

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COOLER MASTER CO., LTD.

Petitioner,

v.

ASETEK DANMARK A/S,

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,245,764
Title: COOLING SYSTEM FOR A COMPUTER SYSTEM

IPR Case No.: IPR2023-00668

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
TABLE OF EXHIBITS	vii
I. INITIAL STATEMENT.....	1
II. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	3
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '764 PATENT	4
A. Priority Date	5
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSITA”).....	5
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	6
A. “reservoir”	6
B. “chamber”	6
C. “underside” and “upper side” of the “chassis”	7
D. “below”	7
E. “below ... vertically”	7
VI. OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART.....	8
A. Duan (Ex-1006).....	8
B. Duan-I (Ex-1007)	8
C. Batchelder (Ex-1008)	9
D. Laing (Ex-1008)	9
VII. CLAIM ELEMENT NUMBERING KEY	9
VIII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	26
A. GROUNDS 1 & 2: Claims 1-19, 21-27, and 29-30 are rendered obvious over Duan by itself or in view of Duan-I.	26

1.	Duan by itself or in view of Duan-I discloses or suggests each limitation of claim 1 and renders it obvious.....	27
2.	Duan by itself or in view of Duan-I teaches claims 2-9.	49
3.	Duan and Duan-I disclose or teach each limitation of claim 10 and render it obvious.....	64
4.	Duan teaches each limitation of claims 11-14.	70
5.	Duan and Duan-I teach each limitation of claim 15 and render it obvious.....	72
6.	Duan teaches each limitation of claims 16-19, 21-27, and 29.....	79
7.	Duan by itself or in view of Duan-I teaches each limitation of claim 30.....	87
B.	GROUND 3 and 4: Claim 8 is obvious over Duan in view of Laing or Duan in view of Duan-I and further in view of Laing.	87
C.	GROUND 5: Claims 1-30 are rendered obvious over Batchelder in view of Duan.....	89
1.	Batchelder in view of Duan teaches each limitation of claim 1 and renders it obvious.	89
2.	Batchelder teaches claims 2-9.....	113
3.	Batchelder and Duan teach each limitation of claim 10 and render it obvious.....	123
4.	Batchelder and Duan teach claims 11-14.	128
5.	Batchelder and Duan teach each limitation of claim 15 and render it obvious.....	132
6.	Batchelder teaches claims 16-29.....	136
7.	Batchelder teaches claim 30.....	143
D.	GROUND 6: Claim 8 is obvious over Batchelder in view of Duan and further in view of Laing.	143
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	145
X.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.15	145
XI.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104.....	146
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a).....	146

B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	146
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(1) FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW.....	146
A.	Real Party in Interest Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1).....	146
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)	148
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3) and Service Information under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4).....	148
	CERTIFICATION OF WORD COUNT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.24(D).....	150
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	151

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>ACCO Brands Corp. v. Fellowes, Inc.</i> , 813 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	59, 63
<i>Asetek Danmark A/S v. CMI USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 4:13-cv-00457-JST (N.D. Cal.)	147
<i>Asetek Danmark A/S v. Shenzhen Apaltek Co.</i> , No. Case No. 3:22-cv-06179-WHO (N.D. Cal.)	148
<i>Cooler Master Co., Ltd. et al v. Asetek Danmark A/S</i> , Case No. 5:21-cv-04627-EJD (N.D. Cal.).....	147, 148
<i>In re Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 844 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	112
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	26, 27, 88, 89, 92, 144
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	59
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	27
<i>Tokai Corp. v. Easton Enters., Inc.</i> , 632 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	145
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	9
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	8
35 U.S.C. § 103	3, 59
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	146
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	145
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	146

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.