A Phase I Study of 5-Azacytidine (NSC-102816)

BRUCE I. SHNIDER, M.D., MAHMOODULLAH BAIG, M.D., and JACOB COLSKY, M.D. Washington, D.C., and Miami, Fla.

5-Azacytidine was first synthesized by Piskala and Sorm¹ in 1964, and their studies demonstrated that the drug was readily incorporated into both DNA and RNA. Its antineoplastic activity is attributed to its ability to inhibit DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis. This inhibitory action appears to be cell-cycle specific, and the greatest sensitivity is upon cells in the S-phase. Antitumor effect was demonstrated against L-1210 mouse leukemia with intermittent or continuous administration.

Studies with radioactive-labeled drug⁸ indicated that absorption from subcutaneous injection sites was rapid, with peak plasma levels of radioactivity approximately equal to that noted in patients receiving the drug intravenously. The half-life after intravenous injection was 3.5 hours and after subcutaneous administration, 4.2 hours. Urinary excretion, how-

From the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) (Paul P. Carbone, Chairman) Supported in part by grants CA-02824 and CA-02822 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. 5-Azacytidine was supplied by Cancer Therapy Evaluation, DCT, NCI. 5-Azacytidine NSC 102816; CAS reg. no. 320-67-2; s-triazin-2(IH)-one-4-amino-1β-D-ribofuranosyl.

DRS. SHNIDER and BAIG: Division of Medical Oncology Department of Medicine, Georgetown University School of Medicine and the Oncology Service of the Georgetown Medical Division, District of Columbia General Hospital, Washington, D.C.

Dr. Colsky: University of Miami School of Medicine, Jackson Memorial Hospital Program, Miami, Florida. ever, was greater in patients receiving intravenous injections. The highest uptake of 5-azacytidine in tumor tissue was achieved when the drug was given intravenously. Small amounts of radioactivity were detected in the spinal fluid.

Phase I studies by Weiss and associates² and by Karon⁴ indicated that the toxicity associated with the administration of this drug to humans was primarily nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. These studies further indicated that these troublesome side effects appeared to be related to the amount of drug given in each injection. Hematologic toxicity consisted of granulocytopenia and thrombocytopenia usually occurring within three weeks after the start of chemotherapy. Transient elevations of SGOT were seen in some patients but there was no other evidence of hepatic toxicity.⁵

Weiss noted clinical responses in 7 of 11 patients with carcinoma of the breast, 2 of 5 patients with melanoma, and 2 of 6 patients with carcinoma of the colon. Subsequent studies with this drug in 29 patients with advanced gastrointestinal carcinoma revealed the anticipated toxicity with only one transient and partial objective response. Tan and associates studied 21 patients, 9 of whom were children, using intravenous 5-azacytidine. Leukopenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in all patients; nausea and vomiting was often severe but diminished with divided doses of the drug. Occasional ab-

April, 1976 205



dominal pain, diarrhea, and fever were also noted. No regressions were seen in the patients with solid tumors of lymphomas in this series. One of 7 acute lymphatic leukemias had a poor remission. Karon et al.4 studying 34 children with advanced acute leukemia receiving doses of 5azacytidine ranging from 2 to 300 mg/m² daily X 5 noted responses in 6 of 12 myelocytic leukemias and 4 of 22 lymphocytic leukemia. Cunningham et al.,8 using the agent in the treatment of carcinoma of the breast and comparing it with CCNU, noted a low response rate for both agents (two of 19 patients treated with CCNU and 2 of 21 patients treated with 5azacytidine). Vogler et al.,5 reporting on the phase I studies of the Southeastern Cancer Study Group, noted that 5azacytidine given twice weekly at doses of 150-200 mg/m² would produce granulocytopenia after several weeks associated with nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. No renal or hepatic toxicity was noted. Bellet et al..9 using the subcutaneous route of administration, noted only mild gastrointestinal toxicity at doses producing significant bone marrow depression. Hepatic toxicity occurred in five patients, possibly related to extensive hepatic involvement with metastatic tumor.

