. 31, 2023) retrieved from <https://www.uscourts.gov>.

¶15. Exhibit 2013 is a true and correct copy of Order Denying Netflix's Motions, including for Invalidity under § 101, *GoTV Streaming, LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*, 2:22-cv-07556 (C.D. Cal. issued May 24, 2023) (Doc. 109) retrieved from PACER.

¶16. Exhibit 2014 is a true and correct copy of Order re Scheduling Conference, *GoTV Streaming, LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*, 2:22-cv-07556 (C.D. Cal. issued Feb. 13, 2023) (Doc. 61) retrieved from PACER.

¶17. Exhibit 2015 is a true and correct copy of Excerpts from Redacted and De-designated Corrected Declaration of Dr. John Villasenor Regarding Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,989,715; 8,478,245; and 8,103,865, *GoTV Streaming, LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*, 2:22-cv-07556 (C.D. Cal. served June 23, 2023) served in the district court lawsuit.

¶18. Exhibit 2016 is a true and correct copy of ZHANG, Systematic Approach to Construct and Assess Power Electronic Conversion Architectures Using Graph Theory and Its Application in a Fuel Cell System, 2019, Vol. 12 Iss. 6, pp. 1499–1509, The Institution of Engineering and Technology (2019) retrieved from The Institution of Engineering and Technology.

¶19. Exhibit 2017 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,404,032 to Ware et al. filed July 13, 2004 (“Ware”) retrieved from Google Patents.

¶20. These statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.