

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NETFLIX, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

VIDEOLABS, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2023-00630  
Patent No. 7,440,559 B2

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,440,559 B2**

Petition Filing Date: February 23, 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	DISCRETIONARY ANALYSIS FOR REVIEW .....	2
	A. <i>Fintiv</i> Factors.....	2
	B. <i>Becton Dickinson/Advanced Bionics/General Plastics</i> Factors.....	4
III.	PATENT OVERVIEW.....	5
	A. Background of Delivery and Downloading of Multimedia Content from Remote Devices.....	5
	B. Summary of the Alleged Invention of the '559 Patent .....	6
	C. The Prosecution History.....	8
	D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
IV.	IDENTIFICATION OF PRIOR ART .....	10
	A. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0023427 to Cassin ("Cassin") (Ex. 1004).....	10
	B. U.S. Patent No. 7,243,136 to Huston ("Huston") (Ex. 1005).....	12
	C. Other Evidence Regarding the State of the Art.....	14
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	14
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
VII.	GROUND OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	18
	A. Ground 1: Cassin Anticipates Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20, and 22 .....	18
	B. Ground 2: Cassin and Huston Render Obvious Claims 1-24 .....	31
	C. Ground 3: Huston Renders Obvious Claims 1-24 .....	51
VIII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	58

IX. MANDATORY NOTICES .....58

    A. Real Parties-in-Interest .....58

    B. Related Matters.....58

    C. Lead/Back-up Counsel .....58

    D. Service Information.....59

X. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....59

XI. CONCLUSION.....59

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,440,559 to Muhonen, et al., "System and Associated Terminal, Method and Computer Program Product for Controlling the Flow of Content," filed on October 22, 2003 ("the '559 patent").
1002	File History of the '559 patent (Application No. 10/690,692 ("'559 patent FH")).
1003	Expert Declaration of Anthony Wechselberger.
1004	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0023427 to Cassin filed on July 26, 2001 ("Cassin").
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,243,136 to Huston filed on January 17, 2001 ("Huston").
1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,447,486 to Tamura filed on March 25, 2003 ("Tamura").
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,996,627 to Carden filed on May 25, 1999 ("Carden").
1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,721,337 to Syed filed on October 26, 2001 ("Syed").
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,669,564 to Young filed on June 27, 2000 ("Young").
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,183 to Graham filed on May 4, 2000 ("Graham").
1011	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0023264 to Aaltonen filed on June 29, 2001 ("Aaltonen").
1012	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0087997 to Dahlstrom filed on December 27, 2001 ("Dahlstrom").

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,507,727 to Henrick filed on October 13, 2000 (“Henrick”).
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,112,226 to Weaver filed on October 22, 1997 (“Weaver”).
1015	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0059624 to Machida filed on August 1, 2001 (“Machida”).
1016	<i>Starz Entm’t, LLC v. VL Collective IP, LLC</i> , Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart, pages 1-14 (Dkt. 80).
1017	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , Opening Claim Construction Brief filed by Defendants, pages 1-32 (Dkt. 48), C.A. 6-22-cv-00079 (W.D. Tex.).
1018	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , Patent Owner’s Responsive Claim Construction Brief, pages 1-32 (Dkt. 63), C.A. 6-22-cv-00079 (W.D. Tex.).
1019	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Netflix Inc.</i> , Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Petitioner, pages 1-5 (Dkt. 15).
1020	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Netflix Inc.</i> , Opening Brief in Support re Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Petitioner, pages 1-31 (Dkt. 16).
1021	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Netflix Inc.</i> , Answering Brief in Opposition re Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Patent Owner, pages 1-32 (Dkt. 18).
1022	<i>VideoLabs, Inc. v. Netflix Inc.</i> , Reply Brief re Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Petitioner, pages 1-18 (Dkt. 19).
1023	<i>Starz Entm’t, LLC v. VL Collective IP, LLC</i> , Claim Construction Order, pages 1-4 (Dkt. 88).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.