

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., MICROSOFT CORPORATION, AMAZON.COM,
INC., AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., AND AMAZON.COM SERVICES
LLC,
Petitioners

v.

LS CLOUD STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2023-00733
U.S. Patent No. 10,154,092

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS	2
A. Related District Court Litigation.....	2
B. Related IPR Proceedings	3
III. ARGUMENTS	3
A. Petitioner’s Motion for Joinder Is Timely.....	4
B. Joinder Is Warranted under <i>Kyocera</i>	4
1. Factor 1: Joinder is appropriate.....	4
2. Factor 2: Petitioner’s petition proposes no new grounds for unpatentability.....	6
3. Factor 3: Joinder will not unduly burden or negatively impact the Google IPR trial schedule	6
4. Factor 4: Procedures to simplify briefing and discovery.....	8
IV. CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. INVT SPE LLC</i> , IPR2019-00958, Paper 9 (PTAB May 30, 2019)	7, 9
<i>AT&T Servs., Inc. v. Convergent Media Solutions, LLC</i> , IPR2017-01235, Paper 11 (PTAB May 9, 2017) (Lee, J.)	9
<i>BlackBerry Corp. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR2019-01283, Paper 10 (PTAB Nov. 5, 2019).....	6
<i>Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01019, Paper 11 (Oct. 30, 2018)	7
<i>Ericsson Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR2020-00376, Paper 16.....	9
<i>Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2018-01260, Paper 12 (PTAB Nov. 14, 2018).....	7
<i>Google LLC v. LS Cloud Storage Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2023-00120.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Intel Corp. v. Alacritech, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01352, Paper 11 (PTAB Jan. 8, 2019)	7, 9
<i>Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC</i> , IPR2013-00004, Paper 15 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013)	4
<i>Lear, Inc. v. Adkins</i> , 395 U.S. 653 (1969).....	5
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH</i> , IPR2019-00980, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 15, 2019).....	7
<i>Samsung Elecs., Co. v. Raytheon Co.</i> , IPR2016-00962, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 24, 2016).....	4
<i>Sony Corp. v. Memory Integrity, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01353, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2015).....	6, 9

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)5
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)1, 3

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)1, 3, 4

I. INTRODUCTION

Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”), Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”), Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon Web Services, Inc., and Amazon.com Services LLC (“Amazon”) (collectively, “Petitioner”) respectfully submit this Motion for Joinder (“Motion”) together with a Petition (“Copycat Petition”) for *Inter Partes* Review (IPR) of U.S. Patent No. 10,154,092 (“’092 Patent”). The ’092 Patent is the subject of another proceeding before the Patent Office, *Google LLC v. LS Cloud Storage Technologies, LLC*, IPR2023-00120 (“Google IPR”). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), Petitioner respectfully requests institution of *inter partes* review of the same claims of the ’092 Patent challenged in the Google IPR based on the same grounds presented in the Google IPR and further requests joinder with the Google IPR.

Petitioner’s request for joinder is timely because it is made before an institution decision has been issued by the Board in the Google IPR. Further, Petitioner’s joinder will not unduly burden or prejudice the parties to the Google IPR and will efficiently resolve the patentability of the ’092 Patent in a single IPR proceeding. The Copycat Petition is substantively identical to the Google IPR petition (“Google Petition”). The Copycat Petition seeks review of the same patent claims challenged in the Google IPR, advances the same grounds for unpatentability advanced in the Google IPR, and relies on the same evidence (including expert

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.