UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APOTEX INC., Petitioner

v.

CELLGENE CORPORATION,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2023-00512 U.S. Patent No. 8,846,628 Issued: September 30, 2014

Title:

ORAL FORMULATIONS OF CYTIDINE ANALOGS AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODI	UCTION	1	
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES				
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest			
	B.	Related Matters			
	C.	Desi	ignation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel	5	
	D.	Notice of Service Information			
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW				
	A.	Grounds for Standing			
	B.	Identification of Challenge			
IV.	BACKGROUND				
	A.	Knowledge in the Prior Art Would Have Led a POSA to the Claimed Invention		6	
		1.	5-Azacytidine Was a Long-Known Therapeutic Compound for Treating One or More Symptoms of a Disease Associated With Abnormal Cell Proliferation Such As Myelodysplastic Syndrome and Acute Myelogenous Leukemia	6	
		2.	Oral Administration of 5-Azacytidine Was Known and Preferred at the Time of Invention	8	
		3.	NEC Tablets of 5-Azacytidine Were Known and Preferred	10	
		4.	It Would Have Been Routine to Use Well-Known and Conventional <i>In Silico</i> Modeling to Determine the Pharmacokinetics of Oral 5-Azacytidine Dosage Forms	13	
	B. The '628 Patent			15	
		1.	The Specification	15	



		2. The Prosecution History: The Examiner Was Missing Key Disclosures and Was Led to Error by Erroneous Arguments of Unexpected Results					
		3.	The	Challenged Claims	18		
		4.	Effe	ctive Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	19		
	C.	Clair	n Con	struction	20		
V.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY						
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13-18, 20-23, 28, 32-35, 38, 40, 42, and 43 Are Anticipated by Ionescu					
		1.	Tabl Sym	pendent Claims 1 and 28: Ionescu Discloses NEC lets of 5-Azacytidine to Treat One or More aptoms of a Disease Associated With Abnormal Cell iferation Such as MDS	21		
			a.	[1a] "A pharmaceutical composition for oral administration comprising"	21		
			b.	[1b] "a therapeutically effective amount of 5-azacytidine"	21		
			c.	[1c] "at least one pharmaceutically acceptable excipient"	22		
			d.	[1d] "wherein the composition is a non-enteric coated tablet"	22		
			e.	Claim 28	23		
		2.	Forn Coat Mica	endent Claims 2, 6, 7, 13-15, 32, and 34 Reciting nulation Limitations: Ionescu Discloses a Sugarted Tablet of 5-Azacytidine Comprising Mannitol, rocrystalline Cellulose, Crospovidone, and gnesium Stearate	24		
			a.	Dependent Claims 2 and 34 Reciting Pharmaceutically Acceptable Excipients	24		



		b.	Dependent Claims 6, 7, and 32 Reciting "Essentially Free" of Cytidine Deaminase Inhibitor, Like THU	24	
		c.	Dependent Claims 13-15 Reciting Film Coatings Like HPMC, HPC, or MC	25	
	3.	Dosa; Conta	ndent Claims 8, 16, 17, 23, 33, and 35 Reciting ge Limitations: Ionescu Discloses Tablets aining "About 5 mg to 200 mg, More Usually About ng" of 5-Azacytidine	26	
		a.	Dependent Claims 8, 16, 17, and 35 Reciting Various Dosage Amounts	26	
		b.	Dependent Claim 23 Reciting Dosage Amount of "120 to 480 mg"	27	
		c.	Dependent Claim 33 Reciting a "Single Unit Dosage Form"	28	
4	4.	Iones	ndent Claims 11, 18, 20-22, 38, 40, 42, and 43: cu Inherently Discloses the Claimed PK Properties C, C _{max} , and T _{max})	28	
		a.	The PK Claims Are Inherently Anticipated Because They Are Natural Results of Administering a Prior Art Formulation	29	
		b.	In Silico Modelling Further Demonstrates Inherent Anticipation of the PK Claims	29	
	Have	Been	Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11-28, 32-36, and 38-43 Would Obvious Over Ionescu in View of Atadja, Gibson, owledge of a POSA	31	
	1.	Independent Claims 1 and 28 Would Have Been Obvious			
		a.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Pursue an Oral, NEC Tablet of 5-Azacytidine	32	



В.

		i.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Pursand Select an Oral Dosage Form of 5-Azacytid From the Dosage Forms Ionescu	line	
		ii.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Pursand Select a NEC Tablet of 5-Azacytidine From the Oral Dosage Forms of Ionescu	m	
	b.	Expe	SA Would Have Had a Reasonable ctation of Arriving at the Claimed positions and Methods of Treatment	38	
2.	Dependent Claims 2, 6, 7, 13-15, 32, and 34 Reciting Formulation Limitations Would Have Been Obvious38				
	a.	Dependent Claims 2 and 34 Reciting Pharmaceutically Acceptable Excipients			
	b.	"Esse	ndent Claims 6, 7, and 32 Reciting entially Free" of Cytidine Deaminase itor, Like THU	39	
	c.		ndent Claims 13-15 Reciting Film Coatings HPMC, HPC, or MC	41	
	d.	Expe	SA Would Have Had a Reasonable ctation of Arriving at Claims 2, 6, 7, 13-15, and 34	43	
3.	Dependent Claims 8, 9, 16, 17, 23-27, 33, 35, and 36 Reciting Dosage Limitations Would Have Been Obvious4				
	a.	-	ndent Claims 8, 9, 16, 17, 23-27, 35, and 36 ing Various Dosage Amounts	43	
	b.	_	ndent Claim 33 Reciting a "Single Unit ge Form"	47	
	c.	Expe	SA Would Have Had a Reasonable ctation of Arriving at Claims 8, 9, 16, 17, 23-	47	



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