This report concerns the preliminary phase I study done by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group with this agent in patients with solid tumors.

Our study was undertaken as an attempt to determine the tolerated dose for the daily and once-weekly schedules of administration in the event that more definitive therapeutic studies were to be undertaken by the group.

Methods and Materials

Patients were selected for study who had progressive disease and who were not considered candidates for other group studies with a higher priority and for whom no other therapy was available. All had to be in reasonably good status and to have a life expectancy of at least three months. They were to have no evidence of bone marrow suppression and were to have been off all prior myelosuppressive or hormonal therapy and x-ray therapy for at least six weeks prior to entering the study. Cases were entered in a sequential pattern with only one patient at each of the cooperating institutions entering study per week for each schedule of drug administration. Four patients were to be entered at each level for each dose schedule during the initial phase of the study before proceeding to the next dose in the escalation pattern.

Participants could be withdrawn from the study at any time either upon request of the patient or the investigator if it was deemed in the best interest of the patient. No patient was to reenter the study after completing an initial drug trial unless eight weeks had elapsed from the time of the last drug dose.

Participants in the study were randomly assigned to either of the two groups. The first group received 5-azacytidine once weekly intravenously for a period of four weeks, and the second group received 5-azacytidine daily for five days followed by a nine-day observation period. A second course of five days was given after the observation period. At the end of four weeks, both treatment groups were observed for an additional four-week period for delayed toxicity or to allow toxicity to regress before being removed from the study.

The initial starting dose for the weekly schedule was 200 mg/m², and the starting dose for the five-day daily injection schedule was 50 mg/m². Incremental increases of both drugs were taken in a stepwise fashion as follows: step (1), increase by 100 per cent of starting dose; step (2), increase by 66% per cent of starting dose; step (3), increase by 50 per cent of starting dose; step (4), increase by 33½ per

The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology



cent of the starting dose; and step (5), increase by 25 per cent of the starting dose. Weekly evaluations were made of drug toxicity and progress of the study by telephone conference calls among the participating investigators. No incremental increase or assignment of new patients to a new dose level was made without prior evaluation of the status of all patients actively participating in the drug study. Serial laboratory tests were performed according to the protocol design, and x-rays and measurements of lesions were made at two-week intervals where appropriate. The study was to be terminated when a tolerated dose without prohibitive toxic side effects was established for both the daily and weekly schedules of drug administration.

5-Azacytidine was reconstituted with 5 to 10 ml sterile water and administered by rapid intravenous push. After the first patients developed severe nausea and vomiting, chlorperazine (Compazine) was given parentally 15 minutes prior to the intravenous administration of 5-azacytidine. Chlorperazine by suppository was repeated during the next 24-48 hours as required.

Results

Twelve patients received 21 courses of 5-azacytidine by the daily schedule and 15 patients received 50 weekly courses of drug by the weekly schedule (Table I). Table II shows the toxicity encountered at each of the dose levels for the daily schedule. Nausea and vomiting were the most prominent toxic side effects and occurred in every patient. Phenothiazines were only partially successful in relieving these symptoms. The vomiting usually had its onset 30 minutes to 21/2 hours after the injection of 5-azacytidine and in some instances persisted for as long as 12-16 hours. In some patients, this was associated with diarrhea. As the severity of the nausea and vomiting increased, so did

TABLE I
Patient Distribution of Dose and
Schelule of 5-Azacytidine

ily Schedule							
4	7						
3	6						
3	5						
2	3						
_	_						
12	21						
kly Schedule							
4	14						
4	15						
5	15						
2	5						
	_						
15	50						
	4 3 3 2 ————————————————————————————————						

the degree of weight loss, and some patients refused to continue in the study after having severe nausea and vomiting during the first course of therapy.

