

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: John Albert Kembel, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 8,020,083 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0148IP1
Issue Date: September 13, 2011
Appl. Serial No.: 11/932,585
Filing Date: October 31, 2007
Title: SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR CREATING AND AUTHORIZING
INTERNET CONTENT USING APPLICATION MEDIA
PACKAGES

DECLARATION OF DR. DOUGLAS C. SCHMIDT

I, Dr. Douglas C. Schmidt, of Nashville, Tennessee, declare that:

QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. My qualifications can be found in my Curriculum Vitae, which is submitted with this Declaration as Exhibit 1004 and includes a complete list of my education, patents and publications, employment and research history, and professional activities and awards.

2. I am currently tenured as the Cornelius Vanderbilt Professor of Engineering with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, TN, where I also serve as the Associate Chair of the Computer Science Department. I have been a full-time university professor since 1994, and I was previously a tenured professor at the University of California, Irvine with the Electrical and Computer Engineering department from 2000 to 2003 and Washington University in St. Louis, MO with the Computer

D. Subscriptions

25. The Internet is known to host various forms of in-demand digital content, so systems have been created that are directed to providing “up-to-date” information on a recurring basis (a “subscription”). SAMSUNG-1005, 13:1-13; SAMSUNG-1006, 3:58-67, 2:1-13; SAMSUNG-1009, 1:13-26. One early example of Internet subscriptions was the “PointCast” system first released to the public in February, 1996. SAMSUNG-1017. PointCast was designed to “display regularly updated news in place of a user’s more conventional screensaver” and included categories for “News, Companies, Industries, Weather, Sports, and Lifestyle.” *Id.* As one example of data retrieved through a subscription, a user can be subscribed to receive regular updates of information related to stocks. SAMSUNG-1005, FIG. 3A, SAMSUNG-1006, 10:48-55; SAMSUNG-1009, 1:13-20. One additional example of a subscription service is Microsoft’s Channel Definition Format (CDF), which is discussed by both Brown and Wecker. SAMSUNG-1005, 13:1-13; SAMSUNG-1006, 3:3-16.

INTERPRETATIONS OF THE ’083 PATENT CLAIMS AT ISSUE

26. I understand that, for purposes of my analysis in this *inter partes* review proceeding, the terms appearing in the patent claims should be interpreted according to their “broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which it appears.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b). In that regard, I understand

ADDITIONAL REMARKS

180. I currently hold the opinions set expressed in this declaration. My analysis may continue, however, and I may acquire additional information and/or attain supplemental insights that may result in added observations and opinions.

181. I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. Moreover, these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: 02/22/2023 By: 

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: John Albert Kembel, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 9,369,545 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0150IP1
Issue Date: June 14, 2016
Appl. Serial No.: 13/975,227
Filing Date: August 23, 2013
Title: ACCESSING AND DISPLAYING NETWORK CONTENT

DECLARATION OF DR. DOUGLAS C. SCHMIDT

I, Dr. Douglas C. Schmidt, of Nashville, Tennessee, declare that:

QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. My qualifications can be found in my Curriculum Vitae, which is submitted with this Declaration as Exhibit 1004 and includes a complete list of my education, patents and publications, employment and research history, and professional activities and awards.

2. I am currently tenured as the Cornelius Vanderbilt Professor of Engineering with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, TN, where I also serve as the Associate Chair of the Computer Science Department. I have been a full-time university professor since 1994, and I was previously a tenured professor at the University of California, Irvine with the Electrical and Computer Engineering department from 2000 to 2003 and Washington University in St. Louis, MO with the Computer Science and Engineering department from 1994 to 2000. In addition, I served as

SAMSUNG-1006, 3:58-67, 2:1-13; SAMSUNG-1009, 1:13-26. One early example of Internet subscriptions was the “PointCast” system first released to the public in February, 1996. SAMSUNG-1017. PointCast was designed to “display regularly updated news in place of a user’s more conventional screensaver” and included categories for “News, Companies, Industries, Weather, Sports, and Lifestyle.” *Id.* As one example of data retrieved through a subscription, a user can be subscribed to receive regular updates of information related to stocks. SAMSUNG-1005, FIG. 3A, SAMSUNG-1006, 10:48-55; SAMSUNG-1009, 1:13-20. One additional example of a subscription service is Microsoft’s Channel Definition Format (CDF), which is discussed by both Brown and Wecker. SAMSUNG-1005, 13:1-13; SAMSUNG-1006, 3:3-16.

INTERPRETATIONS OF THE ’545 PATENT CLAIMS AT ISSUE

26. I understand that, for purposes of my analysis in this *inter partes* review proceeding, the terms appearing in the patent claims should be interpreted according to their “broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which it appears.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b). In that regard, I understand that the best indicator of claim meaning is its usage in the context of the patent specification as understood by a POSITA. I further understand that the words of the claims should be given their plain meaning unless that meaning is inconsistent with the patent specification or the patent’s history of examination before the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.