

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NETFLIX, INC.,  
PETITIONER,

v.

GOTV STREAMING, LLC,  
PATENT OWNER.

---

CASE IPR2023-00758  
PATENT 8,478,245

---

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.120*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	v
CURRENT EXHIBIT LIST .....	vii
CLAIM LISTING .....	xi
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE PATENT-AT-ISSUE.....	2
A. Family and Priority .....	2
B. Historical Context .....	3
C. Subject Matter.....	7
D. Invention as Illustrated through Embodiments.....	8
1. Applications.....	8
2. Compiled Content and Rendering Blocks.....	11
3. Custom Configuration .....	13
4. Client .....	14
III. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES .....	15
A. Hariki .....	15
B. Harris.....	17
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	19
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	19
A. District Court Constructions .....	19
B. “Customized to Said Application” Means “Created For” the Application Program.....	20
<i>1[b]/12[b]/23[f] wherein said custom configuration . . .             [configures/is operable to configure] said plurality of             rendering blocks to render content in a manner             customized to said application .....</i>	<i>20</i>

C. All Claims Require the Recited “Application” to Run Remotely .....22

*1[f]/12[f] receiving first compiled content specific to a first page of said application; and receiving second compiled content specific to a second page of said application.....22*

**23[h.2]** wherein said compiled content comprises: a first compiled content specific to a first page of said application; and a second compiled content specific to a second page of said application, .....22

*1[c]/12[c]/23[b] [receiving/said transceiver also operable to receive] compiled content generated in part from execution of said application.....22*

1. The Claims Recite a Wireless Device Receiving Compiled Content Pages from a Separate Remote Application .....22

2. The Specification Exclusively Promotes a Remote Application Executing on a Server Such That There Is No Written Description Support for Claiming a Locally Running Application .....23

3. All Parties Agree that the Claims Require the Application Be Executing Remotely.....25

4. A POSITA Would Understand the Claimed Application is Remote from the Wireless Device .....30

D. The Pages of Compiled Content Must Be in Part Generated by the Executing Remote Application.....31

*1[c]/12[c]/17[d] [receiving/said transceiver also operable to receive] compiled content generated in part from execution of said application.....31*

VI. HARIKI IN VIEW OF HARRIS FAILS TO RENDER ANY CLAIM OBVIOUS .....37

A. No *Single* Application Petitioner Identifies Renders any Independent Claim Obvious .....37

1. The UI Skin (Identified as the “Custom Configuration”) Does Not Configure a Plurality of Rendering Blocks to

Render Content in a Manner Customized to a Web Server  
(Identified as the “Application”) .....38

*1[b]/12[b]/23[f] wherein said custom configuration . . .  
[configures/is operable to configure] said plurality of  
rendering blocks to render content in a manner  
customized to said application .....38*

2. The HTML Files Sent to the Mobile Device Are Not  
Generated in Part from the Execution of the Web Browser  
.....43

*1[c]/12c]/23b] [receiving/said transceiver also operable  
to receive] compiled content generated in part from  
execution of said application..... 43*

3. The Web Browser Is Not Running Remotely from the  
Wireless Device.....46

*1[f]/12[f] receiving first compiled content specific to a  
first page of said application; and receiving second  
compiled content specific to a second page of said  
application..... 46*

*23[h.2] wherein said compiled content comprises: a first  
compiled content specific to a first page of said  
application; and a second compiled content specific to  
a second page of said application, ..... 46*

*1[c]/12[c]/23[b] [receiving/said transceiver also operable  
to receive] compiled content generated in part from  
execution of said application..... 46*

B. Hariki’s UI Skin Is Not “Applicable” to the Received HTML  
Files.....48

*1[g]/12[g]/23[h.3] wherein said custom configuration is  
applicable to both said first and second compiled  
content ..... 48*

C. Petitioner Has Failed to Show Independent Claim 23 and Its  
Dependents, Claims 24–33, Are Obvious Because Hariki’s  
Engines Do Not Read HTML Files Sent to the Wireless  
Device .....51

*[23g] an engine for reading said compiled content and responsive thereto for causing said graphical user interface to generate said renderable content based on said render commands; .....51*

D. The Patent-At-Issue’s Dependent Claims Are Non-Obvious.....53

1. Dependent Claims Are Missing Limitations from Independent Claims.....53

2. A Web Browser (Petitioner-Identified Application) Does Not Operate on a Remote Server.....53

*4/15/26 wherein said compiled content is partially resultant from said application operating on a remote server. ....53*

3. Hariki Does Not Disclose a GUI Rendering Block that controls the Rendering of a Ticker.....54

*11/22/32 . . . wherein said plurality of rendering blocks of said graphical user interface comprises: a first block that controls the rendering of ticker information across [a/said] display screen of said wireless device . . . .54*

VII. CONCLUSION .....55

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.