

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. AND JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

ORCKIT CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00554¹
U.S. Patent No. 10,652,111

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

¹ IPR2024-00037 (Juniper Networks, Inc) has been joined with this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	2
III. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE	2
A. Ground 1: Lin and Swenson do not render the claims obvious.....	2
1. Lin does not teach the claimed controller.....	2
2. Swenson discloses deep flow inspection, not deep packet inspection or the claimed controller.	7
3. A POSITA would not combine/adapt Lin and Swenson to practice the claimed controller.....	11
4. Lin does not teach sending the packet to the second entity or a different entity responsive to a single criterion.....	16
B. Ground 2: Shieh and Swenson do not render the claims obvious.....	20
C. Claims 3-5.....	22
D. Claim 6.....	23
E. Claim 7.....	25
F. Claim 16.....	26
G. Claim 30.....	27
IV. CONCLUSION	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Arendi v. Apple</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	12, 27
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	19, 20, 24
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc.</i> , 672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25
<i>Cutsforth v. MotivePower</i> , 636 Fed.Appx. 575 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12
<i>DSS Tech. Mgmt. Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 885 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	27
<i>In re Lee</i> , 277 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	12
<i>Innovention Toys LLC v. MGA Entertainment, Inc.</i> , 611 Fed.Appx. 693 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	12
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9, 19, 20, 24
<i>International Seaway Trading Corp. v. Walgreens Corp.</i> , 528 F.3d 1233 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	10
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Teleflex Life Sci. Ltd. No.</i> 2022-1605, 2024 WL 1208642 (Fed. Cir. 2024).....	23
<i>Mformation Tech. v. Research in Motion Ltd.</i> , 764 F.3d 1392 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
<i>SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S.Ct. 1348 (2018).....	6

EXHIBIT LIST	
2001	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 46 (E.D. Tex., Jan. 15, 2023), First Amended Docket Control Order (“First Amended Docket Control Order”)
2002	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 28 (E.D. Tex., Nov. 4, 2022), Notice of Compliance (“11-4-2022 Notice of Compliance”)
2003	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 47 (E.D. Tex., Jan. 19, 2023), Notice of Compliance (“1-19-2023 Notice of Compliance”)
2004	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 55 (E.D. Tex., Mar. 23, 2023), Defendant’s Motion To Stay
2005	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 56 (E.D. Tex., Mar. 29, 2023), Order Denying Motion to Stay
2006	United States District Courts National Judicial Caseload Profile For the 12 Months Ending March 31, 2023 (available at https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/data_tables/fcms_na_distprofile0331.2023.pdf) (“Judicial Caseload Statistics”)
2007	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 50 (E.D. Tex., Feb. 3, 2023), Notice of Compliance (“2-3-2023 Notice of Compliance”)
2008	Reserved
2009	December 11, 2008 Final Rejection (U.S. Appl. No. 11/123,801) (“801 Application Final Rejection”)
2010	July 28, 2008 Claim Amendments (U.S. Appl. No. 11/123,801) (“801 Application Pending Claims”)
2011	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 59 (E.D. Tex., Mar. 30, 2023), Order Granting Motion To Amend Invalidity Contentions
2012	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, Dkt. No. 61 (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Order Granting Motion To Amend Invalidity Contentions
2013	“About Orckit-Corrigent: Executive Summary,” 2011

2014	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Cover Pleading)
2015	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Exhibit A - ’904 Patent Charts)
2016	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Exhibit B - ’821 Patent Charts)
2017	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Exhibit C - ’740 Patent Charts)
2018	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Exhibit D - ’111 Patent Charts)
2019	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (Exhibit E - Combination Charts)
2020	<i>Orckit Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:22-cv-00276, (E.D. Tex., April 13, 2023), Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions (SME Contentions)
2021	United States District Courts National Judicial Caseload Profile For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022 (available at https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/data_tables/fcms_na_distprofile1231.2022.pdf) (“December 22 Judicial Caseload Statistics”)
2022	Declaration of George Stamatopoulos in support of Patent Owner’s Motion for Pro Hac Vic Admission
2023	Declaration of Michael Ng in support of Patent Owner’s Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
2024	Stipulation of Dismissal
2025	Declaration of Miguel Gomez
2026	CV of Michael Gomez
2027	“DPI & DFI: A Malicious Behavior Detection Method Combining Deep Packet Inspection and Deep Flow Inspection” by Guo et al., <i>Procedia Engineering</i> 174, 1309-1314 (2017)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.