

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NETFLIX, INC.,
PETITIONER,

v.

GOTV STREAMING, LLC,
PATENT OWNER.

CASE IPR2023-00758
PATENT 8,478,245

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.23 & 42.24(c)(4)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
CURRENT EXHIBIT LIST	v
CLAIM LISTING	x
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	1
A. “Customized to Said Application” Means “Created For” the Application Program.....	1
B. The Pages of Compiled Content Must Be in Part Generated by the Executing Application	4
C. All Claims Require the Recited “Application” to Run Remotely.....	6
III. HARIKI IN VIEW OF HARRIS FAILS TO RENDER ANY CLAIM OBVIOUS	10
A. No <i>Single</i> Application Petitioner Identifies Renders any Independent Claim Obvious	10
1. The UI Skin (Identified as the “Custom Configuration”) Does Not Configure a Plurality of Rendering Blocks to Render Content in a Manner Customized to a Web Server (Identified as the “Application”).....	10
2. The HTML Files (Identified as the “Compiled Content”) Sent to the Mobile Device Are Not Generated in Part from the Execution of the Web Browser (Identified as the “Application”).....	15
B. Hariki’s UI Skin Is Not “Applicable” to the Received HTML Files.....	16
C. Petitioner Has Failed to Show Independent Claim 23 and Its Dependents, Claims 24–33, Are Obvious Because Hariki’s Engines Do Not Read HTML Files Sent to the Wireless Device	19

D. Hariki Does Not Disclose a GUI Rendering Block that controls
the Rendering of a Ticker 19

IV. CONCLUSION 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.</i> , 346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	2
<i>AllVoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communs., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1236 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	2
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	14
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Mylan Pharms. Inc.</i> , 19 F.4th 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	3
<i>B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc.</i> , 962 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	13
<i>DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 885 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	14
<i>Eon Corp. IP Holdings v. Silver Spring Networks</i> , 815 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	3
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 36 (1966)s.....	13
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	11, 13
<i>Kilopass Tech. Inc. v. Sidense Corp.</i> , No. 10-cv-02066, 2014 WL 3956703 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2014)	9
<i>KS Himpp v. Head-Wear Tech., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14, 18
<i>Kyocera Senco Indus. Tools Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 22 F.4th 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	2
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	8
<i>Loral Fairchild Corp. v. Sony Corp.</i> , 181 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	6
<i>Masimo Corp. v. Sotera Wireless, Inc.</i> , No. 2022-1415, 2023 WL 6307959 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 28, 2023)	3

<i>Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy, Inc.</i> , 695 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	13
<i>PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharm., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	17
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-MOBILE USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	8
<i>Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Alere, Inc.</i> , 76 F.4th 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	10
<i>Skky, Inc. v. Mindgeek, S.A.R.L.</i> , 859 F.3d 1014 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	18
<i>TF3 Ltd. v. Tre Milano, LLC</i> , 894 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	8
<i>TurboCare Div. of Demag Delaval v. General Elec.</i> , 264 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	8
<i>Wis. Alumni Rsch. Found. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 905 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	7
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112	7, 8
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	16
Other Authorities	
PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019)	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.