

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NETFLIX, INC.,
PETITIONER,

v.

GOTV STREAMING, LLC,
PATENT OWNER.

CASE IPR2023-00758
PATENT 8,478,245

DECLARATION OF MR. STUART LIPOFF

EXHIBIT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CURRENT EXHIBIT LIST	5
CLAIM LISTING	9
I. INTRODUCTION	12
II. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	13
III. PATENT FAMILY	22
IV. RELEVANT TIME.....	22
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	22
VI. GOVERNING LAW.....	24
A. Claim Construction Generally	24
B. Enablement	26
C. Obviousness	27
VII. MATERIALS CONSIDERED	29
VIII. OVERVIEW OF THE PATENT-AT-ISSUE.....	30
A. Historical Context.....	30
B. Subject Matter	33
C. Invention as Illustrated through Embodiments.....	34
1. Applications	34
2. Compiled Content and Rendering Blocks	37
3. Custom Configuration.....	40
4. Client.....	41
IX. INTERPRETATION OF TERMS	42
A. District Court Constructions	42
B. “Customized to Said Application” Means “Created For” the Application Program	42
1[b]/12[b]/23[f] wherein said custom configuration . . . [configures/is operable to configure] said plurality of	

rendering blocks to render content in a manner
 customized to said application.....42

C. All Claims Require the Recited “Application” to Run Remotely44

1[f]/12[f] receiving first compiled content specific to a first
 page of said application; and receiving second compiled
 content specific to a second page of said application.....44

23[h.2] wherein said compiled content comprises: a first
 compiled content specific to a first page of said
 application; and a second compiled content specific to a
 second page of said application,44

1[c]/12[c]/23[b] [receiving/said transceiver also operable
 to receive] compiled content generated in part from
 execution of said application44

D. The Pages of Compiled Content Must Be in Part Generated by
 the Executing Remote Application51

1[c]/12[c]/17[d] [receiving/said transceiver also operable
 to receive] compiled content generated in part from
 execution of said application51

X. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES54

 A. Hariki54

 B. Harris.....57

XI. CITED ART DOES NOT RENDER THE ’245 PATENT
 UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS58

 A. No *Single* Application Petitioner Identifies Renders Any
 Independent Claim Obvious.....58

 1. The UI Skin (Identified as the “Custom Configuration”)
 Does Not Configure a Plurality of Rendering Blocks to

Render Content in a Manner Customized to a Web Server
(Identified as the “Application”).....60

1**[b]/12[b]/23[f]** wherein said custom configuration . . .
[configures/is operable to configure] said plurality of
rendering blocks to render content in a manner
customized to said application.....60

2. The Web Browser Does Not Generate in Part from Its
Execution HTML Files.....63

1**[c]/[12c]/[23b]** [receiving/said transceiver also operable
to receive] compiled content generated in part from
execution of said application63

3. The Web Browser Is Not Running Remotely from the
Wireless Device.....64

1**[f]/12[f]** receiving first compiled content specific to a first
page of said application; and receiving second compiled
content specific to a second page of said application.....64

2**3[h.2]** wherein said compiled content comprises: a first
compiled content specific to a first page of said
application; and a second compiled content specific to a
second page of said application,64

1**[c]/12[c]/23[b]** [receiving/said transceiver also operable
to receive] compiled content generated in part from
execution of said application64

B. Hariki’s UI Skin Is Not “Applicable” to the Received HTML
Files65

1**[g]/12[g]/23[h.3]** wherein said custom configuration is
applicable to both said first and second compiled
content.....65

C. Petitioner Has Failed to Show Independent Claim 23 and Its Dependents, Claims 24–33, Are Obvious Because Hariki’s Engines Do Not Read HTML Files Sent to the Wireless Device66

[23g] an engine for reading said compiled content and responsive thereto for causing said graphical user interface to generate said renderable content based on said render commands;66

D. Hariki Does Not Disclose a GUI Rendering Block that controls the Rendering of a Ticker.....67

11/22/32 . . . wherein said plurality of rendering blocks of said graphical user interface comprises: a first block that controls the rendering of ticker information across [a/said] display screen of said wireless device67

XII. CONCLUSION.....68

XIII. DECLARATION69

APPENDIX A (RESUME).....70

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.