Aside from the gastrointestinal toxicity (Tables II and III), we encountered no stomatitis and only one episode of skin rash. This was a transient mild maculopapular eruption which occurred during the fourth week of the weekly schedule of drug administration at a dose of 200 mg/m². One patient on the daily schedule and one patient on the weekly schedule showed a rise in blood urea nitrogen which returned to normal when the drug was discontinued. Two patients on the daily schedule also had a rise in uric acid from normal values prior to therapy to 9.6 and 10 mg/100 ml after completion of a five-day course of drug. (One of these patients also had both an increase in blood urea nitrogen and uric acid.) One possible instance of hepatotoxicity occurred in a patient receiving drug weekly as evidenced by a rise in SGOT from 30 units to

April, 1976

207



TABLE II
Daily Schedule Toxicity*

			•					
		Total 5-azacytidine	Wt. loss				Hems.	
Patient	Carcinoma	dose (mg)	(kg)	Nausea	Vomiting	Diarrhea	tologic	Comments
				50 mg/m ²	n2			
0.8.	Endometrium	325	64	က	က	0	0	refused second 5-day course
P.M.	Lung	1200	0	83	63	0	0	
				-	н	0	64	Compazine
E.R.	Colon	720	0	64	63	1	0	
				П	H	1	0	Compazine
O.G.	CNS	100	0	-	-	0	0	
				0	0	0	61	Compazine
				100 mg/m^2	m ²			
R.L.	Leiomvosarcoma	1500	-	e.	6/	C.	c	
				1 01	1 01	1 61	• •	
E.L.	Cervix	825	0	Н	6 3	0	0	
				П	Н	0	0	
J.G.	Lung	1500	Ø	63	63	0	0	
	1			0	0	0	0	Compazine
				133 mg/m ²	m ²			
L.A.	Unknown	1575	က	-	63	0	0	
				-	7	1	0	Compazine
K.B.	Prostate	1000	1	61	61	0	4	rise in BUN (17-41 mg%)
J.M.	Colon	1125	က	63	Ħ	0	1	rise in BUN (12-38 mg%)
				63	1	0	67	uric acid up to 9 mg%
				158 mg/m ²	'm2			
W.S.	Lung	2000	61	83	63	П	က	
				01	01	61	4	
C.B.	Lung	2000	က	က	က	1	က	uric acid up to 10 mg%
					NO SEC	NO SECOND COURSE	SE	

* Scale: 0, none; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe; 4, life threatening.

The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology

208

PHASE I STUDY OF 5-AZACYTIDINE

TABLE III
Weekly Schedule Toxicity*

	Comments		no control by Compazine, skin rash	V & D after 4th inj.				refused drug after 3rd dose	hematologic	Compazine	Compazine		refused to continue	refused after 2nd injection	Compazine	Compazine	Compazine		BUN rise (10-26 mg%)	SGOT rise from 30-72 units
	Hema- tologic		0	1	0	0	1 0	0	4	0	0		0	0	0	-	1		1	Ø
	Diarrhea		63	61	21 F	н		0	က	-	П		63	0	1	г	Ħ		က	က
	Vomiting Diarrhea	m ²	6 1 (က	н	1	'm2	64	63	Н	П	/B.2	က	က	1	63	67	/m²	က	က
	Nausea	200 mg/m ²	Ø	0	, ₁	П	400 mg/m^2	61	61	7	1	533 mg/m ²	က	က	03	83	61	633 mg/m²	က	က
	Wt. loss (kg)		1		0	1400 0		-	-	0	г		п	1	63	က	61		က	က
	Total 5-azacytidine dose (mg)		1499	1280	720			1800	1400	2800	2360		800	1600	3000	3775	3100		3228	3420
	Carcinoma		Lymphosarcoma	Larynx	Melanoma	Melanoma		Breast	CINB	Prostate	Tongue		Stomach	Cervix	Esophagus	Unknown	Lung		Colon	Kidney
	Patient		C.M.	P.M.	J.M.	B.D.		M.H.	C.H.	M.B.	M.J.		J.C.	J.C.	J.L.	J.A.	C.B.		L.C.	B.B.

* Scale: 0, none; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe; 4, life threatening.

209



April, 1976

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

