Paper 31 Filed: July 23, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NETFLIX, INC., PETITIONER,

v.

GOTV STREAMING, LLC, PATENT OWNER.

CASE IPR2023-00758 PATENT 8,478,245

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE (PAPER 30) 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(a)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TAl | BLE OF AUTHORITIES | ii |
|------|--|----|
| CU] | RRENT EXHIBIT LIST | iv |
| I. | Petitioner's Relevancy Objections Should Be Denied as Weight Objections | 1 |
| II. | Petitioner's Omnibus FRE 403 Objection Is Unsupported, and/or Inapplicable, and the Board Should Not Exercise Its Discretion to Exclude under this Basis | 4 |
| III. | Petitioner's "Weight" Request Is Inappropriate and Petitioner's Argument Should Be Ignored | 6 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| Amazon.com, Inc. v. Swarm Tech. LLC, IPR2022-00633-36 (PTAB Aug. 28, 2023) | 2 |
|--|------|
| Baxter Int'l, Inc. v. CareFusion Corp., No. 15-cv-09986, 2021 WL 428822 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 8, 2021) (Doc. 330) | 2, 3 |
| Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993) | 3 |
| Edwards Lifesciences Corp. v. Aortic Innovations, Inc., IPR2021-01527 (PTAB Feb. 10, 2023) (Paper 49) | 2 |
| Gulf States Utilities Co. v. Ecodyne Corp., 635 F.2d 517 (5th Cir. 1981) | 5 |
| Liberty Mut. Ins. Co v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co., CBM2012-00010 (PTAB Feb. 24, 2014) (Paper 59) | 6 |
| LinkedIn Corp. v. eBuddy Techs. B.V., IPR2022-00165-40 (PTAB June 30, 2023) | |
| Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967, 997 n.7 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), aff'd, 517 U.S. 370 (1996) | 3 |
| Packers Plus Energy Servs. Inc. v. Baker Hughes Oilfield Ops., Inc., IPR2016-01099 (PTAB Dec. 12, 2019) | 2 |
| Schultz v. Butcher, 24 F.3d 626 (4th Cir. 1994) | |
| Trend Micro Inc. v. Cupp Computing AS, IPR2019-00765-30 (PTAB Aug. 25, 2020) | 5 |
| Vivint, Inc. v. SB IP Holdings LLC, IPR2022-01365-8 (PTAB Apr. 26, 2023) | 3 |
| No table of authorities entries found. Rules | |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d) | 5 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c) | 5 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.62(b) | 5 |





IPR2023-00758 Patent 8,478,245

CURRENT EXHIBIT LIST

| No. | Brief Description |
|------|---|
| 2001 | CAMPBELL-KELLY ET AL., Mainframes to Smartphones: A History of the International Computer Industry, Ch. 11 (Harvard Univ. Press 2015) |
| 2002 | GALAZZO, Timeline from 1G to 5G: A Brief History on Cell Phones (Sept. 21, 2020), available at https://www.cengn.ca/information-centre/innovation/timeline-from-1g-to-5g-a-brief-history-on-cell-phones/ |
| 2003 | Cingular MEdia Mall Games and Motorola Page (March 23, 2006), available at https://web.archive.org/web/20060323054853/http://www-xl.cingularextras.com/fuel/enduser/portal/endUserHTMLDir?c1= 3&dc=0 and https://web.archive.org/web/20060323054841/http://www-xl.cingularextras.com/fuel/enduser/portal/endUserHTMLSelectP hone?makeName=motorola&dc=0 |
| 2004 | Suite, Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster, available at https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/suite |
| 2005 | U.S. Patent No. 7,380,205 to Bezrukov et al. (filed Oct. 28, 2003) |
| 2006 | Scheduling Order, GoTV Streaming, LLC v. Netflix, Inc., 2:22-cv-07556 (C.D. Cal. issued Feb 14, 2023) (Doc. 62) |
| 2007 | Klausner Decisions re Motions to Stay Pending IPR, Docket Navigator (generated June 8, 2023) |
| 2008 | Order Granting Stipulated Stay Pending IPR, <i>Flexstent, LLC v. Abbott Labs.</i> , No. 5-18-cv-02479 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2019) (Doc.77) |
| 2009 | Order Denying Renewed Stipulated Stay Pending IPR, Shenzhen Gooloo E-Commerce Co., Ltd. v. Pilot, Inc., No. 2-22-cv-02219 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2023) (Doc. 95) |
| 2010 | Renewed Joint Stipulation to Stay Case Pending Resolution of <i>Inter Partes Reviews</i> of '653 Patent, <i>Shenzhen Gooloo E-Commerce Co., Ltd. v. Pilot, Inc.</i> , No. 2-22-cv-02219 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 11, 2023) (Doc. 94) |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

