

IPR2023-00701 (U.S. Patent No. 8,510,407)  
PO's Preliminary Response

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC,  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00701  
U.S. Patent No. 8,510,407 B1

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,510,407**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. THE '407 PATENT.....	4
A. Background of the claimed invention. ....	4
B. The previous Lenovo '407 IPR. ....	7
III. THE LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	10
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION. ....	10
V. THE LEGAL STANDARD FOR OBVIOUSNESS.....	11
VI. INSTITUTION SHOULD BE DENIED FOR TWO THRESHOLD REASONS. ....	12
A. Petitioner applies the incorrect claim construction standard under 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b).....	12
B. Petitioner fails to meet the requirements of §§ 37 C.F.R. 42.104(b)(3) and 42.104(b)(4). ....	14
1. Petitioner violates both 42.104(b)(3) and 42.104(b)(4).....	15
2. Petitioner fails to identify specific portions of the specification that describe the structure for a supposed means-plus-function limitation. ....	17
VII. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS FOR ANY OF GROUNDS 1A, 1B, 1C AND 2.....	20
A. Petitioner does not explain defect in prior consideration of Brown. ..	20
B. Petitioner fails to demonstrate how a local HTML file in Shimada that includes content that is presented on an alleged NIM meets “a content reference that comprises a network location at which content for the first networked information monitor is accessible via a TCP/IP protocol.”.....	21

VIII. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE BOARD UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A).....26

A. The district court case is not stayed nor is there any evidence that a stay will be granted if the IPR were instituted. ....28

B. Proximity of the district court's trial date to the Board's projected statutory deadline for a final written decision.....28

C. Both the district court and the parties have made significant investments in the parallel district court case. ....29

D. There is significant overlap between the issues raised in the petition and in the parallel district court case.....30

E. Petitioner and defendant in the parallel litigation are the same party.....31

F. Other circumstances favor the Board exercising its discretion to deny institution, including the merits.....31

IX. CONCLUSION.....32

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH,</i> IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020).....	21
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,</i> IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.,</i> 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	12
<i>Canadian Solar Inc. v. The Solaria Corporation,</i> IPR2021-00095, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. 2021).....	30
<i>Carefusion Corporation v. Baxter International, Inc.,</i> IPR2016-01456, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 6, 2017).....	19
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd.,</i> IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2020) .....	29
<i>Clickbooth.com LLC v. Essociate, Inc.,</i> IPR2015-00464, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. July 9, 2015).....	18
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc.,</i> 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	14
<i>Floteck Indus., Inc. v. Nat'l Oilwell DHT, L.P.,</i> IPR2015-01210, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 6, 2015).....	17
<i>Forest Lab 'ys, LLC v. Sigmapharm Lab 'ys, LLC,</i> 918 F.3d 928 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	11
<i>Google, LLC. v. Personalized Media Comm., LLC.,</i> IPR2020-00723, Paper 22, 7 (P.T.A.B. 2020).....	29
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City,</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	11, 12

IPR2023-00701 (U.S. Patent No. 8,510,407)  
PO's Preliminary Response

<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	13, 14
<i>Hebert v. Lisle Corp.</i> , 99 F.3d 1109 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	13
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	11
<i>Lenovo Holding Co. v. DoDots Licensing Sols. LLC</i> , 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 36126 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 8, 2021).....	1, 5
<i>Lindsay Corporation v. Valmont Industries</i> , IPR2015-01039, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 24, 2015).....	17
<i>Medshape, Inc. v. Cayenne Med., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00848, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Sep 14, 2015).....	18
<i>Ex parte Miyazaki</i> , 89 U.S.P.Q.2d 1207 (BPAI Nov. 19, 2008) .....	18
<i>Next Caller Inc. v. TrustID, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00961, -00962, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019) .....	29
<i>NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-plex Technologies</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. 2018).....	27
<i>Phillips v AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	10, 12, 15, 16
<i>Sand Rev'n II LLC v. Cont'l Intermodal Grp. – Trucking LLC</i> , IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (P.T.A.B. 2020).....	31
<i>SAS Institute, Inc. v. Complementsoft, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00226, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 12, 2013).....	17
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020) .....	3, 27, 30, 31
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.