

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00701
Patent 8,510,407 B1

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

EXHIBITS

- SAMSUNG-1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,510,407 to Kembel, et al. (“the ’407 Patent”)
- SAMSUNG-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’407 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- SAMSUNG-1003 Declaration of Dr. Douglas C. Schmidt
- SAMSUNG-1004 Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Douglas C. Schmidt
- SAMSUNG-1005 U.S. Patent No. 6,278,448 B1 (“Brown”)
- SAMSUNG-1006 U.S. Patent No. 6,449,638 B1 (“Wecker”)
- SAMSUNG-1007 U.S. Patent No. 5,793,368 (“Beer”)
- SAMSUNG-1008 U.S. Patent No. 6,789,263 B1 (“Shimada”)
- SAMSUNG-1009 U.S. Patent No. 6,088,340 (“Buchholz”)
- SAMSUNG-1010 U.S. Patent No. 6,819,345 B1 (“Jones”)
- SAMSUNG-1011 HTML 4 Unleashed (“Darnell”)
- SAMSUNG-1012 IPR2019-01279 Final Written Decision
- SAMSUNG-1013 U.S. Patent No. 6,342,907 B1 (“Petty”)
- SAMSUNG-1014 *Lenovo Holding Company, Inc. v. DoDots Licensing Solutions LLC*, No. 2021-1247, 2021 WL 5822248 (Dec. 8, 2021).
- SAMSUNG-1015 U.S. Patent No. 6,311,058 B1 (“Wecker 2”)
- SAMSUNG-1016 U.S. Patent No. 5,737,560 (“Yohanan”)

Proceeding No.: IPR2023-00701

Attorney Docket: 39843-0149IP1

SAMSUNG-1017 CNET News, “PointCast unveils free news service,”
https://web.archive.org/web/20110616130215/http://news.cnet.com/PointCast-unveils-free-news-service/2100-1023_3-204658.html, last accessed Feb. 16, 2023

SAMSUNG-1018 Declaration of June Ann Munford

SAMSUNG-1019 DoDots Licensing Solutions LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al., 6:22-cv-00535, W.D. Tex., filed May 24, 2022

SAMSUNG-1020 U.S. Patent No. 6,094,681 (“Shaffer”)

SAMSUNG-1021 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1022 U.S. Patent No. 6,185,614 B1 (“Cuomo”)

SAMSUNG-1023 RESERVED

SAMSUNG-1024 Joint Claim Construction Statement, 6:22-cv-00535, W.D. Tex., filed May 15, 2023

SAMSUNG-1025 IPR2019-01279, Paper 40 (CAFC Decision)

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner” or “Samsung”) submits this reply to Patent Owner’s preliminary response (“POPR”), as authorized by the Board on August 29, 2023. Paper 7. As detailed below, the arguments advanced by Patent Owner (“DoDots”) lack merit and should be rejected.

I. THE PETITION RELIES ON THE CORRECT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STANDARD

As clearly explained in the Petition, “Petitioner submits that all claim terms should be construed according to the *Phillips* standard. *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005); 37 C.F.R. §42.100.” Petition, 3. As the Petition goes on to explain, in no unclear terms, “[u]nder the *Phillips* standard, the ‘words of a claim are generally given their ordinary and customary meaning as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art when read in the context of the specification and prosecution history,’ and, moreover, ‘Petitioner submits that all claim terms should be given their ordinary and customary meaning in the context of the specification under the *Phillips* standard[.]’” *Id.*, 3. Thus, DoDots’ contention that “Samsung’s petition should be denied” because “the petitioner uses the incorrect BRI claim-construction standard” is wrong. POPR, 12.

DoDots’ contention that the Petition fails to apply the correct “claim construction standard *under 37 C.F.R. 42.100(b)*” similarly misses the mark. POPR, 12 (emphasis added). In his declaration, Petitioner’s expert Dr. Schmidt expressly referred to “37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)” as the basis of his interpretations of

the claim terms at issue. SAMSUNG-1003, ¶26. Although Dr. Schmidt quoted an earlier version of 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) with the “broadest reasonable construction” language, such a typographical error does not deter the soundness of his opinions, especially when considering that Dr. Schmidt is not a lawyer nor has he ever professed to be one.¹ Rather, Dr. Schmidt’s understanding of legal principles is based on information received from Samsung’s counsel—who as noted above applied the correct *Phillips* standard. *See id.*, ¶¶15-18, 26.

The fact that Dr. Schmidt, despite the typographical error, applied the correct claim construction standard becomes abundantly clear upon reviewing his actual claim construction analysis. *See* SAMSUNG-1003, ¶¶50-61. Indeed, for the only two terms for which Dr. Schmidt provided a separate claim construction analysis—“networked information monitor” and “networked information monitor template”—Dr. Schmidt’s constructions were perfectly aligned with those from IPR2019-001279, which were endorsed by the Federal Circuit under the *Phillips* standard. *See* SAMSUNG-1003, ¶¶28-29, *see also* ¶¶50-61 (“Therefore, Brown’s desktop components are “networked information monitors,” as properly construed”); SAMSUNG-1025. These constructions provided by Dr. Schmidt are

¹ If institution is granted, Petitioner plans to submit an updated expert declaration from Dr. Schmidt that corrects the typographical error.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.