UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
Petitioner,
v.
NOVO NORDISK A/S,
Patent Owner.
Case No. IPR2023-00724
U.S. Patent No. 10,335,462

DECLARATION OF BRYAN D. BEEL
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF
BRYAN D. BEEL



- I, Bryan D. Beel, declare as follows:
- 1. I am senior counsel in the patent litigation group at Perkins Coie LLP.
- 2. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Oregon. I am also admitted to practice before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and Federal Circuit. I am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the District of Oregon, and the Supreme Court of Oregon.
 - 3. My State of Oregon Bar membership number is OR 073408.
- 4. I have been practicing law for 16 years, including litigating patent cases, specifically relating to pharmaceutical patent cases for approximately 13 years.
- 5. More generally, I have represented the Petitioner and/or its various related entities in litigating significant pharmaceutical patent cases, such as the following patent cases:
- AstraZeneca AB v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd., No. 12-cv-01378 (U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey);
- Horizon Pharma, Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 13-cv-04022 (U.S. District Court of the District of New Jersey);



- Horizon Pharma, Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 15-cv-03327 (U.S. District Court of the District of New Jersey);
- BTG Int'l Ltd. v. Actavis Labs. FL, Inc., No. 15-cv-05909 (U.S. District Court of the District of New Jersey);
- Novartis AG v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 16-cv-00289 (U.S. District Court of the District of Delaware);
- Horizon Pharma, Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 16-cv-04921 (U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey);
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No.
 17-cv-00389 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No.
 17-cv-54 (U.S. District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia);
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. v. Accord Healthcare Inc., No. 18-cv-01043 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- Novo Nordisk Inc. v. Mylan Institutional LLC, No. 19-01551 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. v. Mylan API US LLC, No. 20-cv-61
 (U.S. District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia);



- Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. v. Mylan API US LLC, No. 20-cv-3270
 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- *In re: Sugammadex*, No. 20-2576 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd., No. 22-464 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- *Novo Nordisk Inc. v. Viatris Inc.*, No. 23-101 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware);
- Novo Nordisk Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 22-1040 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware); and
- In re: Ozempic (Semaglutide) Patent Litigation, No. 22-MD-3038 (U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware).
- 6. I have never been disbarred, suspended, sanctioned, or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body. I am not currently suspended in any bar, or by any court or administrative body.
- 7. I have never had a court or administrative body deny my application for admission to practice.
- 8. I am familiar with the subject matter of this proceeding. In addition to U.S. Patent No. 10,335,462 (the "'462 patent") and its prosecution history, I



am familiar with the technology at issue and Ozempic®, the pharmaceutical product for which the '462 patent is listed in FDA's publication Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly referred to as the "Orange Book." I have been litigating issues surrounding Ozempic® and the '462 patent in *In re: (Semaglutide) Patent Litigation*, No. 22-MD-3038 (D. Del.), on behalf of Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.

- 9. I connection with my work on the Ozempic litigation, I have become familiar with the prior art references that are the subject of this proceeding.
- 10. Given my familiarity with the underlying facts and my litigation experience with the Federal Rules of Evidence, I have experience and expertise important to representing Mylan's interests in this matter.
- 11. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R.
- 12. I agree to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
- 13. I have previously applied for, and been granted, admission pro hac vice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in IPR2016-01332, IPR2017-01995, IPR2018-00272, and PGR2022-00023.



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

