

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
Petitioner,

v.

DAEDALUS PRIME LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00567
U.S. Patent No. 10,049,080

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(A)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	5
II.	Technology Background.....	6
	A. Prior Art Failures.....	7
III.	Overview of the '080 Patent.....	9
IV.	Discretionary Factors.....	10
	A. <i>Becton, Dickinson</i> factor: “the similarities and material differences between the asserted art and the prior art involved during examination”	11
	B. <i>Becton, Dickinson</i> factor: “the cumulative nature of the asserted art and the prior art evaluated during examination”	16
	C. <i>Becton, Dickinson</i> factor: “the extent to which the asserted art was evaluated during examination, including whether the prior art was the basis for rejection”	19
	D. <i>Becton, Dickinson</i> factor: “whether petitioner has pointed out sufficiently how the examiner erred in its evaluation of the asserted prior art”	20
V.	Overview of Select Cited Art	22
	A. Overview of Sutardja '748	22
	B. Overview of Sutardja '785	23
	C. Overview of Mathieson	24
VI.	Ground 1 Fails	26
	A. Sutardja '748 and Sutardja '785 Are Not a Single Reference, and Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated They Would be Combined by a POSITA	26
	B. The Sutardja References do not render obvious Claim 1(a)(i)	29
	C. The Sutardja References do not render obvious Claim 1(a)(ii)	32
	D. The Sutardja References do not render obvious Claim 1(b)(i)	34
	E. The Sutardja References do not render obvious Claim 1(b)(ii)	38
	F. The Sutardja References do not render obvious Claims 9 and 17	40
VII.	Ground 4 Fails	41

- A. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Mathieson with the Sutardja References.....41
- B. Mathieson, Alone or in View of the Sutardja References, Does Not Render Obvious Claims 1, 3-4, and 7-8.....43
- VIII. Conclusion53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	31
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	27
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987, 993 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	42
<i>Securus Techs., Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp.</i> , 701 F. App’x 971, 977 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	42
<i>Stone Basket Innovations, LLC v. Cook Med. LLC</i> , 892 F.3d 1175, 1179 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	12
<i>Advanced Bionics v. Med-El Elektro. GmbH</i> IPR2019-01469, Paper 6.....	10-12
<i>Johns Manville Corp. v. Knauf Insulation, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00827, Paper 9.....	42
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	5, 10

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2008/0263324 to Sutardja et al (“Sutardja ’324”)
2002	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2006/0095807 to Grochowski et al. (“Grochowski”)
2003	U.S. Patent No. 8,984,523 to Vajda
2004	U.S. Patent No. 8,892,931 to Kruglick
2005	U.S. Patent No. 9,086,883 to Thomson
2006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2009/0222654 to Hum

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.